Submission #	Individual/ organisation	Organisation	Category	shore/ boat	snorkel	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 10.	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments:	Consumption at sea.	Consumption at sea comments	Minimum age of licence holder	Minimum age licence comments	Possession deeming provisions (< 18 years)	Possession deeming provisions comments:	Measuring device definition	Measure device comments	Remove commercial fishers to remove overdraws	Comm measuring comments:	Abalone tool definition	Tool comments	Night time closure	Night time closure comments	Northern Area definition	Northern Area Comments:	Designated ports and landing areas	Designated port comments	Administrative matters and further comments
#1	Organisation	Tasmanian Conservation Trust	Environmental NGO	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5	Supports the notion that recreational fishing is "for fished" rather than for "filling the freezer" or some other purpose.	Do not support	5	Possession Limit and Bag Limit should be the same to make enforcement easier and to reduce fishing pressure on stocks. There should also be a 15 abalone limit per household/address.	Support		Will help reduce illegal take and reduce pressure on stocks. Should also be a limit of 15 abalone per residential address.	Support		Suggest a household limit of 15 abalone for any residential address. Recreational licence holders should be Tasmanian residents.	Do not support	Open to manipulation to allow stock of undersized abalone and exceed bag limits.	Support	Licence holders should be 16 years old. There could be a provision to allow minors to take abalone under the supervision of accompanying adults, which would be taken off adult's bag limit.	Support	Age limit should be 16 years rather than 10 years.	Support	Helps standardise measurements.	Do not support	Protecting undersized abalone is vital for the management of this fishery. Commercial fishers seem happy to remove undersizes. This requirement, although unlikely to be enforced,provides a definite guide as what is acceptable behaviour to both recreational and commercial divers.	Support	This submission does not support the only tools being used as a knife or abalone iron for Aboriginal activities, either under current recreational regulation or amendments proposed in this submission. As well, however, support these amendments for recreational fisheries. Aboriginal Tasmanians also possess cultural tools that are still made and used today, where the size and shape of the wooden wedges and levers are radically different to the prescribed metal tools. Furthermore, stone may be used to remove the flesh from the meat, when these are cultural activities. Tasmanian Aboriginal people may also choose to not use a knife or abalone iron for cultural reasons, such as the feel of the pick, to dislodge the shellfish from substrate. There is concern that these conditions will negatively impact the future acts of cultural fisheries and recovery of cultural practices as Tasmanian society becomes more welcoming of Indigenous ways of caring for our country. The restrictions may also impact upon cultural fisheries and food tourism, where the restrictions to	Support	Support	Support	This submission does not support the amendments for recreational fisheries but is neutral towards Aboriginal activities. The amendment may impact adversely on future acts for cultural activities, specifically pre-dawn activities that are related to ceremonial business.	Support	Support	Support	Do not support	Marine police resources are already too limited with regards to fisheries management. Unless marine police can guarantee the monitoring new designated ports will not cause problems then this proposal should be rejected.	The recreational take of abalone in Tasmania makes up an insignificant part of the overall statewide commercial catch. Changes to recreational fishing are unlikely to have a significant impact on fisheries sustainability or solve problems for the statewide commercial sector. However, these proposed changes would benefit the fishery by reducing waste, making enforcement easier and reinforcing the notion that recreational fishing should be for "providing a feed and not filling the freezer". It should be noted that the recreational catch is also much more significant on the east and southeast coast and would benefit from more specific management changes. Recreational catch likely exceed commercial catch in this region. At the 26 July 2019 Abalone Fishery Advisory Committee (AbFAC) meeting, members were informed by IPAC that current size limits would still allow stocks to be fished down to the point of collapse. This is of particular concern in areas in the east and southeast coasts targeted by recreational fishers, who are not constrained by economic limits and represent a large proportion of takes effort. Increasing recreational fishing and illegal take in the east and southeast in particular may lead to localised serial depletion and even local collapse of abalone stocks. This problem needs to be considered in more detail and needs to be urgently addressed. There is a critical need to ensure that size limits adequately protect stocks in the recreational fishery, as ultimately an appropriate size limit is the only effective safeguard against fishery collapse along much of the Tasmanian coastline due to recreational fishing. There needs to be an explicit strategy to develop size limits that provide this protection to the recreational fishery. Licensing requirements could also be improved. If it is impossible to make it a requirement to make it compulsory for licence holders to be Tasmanian residents, then licence holders should have to have a Tasmanian residential address. Recreational fishers should not be permitted to take any abalone from Tasmania to other jurisdictions. Tour operators that run abalone fishing tours should be made jointly responsible for any breaches of fisheries regulations made by any of their clients while on one of their organised trips. Greater effort needs to be made to ensure, through education and enforcement, the rule that licence holders must take their own fish. Another major threat to the abalone fishery is the expanding urchin barrens on Tasmania's east coast. Long-spined sea urchin numbers have increased as a direct result of overfishing of rock lobster. This has resulted in the almost complete removal of large (carapace length >140 mm) rock lobsters that are the only significant predator for these urchins. This has resulted in the ongoing expansion of urchin barrens and destruction of the reef habitat that supports the abalone fishery (as well as the rock lobster fishery and other fisheries). A recent IPAC report indicates that 15% of east coast reefs have already been lost to these barrens and there is an expectation that 50% will be lost on the east coast. In some areas 90% of reef has been lost. A recent report to the Tasmanian Abalone Council stated that this problem is costing the commercial fishery $49 million/year. This is a major problem for the fishery and cost to the Tasmanian community, even ignoring impacts on biodiversity and recreational fishing amenity. There is still no evidence-based plan to deal effectively with long-spined urchin barrens on the east coast (or anywhere else), despite this issue being raised by the Tasmanian Conservation Trust for over 20 years. Abalone, rock lobster and other fisheries are excluded from established urchin barrens. Once established, these barrens cannot be expected to recover without human intervention in the foreseeable future. Cost and depth limitations for working divers restrict the fishery to relatively inexpensive, non-established barrens with ever recover using existing technologies, although new technologies, including remote or autonomous underwater vehicles (ROVs and AUVs) may be a possible solution. To protect biodiversity and abalone fisheries, there needs to be an evidence based strategy that ensures that the density of large rock lobster (carapace length >140 mm) will increase to levels where they will control numbers of barren-forming long-spined urchins and prevent further barrens formation. There is an urgent need to develop effective strategies to better manage the rock lobster fishery and deal with the urchin barren disaster. In 2018 Abalone FAC, Crustacean FAC and Recreational FAC all recommended that the Minister set up an ongoing broad based stakeholder group that would meet regularly to develop effective strategies to deal with urchin barrens. Even this basic step has not been achieved. Research also needs to be done to identify to relationship between rock lobster and abalone with aim of protecting the abalone fishery as well as biodiversity. A comprehensive, adequate and representative system of no-take marine protected areas (MPAs) would facilitate this research, protect biodiversity, act as a baseline reference to help identify impacts of fishing and climate change, and also increase egg rock lobster egg production which may increase self recruitment success for Tasmania rock lobsters.
#2	Organisation	Aboriginal Land Council of Tasmania	indigenous																	On the whole, this submission supports the minimum age requirements and possession deeming for recreational fisheries, however there needs to be exemptions for Aboriginal fisheries, particularly in regard to permits for Aboriginal cultural and ceremonial activities. The rights of adults to teach children may, or will, be impacted by this amendment, particularly in our island communities of Bass Strait and Flinders Island. Teaching is a key cultural keystone species, or a sea-food of belonging to sea country, these belief, must be conserved and cherished by the Tasmanian Government can provide the means to support Indigenous efforts to reclaim and recover culture.																									
#3	Organisation	Tasmanian Regional Aboriginal Communities Alliance (TRACA)	Indigenous					support	5	However, it must be clear that these limits do not apply for Aboriginal activities that are aimed at cultural and ceremonial harvesting of abalone.	support	10	Support		Support							support		do not support		Support										
#4	Organisation	Tasmanian Aboriginal Centre	Indigenous																																				
#5	Organisation	Peninsula Wilderness Journeys	Charter operator	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when doing other reasons	remained the same	good	Support	5		Support	10	Support				Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
#6	Organisation	Flinders Island Dive	Charter operator	the shore		I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Neutral/Not sure	Only support if there is a reduction in what is taken both recreationally and commercially	Neutral/Not sure	Same comment as above. I would only ever take 1-2 per dive anyway	Support		Support	2	Support					Support		Support		Support		Need to see blunt tools in kind damage to undersize fish. Need to measure before removal	Neutral/Not sure	Measuring must take place in the water and undersized fish placed then right way up on rocks not toward overboard Objects underwater are magified by 33%. You cannot claim that abalone divers are professional enough to gauge the size of the abalone without measuring. They have been required to measure straights easy for years. I have yet to meet a single diver that family this only helps to make them more knowledgeable. Most abalone actually having a chance to be placed back onto the rocks. If undersize abalone were taken to the boat, what % would drop have surviving once being thrown overboard!	Support	Support	Support	We supply many forms of diving knifes to our customers. The most popular diving knife supplied designed for abalone fishing is 22mm in width. This knife is sold around Australia from multiple suppliers described as an Abalone Knife. I would suggest this if this rule were pronounced, that the measure be 22mm. I have attended Abalone knife supplied. It is 140mm in length making it also a perfect measuring device. A blunt knife when used correctly should do no damaged to any abalone. However, any knife used incorrectly can cause damage. If this rule is no take place, I don't believe it is the width that is really the issue.	Support	Support	Neutral/Not sure	Neutral/Not sure	Support	
#7	Organisation	DIVE Operator (name withheld at request of business holder)	Charter operator	a boat	SCUBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	improved	poor	Support	5		Support	10	I believe the catch limit and possession limit should be the same. This allows people like myself who do not get a chance to dive regularly still the opportunity to get a decent feed but limits the opportunity of people to store both quantity for sale.	Do not support	20 I need a significant distance to dive and with 4-5 people in the boat. We do not do it regularly so to be able to get a reasonable amount per person seems fair. If the worry is people selling illegally, maybe more compliance checks and searches is warranted.	Neutral/Not sure	Perhaps a Junior Licence would be a good idea. But limiting a junior licence to a daily bag limit of 2 and possession limit of 4. The same as my proposed unlicensed limits. Make the licence slightly cheaper. We need to be supportive of our younger generation to help outline a respect in regards to recreational fishing.	Neutral/Not sure	Unless licensed by my suggestion above.	Support		Do not support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	Support		I have just finished submitting a response form regarding the Abalone Amendments. I would like to request that our response remain confidential. We deal heavily in recreational and commercial sectors and would like to remain neutral without our response published.
#8	Organisation	JF & KJ Family Trust	Commercial	a boat	snorkel/free dive	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Support	10	FIVE ABALONE IN POSSESSION WILL PROVIDE AT LEAST TWO OR FIVE PEOPLE	Do not support	20	TEN ABALONE PER BOAT WOULD BE FAR MORE APPROPRIATE. The Tas Lite Dive club regularly runs boat trips with 8+ divers and a boat limit of 15. anonmly restricts the member's opportunities to catch a reasonable number of Abalone each. I think that it is not unreasonable to allow each licensed person to catch their day's limit, regardless of number of people on the boat. 5 per licensed person on boat	Do not support	If someone wishes to possess more than 2 than can purchase a licence for 2 dive.	Support	Provide no-take zones, including the establishment of state marine protected areas. Tackle the spread of sea urchins, including protecting rock lobsters and restoring giant kelp forests.	Support	Junior size capture with supervising adult until 10.	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		I PROPOSE THAT ALL RECREATIONAL FISHERS HOLD A CURRENT FISHING LICENCE AND THAT PERSONS APPLYING FOR A RECREATIONAL FISHING LICENCE MUST PROVIDE PROOF OF IDENTITY WITH THEIR APPLICATION TO SAFE GUARD FRAUD AND ABUSE.
#9	Individual	Community/Fish consumer/Enviro Science						soon	Do not support	1 per individual to be Only used as a food source and be consumed on same the day as fished. Provide no-take zones, including the establishment of state marine protected areas. Tackle the spread of sea urchins, including protecting rock lobsters and restoring giant kelp forests. Ensure that quotas are subject to a local-interest test so as to better distribute the economic benefit from commercial takes.	Do not support	Provide no-take zones, including the establishment of state marine protected areas. Tackle the spread of sea urchins, including protecting rock lobsters and restoring giant kelp forests. Ensure that quotas are subject to a local-interest test so as to better distribute the economic benefit from commercial takes.	Do not support	1 per individual or enough for a family meal. Not exceed the abalone self-sustainability needed to maintain a healthy population in symbiosis with its environment. IN A RECREATIONAL FISHERS SINGLE-WHITE TO HAVE A FISHING LICENCE. THOSE WITHOUT A VALID / CURRENT FISHING LICENCE SHOULD BE FINED $500 (TWO HUNDRED DOLLARS) FOR FIRST OFFENCE AND $500 FOR EACH SUBSEQUENT OFFENCE)	Do not support	ONE CANNOT ENFORCE ONE TO RETAIN THE SHELL UNTIL THEY RETURN TO FISHT . WHAT IS TO STOP THEM THROWING IT OVER BOARD. IT IS JUST NOT CONTROLLABLE THEREFORE ALL ABALONE MUST BE RETURNED TO SHORE IN THE SHELL.	If a child of any age wishes to fish, they must be able to do so, only under appropriate supervision and Only in an area where there is sufficient fish and or no-stocking, which allows for holistic care of the fishery experience!	Do not support	A child must be allowed to fish, if they are learning how to be responsible and to cause only what they are consume. If a child of any age wishes to fish, they must be able to do so, only under appropriate supervision and Only in an area where there is sufficient fish and or no-stocking, which allows for holistic care of the fishery experience!	Do not support	There is no place for commercial fisheries to be commercially fishing abalone. If an individual wants to consume abalone, then can on and eat their own!	Do not support	SUPPORT. PROVIDED THE ADULT SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE AND SUBJECT TO ANY CONTRAVENTION (FINE) ETC .	Do not support	SUPPORT. PROVIDED COMMERCIAL FISHERS ENSURE ABALONE SIZE AND LEGAL SIZE IF NOT MEASURED. IF IN ANY DOUBT THEY SHOULD BE MEASURED AND RETURNED TO SEA BED IF THEY ARE NOT LEGAL SIZE, MORPALLY NOT DAMAGED.	Do not support	Do not support	Do not support	Do not support	Do not support	Do not support	Do not support	Do not support	Do not support	Commercial fishing needs to be removed! Commercial fishing must become so, for good in advance individual orders of abalone only! Spiritual living must be acknowledged and accepted, compared to the lack of fundamental ethics and the corrupted social norms of monetary gain through abalone fishing. The pollution caused from mechanical engine noise and petrol pollution into our waterways, is reasoning to discontinue normal commercial fishing, which only adds stress upon our oceans, rivers and waterways, that is not conducive to feeding livez.
#10	Individual		Commercial						Do not support	TWO ABALONE PER DAY E.WHILE TO FEED AT LEASE TWO TO FOUR PEOPLE		Do not support	FIVE ABALONE IN POSSESSION WILL PROVIDE AT LEAST TWO OR FIVE PEOPLE	Do not support	TEN ABALONE PER BOAT WOULD BE FAR MORE APPROPRIATE.	Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#11	Organisation	Tamania University Dive Club	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Support	5 10 Abalone is a lot to eat at once, even for a group I consider abalone to be a treat to be shared immediately rather than a	Support	10 Is consistent with changes to bag limits	Do not support Do not support		Neutral/Not sure	Not all licensed abalone fishermen are good enough cooks to justify holding onto spare catch																					
#12	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	2 right to take two many or to store for later.	Do not support	5	support	10				Do not support	1							Support				Do not support	understand and replaced immediately.			Support		Support		Support		
#13	Organisation	Political Party	Other																																				Submission attached
#14	Individual		Charter operator	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support				Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure
#15	Individual		Commercial	a boat/the shore	snorkel/SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Support	5 Pip dial and brother are in the clay fish industry, dial was a driver back in the day. I think Lowering the limit is good, gave the fish a chance grow and breed.		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Kids need experience under water		Stupid question. We need to protect the Tasmania's fish industry. All abalone should be measured before they are removed from the water to reduce overcast areas and mortality. Divers should only use tools which will reduce unnecessary mortality		Taking undersized fishes surface then measuring and throwing back under state is creating unnecessary mortality.		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#16	Individual		Commercial	the shore	hookah		declined	poor	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support				Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	
#17	Individual		Commercial				declined	good	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support				Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support
#18	Individual		Commercial	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support				Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure
#19	Individual		Commercial	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support				Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support
#20	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	2 The limits for recreational abalone are far too generous, so relaxed that it would be easy for someone to maintain an under the counter/unsaleable commercial harvest and still stay within the legal limit. Abalone populations are now generally DAB below pre-fished levels. Everyone (including the abalone and their natural predators) will benefit if the populations are given a chance to recover. We need TEMPORARY ABALONE SANCTUARIES placed strategically over roughly HALF of all abalone habitat in Tasmania. These temporary sanctuaries need to be put in place for an initial period of 10 years, 3 subject to a scientific assessment of their effectiveness after a decade. It	Do not support	This limit should come a three person boat if each has a licence, and provide meals for six people	Do not support	5 As above	Do not support	This loophole should be removed.	Support	This is likely unenforceable anyway.	Do not support	Additional licences for children likely incapable of fishing themselves is simply a way for families to increase the overall take, and does not teach children proper compliance with the law. The lower age limit should reflect physical capability - so around 14 years old perhaps.	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Safety of fishers is paramount, and they should be able to land where it's safe.	The provision of a low cost recreational abalone licence in Tasmania is one of the little known japs available to everyone using its waters. But this big limits reflect a different sets of under availability and lower recreational divers. They must be reduced substantially, to a level which shows how precious this resource is.
#21	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	2 subject to a scientific assessment of their effectiveness after a decade. It	Do not support	Existing possession limits provide a loophole which 3 must be closed, at least until populations rebound.	Do not support	10 10 is a reasonable base limit for recreational fishers.	Do not support	Non-fishers should NOT possess 8 abalone. End of story.	Do not support	Consumption at sea is a BAD idea. It's not necessary or logical, and introduces compliance complications.	Do not support	Age 16 would be more reasonable.	Support		Support		Both recreational and commercial fishers SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO CARRY A MEASUREMENT TOOL and MEASURE EVERY ABALONE.	Do not support	Both recreational and commercial fishers SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO CARRY A MEASUREMENT TOOL and MEASURE EVERY ABALONE.	Do not support		Support		Recreational fishers should also be prevented from using Hookah or Scuba	Support		Support		Support		I have already advocated the widespread and immediate implementation of fully protected abalone sanctuaries, strategically covering half of all Tasmanian abalone habitat, for a trial period of 10 years. In areas where abalone populations are slow to recover, or do not recover, I recommend the creation of permanent abalone sanctuaries to cover these areas, with the intent of allowing slow recovery over a long period of time. In my view, recreational fishers like me would benefit greatly in the long term by a major expansion in permanent no-take marine protected areas. I am concerned about the illegally operated near groups that are abusing the system as it currently stands and possibly will continue to abuse it even if it changes. I would like to see some policy decisions made around policing this issue and making it more difficult for illegal tours to operate. ☐
#22	Individual		Commercial	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support				Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support
#23	Individual		Commercial					soon	Support	5		Support	2		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support				Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support
#24	Individual		Commercial	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Support	5 This should of happened years ago		Support	10 20 abs is a commercial amount.		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support				Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support
#25	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	5 There are hardly any abs around anymore. We see more crayfish than 3 abalone		Support	5		10			Do not support	0		Do not support		Support		Support				Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support
#26	Individual		Commercial						Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support				Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	
#27	Individual		Commercial						Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support				Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	
#28	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	3		Support	10		Support			Do not support	2		Support		Support		Support				Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	
#29	Individual		Commercial and recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5 Why are you only targeting recreational? What about commercial?		Support	Why are you only targeting recreational? What about commercial?		Support	Why are you only targeting recreational? What about commercial?		Support	Why are you only targeting recreational? What about commercial?	Support	Why are you only targeting recreational? What about commercial?	Support	Why are you only targeting recreational? What about commercial?	Support	Why are you only targeting recreational? What about commercial?	Do not support	Why are you only targeting recreational? What about commercial?	Do not support	Why are you only targeting recreational? What about commercial?	Support	Why are you only targeting recreational? What about commercial?	Support	Why are you only targeting recreational? What about commercial?	Do not support	The industry is in decline....why are you proposing increasing commercial limits?	Do not support	It's all about allowing commercial fishing to take more.	Clearly the ab industry has a powerful lobby group.....what about long term sustainability? Measuring stocks is an imprecise science. Ask the retired ab divers. They will tell you. Stocks are in decline.
#30	Individual		Commercial and recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5 I know of several divers that always collect their limit and give them away, they just have this strange desire to catch their limit of all the 5 Given diving usually involves someone in the boat and a split of the	Do not support	The way Tasmanians not their abalone is not conducive to be frozen and most people to not have the means to freeze the meat quickly to preserve the quality. If we 8 eaten fresh they are crap.	Support		Support	2	Support	I have taught my children much younger than 10 years of age to catch abalone, and I consider that to be encouraged but the ones caught should go on the adults quota.	Support		Support		Support	Support	this makes perfect sense		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	Annual limits
#31	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	6 catch I believe it also is a solution that will lead to better compliance.	Do not support	12 As above	Do not support	18		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support				Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Support	
#32	Individual		Commercial					good	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support				Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
#33	Individual		Recreational/ Community/Fish consumer/Enviro Science	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	soon	Do not support	I propose that greater support for a reduction in the bag limit may be achieved, if the figure isn't immediately identified as 'halving' the current limit. A reduction by 40% is still significant & would still result in 4 number outcomes for the fishery.	Do not support	Refer to the comment at 1(c). I propose a possession 12 limit of 12 for the same reason.	Do not support	I commonly have three other recreational divers in my vessel, in order that we can dive in pairs. We's never dive the same spot, and we always share our catch, in accordance with the law. I strongly support the vessel limit being a reflection of the combined bag limit of licensed divers (as long as all of those have actually dived). A set diver not vessel	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support	
#34	Individual		Community/Fish consumer/Enviro Science						Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support Neutral/Not sure	2		Support		Support		Support				Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	
#35	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	6 Make it half a dozen. Fit for ab with that. It is a lot mean	Do not support	12 I think 12 is a better number	Support		Support	2		Support		Support	Do not support	One is not enough for a feed. 2 is	Support		Support		Support		Support	They know the size. Silly rule in the first place	Support		Support		Support		Support	
#36	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	soon	Do not support	6 two nobbs. ☐	Support	I support this rule because i agree with the fact you make as two people selling or taking abalone out of the state and profiting from their catch. I support this rule because I think we have made able poachers because the licence holder should be able to feed the family. to recent years the abalone meat/ muscle has not been as big/ large as previous years and as a family of four, I would not feed us for one to	Support	I don't support this rule as i don't support if for crop fish either, as a whole family not everyone can afford a boat and so myself and my brothers and our dad all fish together to share the costs. it is unreasonable to make one or all of us to share our limit when we have paid to catch the limit and if this rule comes in, it will mean we will have to do what we are already doing for the crop fish is taking the first group out to pull the pots then returning to drop that group off only to head back out to pick up the second group. some times the weather isn't the best and having to make that second trip is an unnecessary safety risk. this proposal is probably the one i dislike the most, as costs have been more as and the fishers is instead harder.	Support	I think this is a good rule, other than the fact that it is a bit hard to tell the difference between a feed of abs (normally 5 and a crop fish) and if they don't use it that night then they could be penalised which i don't agree with.	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support

Submission #	Individual organisation	Organisation	Category	shore/ boat	method	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 10	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments	Consumption at sea.	Consumption at sea comments	Minimum age of licence holder	Minimum age licence comments	Possession deeming provisions (< 18 years)	Possession deeming provisions comments	Measuring device definition	Measure device comments	Remove commercial fishers to measure immediately	Comm measuring comments	Abalone tool definition	Tool comments	Night time closure	Night time closure comments	Northern Area definition	Northern Area Comments	Designated ports and landing areas	Designated port comments	Administrative matters and further comments
#127	Individual		Indeterminate	a boat/ashore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	mixed	Satisfied	5	wider issues. I would like to see more focus and effort go into understanding 'how' people catch their Abalone, and as a result, how other forms of fishing (scuba/ hooka/ cars overfish and depletes shallow water than only snorkel divers) put stress. Perhaps there could have total zones to shallow water areas that are renowned for, or suitable for snorkel divers. 'Managing' this resource by understanding user groups will help to maintain healthier Abalone number indicators and in shallower water areas more vulnerable to scuba and hooker divers. There is also evidence to suggest under size Abalone are being taken by divers - particularly travellers and new additions to the State who are under size Abalone as more that big enough to eat in relative comparison to other shellfish they have consumed elsewhere. These are generally taken in shallow water, and other by divers, often minors, without licences.	Satisfied	10	Fishermen do have access to freezers. I understand the need to ensure people are not hoarding a lot of fish, but reducing the holding limits 'on any species' means that fishermen do not have the 'routine' option to freeze their catch. A licence fee is paid for the privileges to fish. As a result, a fisherman should have the right to store a reasonable number of fish if he/she she chooses without risk of penalty or breaking the law. I have not dived for Abalone for several years. I have paid licence fees and have not used them. (last year 2018-2019 I did not purchase a licence). I would like to think that the resource is not depleted by opportunistic scuba divers diving in 2-4 metre radius reefs when they can clearly fish deeper. Snorkel only areas please in areas where there are still Abalone on reefs.	Do not support	Generally there can be atleast 4-6 divers in a boat and 20 minutes 5 abalone is enough to feed a family but 2 wouldn't	Satisfied	2	Satisfied	Do not support	Even Though this doesn't affect my Children now but they were learning to snorkle at age 8 and were quite capable of getting Abalone and it is good to teach them the skills of free diving but I know for other they are interested in learning. Hopefully my Grandchildren will also have the opportunity to be able to snorkle for them also.	Satisfied	Do not support - measure or accurate device of their choice.	Satisfied	The Abalone should be put straight back on they are not just tossed over the side of the boat as it is for recreational. but give every one the second chance to measure and count them catch once back in the boat providing they are not to far under size or over quota by no means, but return any unwanted by gluing them back on the reefs. Some people do not have the equipment to glue the shellfish back on but a rubber band and a stick or two could do the trick	Neutral/Not sure	Depends if there is when you can have in possession on the water or whether physically in the water diving for Abalone	Northern Area sure	Neutral/Not sure	Satisfied	The shallow water fisheries are clearly and obviously under increased pressure and higher risk. Tasmania has had an influx of visitors to the state. Many does. We also have an increasing multicultural population that I have personally witnessed taking under size fish (scalefish and finfish) from shallow water regions to the Derwent and on the East Coast of Tasmania. Anecdotally I have heard numerous stories about similar events. This is a serious problem as many do not acknowledge or respect the regulations. More education required. More inspections required - particularly in populated coastal areas.
#128	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	far	Do not support	7 in Tasmania to allow recreational only fishing for abalone. Commercial divers can access all of Tasmania anytime. In spring, after commercial divers move through a spot, even in shallow water, it is extremely difficult to get used abalone in spots where 20 years ago it was always easy to get 10 stand also. Recreational abalone fishing will NOT deleate the stocks, it's the commercial diving that causes the massive impact on abalone stock. It's not fair for recreational fishermen that commercial divers wipe out stock of abalone from the few spots around Tasmania where recreational divers can access from a car and snorkel without a boat. Spots such as Coal Point, Sheepwash Bay, Lighthouse beach, on Binary Island should be banned from commercial fishing since these are some of the few abalone spots which snorkelers can use. I've dived in Tasmania since 1986. It takes more than 2 hours to get 10 size abalone now. So, its very difficult. I agree to a reduction but 5 is too low. 7 is a reasonable number.	Do not support	15		Neutral/Not sure	15		Do not support	5	Support	I agree.	Support		Neutral/Not sure	If this makes it more efficient for professionals that that is good for them.	Support		Support		Support		Support	
#129	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	7 It seems to me more mainland people are coming to Tasmania and exploiting the fishery , consider a premium licence fee for non Tasmanian Recreational fishers. I see commercial catch rates are well down from the 90s and quote is have been slashed but maybe its time to reduce the recreational bag limit to 5 and the size to 15 to help supply more local demand. (.04 I and the majority of recreational fishers	Do not support	15 It seems to me all fishing rules are being tightened up and future generations will miss out , how about the government buy back some of the commercial licences to grow shellfish and remove them using the wild to benefit the local people and marine environment.	Support	2 If I am the only Eastern holder for a family of four I believe i should be allowed to have 20 Abalone in the household for family occasions.	Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Commercial divers MUST check abalone.	Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure	Neutral/Not sure		
#140	Individual		Indigenous commercial/recreational INDIGENOUS/RECREATIONAL	a boat/ashore	snorkel/Hookah	I target abalone when diving/I send to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	improved/therefore need the same/the best	far	Do not support	10		Do not support	80		Do not support	20		Do not support	Again more fishers only go a few times a year. Possession limit of people A boat limit needs to be adjusted to suit size of vessel. An example 0-6 boat limit 20 6-10 boat limit 40 10-20 boat limit 60 Etc I do not see how it helps the fishery by making a boat limit just Stick to the daily bag so each individual can take their own quota home. Enclosure have reduced boat limits in all other speciality species and to not really far to large boat owners and the crew that enjoy extended trips.	Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support	if you can dive and you are competent why should a young person be held back.	Do not support		Do not support		Support		Neutral/Not sure	Neutral/Not sure		
#142	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	far	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	20		Do not support	5	Leave it the same	Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
#144	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	mixed	Satisfied	5	The amount of abalone harvested by recreational fishermen (Tasmania's harvesting Tasmanian fish stocks for consumption) has to be a lot lower given the vastly larger commercial quantities being exported. Why once again are the recreational fishermen being hit by a 50% reduction in catch when they are responsible for a small percentage of the overall catch.	Do not support	8		Do not support	20	Anyon with 4 or more licence holders 15 is starved a bit this.	Satisfied	2	Satisfied	Do not support	Satisfied		Satisfied		Do not support	constricted and unnecessary	Do not support	seecies and will increase mortalities.	Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied	
#152	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good/effecr	Do not support	10		Satisfied	10		Do not support	10		Satisfied	10		Do not support		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Do not support		Satisfied		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support	
#152	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	40		Neutral/Not sure			Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Do not support		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied	
#152	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	mixed	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Do not support		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied	
#154	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	far	Do not support			Do not support			Do not support			Satisfied	2		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied	
#155	Individual		Recreational	a boat/ashore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	far	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	20		Satisfied	5		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Do not support		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied	
#156	Individual		Recreational	a boat	boat/ashore/SCUBA	I target abalone when diving/I send to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	far	Do not support	We get limited to go out fish as all of us that work for a living can only do this on the weekends and generally do it during the tiddly season. Reducing the limit means I need to dive more often to gather the food for the family.	Do not support	This limit is already low - we have 3 kids and live a subsistence lifestyle. Reducing the possession limit means I cannot even feeds 2 meals of abalone in my freezer.	Do not support	It's the same as the bag limit - you just forcing people to dive more to eat enough to eat.	Do not support		Do not support		Satisfied	Set this to the minimum are of a decent licence.	Satisfied		Do not support		Any measuring device that is able to determine the correct size of the abalone is good enough - I don't care if it's a wand stick that uses measured fishers hand is as	Do not support	Any flat blade tool that can reduce harm while removing the abalone with reasonable width to stand minouth.	Do not support		Satisfied		Satisfied		If you want to limit poaching or better monitor the industry then put more people on to control and bust the people businesses breaking the rules stop enforcing charges on people to make up for the ones who do it wrong.
#157	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	over	Do not support	8	Bar commercial fishing within 200m from shoreline so the abalone numbers can come back.	Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Satisfied		Do not support	Increase commercial license into 2mm above recreational size.	Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Do not support	these zones have been overfished by commercial vessels within the last 3 years	these need to be left alone.
#158	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	improved/therefore need the same	mixed	Do not support	8 5 is not enough. But 10 is more than enough to feed its family would be looking at the commercial fishermen. I pay $60 every season to cash and and hold a other and frustrating that recreational fishermen are being continually restricted. Lower the possession limit to 8, don't half it	Do not support	10	I don't think you need to have a lot of these in the freezer.	Do not support	10	I think all divers should be allowed to take their fair limit.	Satisfied	2	Neutral/Not sure	I don't see them at sea.	Satisfied		Do not support	All abalone should be measured straight away. Remove all who takes them.	Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied	UNFAIR!! You're seriously considering INCREASING commercial intake and reducing recreational intake!!!!! All about money I feel.	Do not support	Satisfied		Satisfied	
#159	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	far	Do not support	8		Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	They should be put under the same rules as ur	Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Satisfied		The commercial industry is making vast amounts of money and should be doing everything possible to limit impact on the fishery. These changes make it easier for the commercial industry to profit and restrict the public from catching a feed and enjoying THEIR state's wild produce.
#160	Individual		Recreational	a boat/shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	Most recreational divers head out once a fortnight at most. 5 abalone are the enjoyment of the recreation.	Do not support	15		Do not support	30	I regularly head out with 4 or 5 friends on a boat as this is financially and logistically easier. This is a far larger impact on the recreation than the proposed individual bag limit.	Do not support	The proposed non-fisher limit combined with the proposed bag and possession limits make gifting the occasional abalone pointless.	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Commercial divers MUST check abalone.	Support		Do not support	So little impact that it is pointless reforming.	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		STOP allowing the rich to get richer at the expense of the average local.
#161	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	far	Do not support	8 I think halving the current bag limit is a bit harsh. Reduce if you must, but not halve.	Do not support	Again, halving the possession limit is harsh. If someone wants to go diving over twice as many days, then they should be able to store it up, as long as its not for commercial use.	Do not support	30 I think all divers should be allowed to take their fair limit.	Satisfied	2	Neutral/Not sure	How many people actually eat abalone while they're still out on the water?	Satisfied		Do not support		Satisfied		Satisfied		Do not support		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied	
#162	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	far	Do not support	10		Neutral/Not sure			Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Do not support		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied	
#163	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving/I send to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	I think there should be different zones depending upon the stock number. For example 4 for Channel and 8 for East coast.	Neutral/Not sure	8		Do not support	Would depend on how many licence holders there are on the boat.	Support	2 Sounds reasonable	Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Neutral/Not sure		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied	
#164	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	far	Do not support	I support a reduction, but frankly for myself it's not worth me getting a licence, go once maybe twice and only be allowed 5 per day. I haven't bought a licence for many years but are now in the position to go back into it. These amendments will make me think twice if it is worth it or not.	Do not support	14		Do not support	22		Satisfied	2	Do not support		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied	
#165	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	far	Support	5		Satisfied	10		Satisfied	2		Satisfied	2	Do not support	Age 16 might be better	Satisfied		Do not support	They should measure all abalone straight away	Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied	
#166	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel/SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	far	Support	5		Satisfied	10		Satisfied	2		Satisfied	2	Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Do not support		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied	
#167	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I send to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	Based on IPIAS reports, mc divers catch 1% of total catch between commercial + recreational catch. 8 Reducing mc catch won't have any impact. the decline in the abalone stock on the east coast	Neutral/Not sure	Based on IPIAS reports, mc divers catch 1% of total catch between commercial + recreational catch. 12 Reducing mc catch won't have any impact.	Neutral/Not sure	Not involved in boating	Do not support		Satisfied	Based on IPIAS reports, mc divers catch 1% of total catch between commercial + recreational catch. Reducing mc catch won't have any impact.	Neutral/Not sure		Satisfied		Satisfied		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Satisfied		Satisfied		1. Increase policing/compliance 2. Increase penalties 3. Don't make radical changes to mc users as in terms of volume the total catch is very low. 4. Close areas off to commercial fishermen 5. Close off small pockets of known populations to all fishers. (On a rotational basis) 6. Increase licence fees to mc and commercial. use this money to improve actual compliance. 7. Survey a percentage of mc users on their particulars, catch, area, number over a couple of seasons. 8. Review duty bag limits and boat limits after 5 years to determine success.
#168	Individual		Recreational	a boat/shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	far	Do not support	8		Do not support	15		Do not support	20	Personal use per day of 5 abalone should be sufficient if mc consumed just for that purpose (and not feeding all and/or extended families and friends.	Support	See comment 1(c)	Support	See comment 1(c). plus this requirement allows for opportunistic collection of just a couple of abalone 2. by an individual .	Neutral/Not sure	Can be easily open for abuse of collection restrictions and difficult to enforce	Support		Satisfied	Not required as the onus is on the person to have stated abalone. Just they adhere this is on the licence holder and if they cannot achieve this then they should be fined. The above makes more sense for policing as it is more	Do not support	Commercial fishers should be required to measure each abalone then take their quota. How can this possibly help to provide a healthier fishery. Once the diver removes the abalone there is increased risk of the abalone "bleeding out" of damaged and dive dying. By removing the abalone to the surface and then handled more while measuring takes place would have to increase fatalities in undersized product. Not to mention the ability for a large increase of undersized abalone to be can from the boat back into the water and sent to sit on the floor to land an unfavorable site bottom (sand) or subjected to predation.	Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		To not familiar enough with the impacts from the expansion of specific designated ports. However, in general this could be a negative thing especially if a rotatio n further diluting the ability of current fishers will be effectively reducing the opportunity for the public to access the resource. Also, questions bottom line but it has to be considered in parallel with maintaining effective fisheries staff monitoring capability. As a diver on the east coast (ponsford, hazelot and scotts) I have witnessed changes in the fishery from 1981. For sure the introduction of quotas and have the nature of the business has transformed from local owner divers to investors (outside the state) contracting divers. I would dispute the benefits this has brought to the industry and particularly the local community. Prior to the quotas and when I was the present and I fail to see how 2% taken by recreational divers can be held responsible for decline in the fishery. I was involved in the now defunct "Great Abalone Bake off" and remain passionate about the rights of locals to access a community resource by dint of their own efforts. I hope to pass on my love of recreational hunter gathering to my grandchildren. Mostly diving from St Helens: I have greatly restricted my taking of abalone and crayfish in light of dwindling stock reports and reduced bag limits. I have accepted a reduced take in the area but stood vehemently farther afield in the Bicheno area where I have been diving for decades without any impact. While supporting most of the minor changes, further targeting the recreational sector is just unfair. I regard Tuf-ish proposals for more management of both abalone and particularly crayfish as better thought out. I encourage a need to take action to have a sustainable fishery but the benefits to our local community
#169	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	far	Do not support	8		Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	5		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Do not support	Commercial fishers should be required to measure each abalone then take their quota. How can this possibly help to provide a healthier fishery. Once the diver removes the abalone there is increased risk of the abalone "bleeding out" of damaged and dive dying. By removing the abalone to the surface and then handled more while measuring takes place would have to increase fatalities in undersized product. Not to mention the ability for a large increase of undersized abalone to be can from the boat back into the water and sent to sit on the floor to land an unfavorable site bottom (sand) or subjected to predation. This seems a huge step backwards in protecting the species and will increase mortalities.	Do not support		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		Satisfied		While understanding the importance of the commercial abalone fishery, recreational fishermen are Tasmania's consuming Tasmanian produce and should be pacified not bad Western Australian Fisheries seem priorities recreational fishermen more than in Tasmania as can be seen with such projects as the bay back of commercial licenses in the waters area (200 km plus) so to provide a healthier recreational fishery What is the percentage of catch of recreational fishing vs commercial? Again understanding the importance of the commercial fishery, how much are Recreational fishermen putting into the economy along with recreational licenses, boat licenses, fishing equipment etc. There is a feeling of the recreational fisherman being 'the last guy' in Tasmania which is wrong as they are the local, ours and the state's
#170	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving/I send to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	far	Neutral/Not sure	5		Do not support	10		Do not support	30		Do not support	Minimal fish would be taken as most can only fish from the shore	Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	I support measuring devices but it includes the commercial sector	Do not support - measure or accurate device of their choice.	Absolutely scaaa

Submission #	Individual or organisation	Organisation	Category	shore/boat	method	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 10	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments	Consumption at sea.	Consumption at sea comments	Minimum age licence holder	Minimum age licence comments	Possession deeming provisions (< 18 years)	Possession deeming provisions comments	Measuring device definition	Measure device comments	Remove commercial fishers to measure abalone	Comm measuring comments	Abalone tool definition	Tool comments	Night time closure	Night time closure comments	Northern Area definition	Northern Area Comments	Designated ports and landing areas	Designated port comments	Administrative matters and further comments
#179	Individual		Recreational	a boat/Hire shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Do not support	0		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support			Engage in an education and enforcement program targeted at Asian residents in Tasmania. Some locations, eg Lady Bay, are regularly targeted by sun loads of international residents, utilising top-of-the-range SCUBA equipment to take shellfish. These parties are regularly observed to take undersize fish, above bag limits.
#180	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	improved	Good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support			
#181	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	Good	Support	5		Support	10		Do not support	20		Neutral/Not sure			Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure			
#182	Individual		Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5	Happy to reduce numbers I catch personally as long as the numbers of commercial limits are reduced also. Divers like myself feel poor minimal impact on the abalone population, however the impact of commercial divers is so much. It is so obvious when they have gone through it's like everything has been picked clean with a toothpick. I would also recommend a max size limit to abalone. Whereby both commercial and recreational divers can only take abalone of a certain size.	Support	10		Support				Support	2		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support			
#183	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	Set Pparor	Do not support	8	a medium to large size. This would allow for the older larger abalone to	Do not support	20		Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		
#184	Individual		Recreational	snorkel/the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	Good	Do not support	8	So crazy that the recreational get targeted again when in all to do with the commercial side. I bet licenses were get cheaper	Do not support	Why not cut in half why not just decrease to by 2 or 3 18 atleast it still makes it worth while getting a feed		Do not support	30	If you reduce it to 3 usually we have 4 divers so that will be enough for us	Do not support		I like to give away my catch sometimes and 3 would only be a taste.	Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Do not support	You cant have two different rules for different people why cant you make things fair They do carry bottles that measure abalone if they are unterdict of the size don't be greedy as they have always been and return it to the rock which I have done over the past 50 years	Do not support	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support			Look after the recreational people better as all the money spent stays in the state and unofficial as well which will be enjoyed with family and friends. I would like to see Commercial No Take Areas to be implemented such as Frederick Henry Bay Shark Nursery which has only narrow bottom and has been decimated in areas by greedy Commercial divers and may never recover. Also in the areas an upper limit to ensure breeding stock and a relocation of large abalone to assist in its progress of regeneration We need to have designated areas around the state that are only for recreational divers, it's a bit like crayfish. The pillaging undertaken by commercial operators - even though within their quotas - makes me sick.
#185	Individual		Recreational	a boat/Hire shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	20		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support			
#186	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5	Abalone often of questionable size.	Do not support			Do not support	20	Four divers, with 5 abs each or a boat =20abs		Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support	no way - these guys are decimating the stock as it is	Support		Support		Support			
#187	Individual		Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Neutral/Not sure	20	Boat limit can be problematic for dive clubs (Like dive club) with multiple, independent divers.	Support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure	Neutral/Not sure		
#188	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving/ill need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	8	I live in Melbourne and so do not get that many opportunities to dive when at home in Tasmania and see my license which I pay for every year. My dive trips are usually day trips or more remote places that are difficult to access and therefore I do not catch many abalone. I would only take 5 abalone these trips as I family south while. I dive to my catch with my family who are lovers of seafood but are older and can The data suggests recreational catch represents around 1-2% of the total allowable quota, so it seems unreasonable for recreational fishers to be penalised. If the decision does penalise legal recreational fishers then as a minimum the permit costs should also reduce proportionately. However, given recreational fishers have little impact on the overall quota there are big questions as to why this small niche is being targeted	Support	10		Support		The challenge is how to best manage the personnel illegal selling of abalone which requires additional resources to "police" Tasmanian waters and various exit points from the state additionally if and it's a big if the numbers of abalone are deemed a specific areas then perhaps consideration of shortening the season for a brief period on selected areas on a rotational basis. However further stakeholder consultation will be required.	Support	2		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure			
#189	Organisation	Dossers, Fishers & Farmers Party Tasmania	Political Party				remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	guess there are big questions as to why this small niche is being targeted	Do not support		maintain status quo	Do not support			Neutral/Not sure			Support		Support		Support	Regardless of commercial or recreational fishers all should be reasonable to ensure abalone are of size to harvest, fishing sustainably is the key	Do not support	Support	consistency of measuring devices, provided the cost to procure is reasonable for all then we support the concept	Support	I traditional take fish with better tools or by hand. Education to take fish before they suck down and not stab foot is best way to prevent fish bleeding out from	Support		Support		Support		Commercial fishers require various access points to land their commercial catch with appropriate checks and balances in place	
#190	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	4	Less is more, I enjoy there fresh.	Support	5	Same as catch.	Support	10	be tightened.	Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support	only few fishermen then this	Support		Support		Support			
#191	Individual		Recreational	a boat/Hire shore	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Support	5		Support	10		Neutral/Not sure		per license holder on boat limit I do not support any boat limit in fishing while a license is required. Each license holder should be able to hold their bag limit or possession limit if an extended trips. It is too expensive now not to share boat costs with others and should geology. I dive maybe 2-3 trip a year. On these trips we may have 4-6 divers. I do not support any boat limits in fishing. It should be bag limit per person as everyone has paid for a license and should be able to take their bag for the day regardless of how many on the boat	Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support			
#192	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Support	5	It is good for a feed fresh.	Support	10		Do not support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support	One spouse is that I don't understand why the requirement for commercial fishers to immediately measure every abalone would be removed. Doesn't this risk collection of undersized dive? Note: Indicated support after clarifying in an email.	Neutral/Not sure			Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure	Neutral/Not sure		
#193	Individual		Recreational						Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support			
#194	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same/Motivated	fair	Support	8	I believe strongly in the conservation of this valuable marine species not only for my sake but for the future sustainability for the stocks. If the	Neutral/Not sure			Support	10		Support	2		Do not support		Support	I believe the age should be higher as the species is so valuable ☐	Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support		Do not support	I believe other tools may be used to remove abalone safely from rocks and should not be limited to strictly	Support		Support		Support			
#195	Organisation	Break O'Day sports anglers	Recreational	the shore	snorkel		declined	good	Do not support	10	I dive spasmodically and generally only take enough to feed family and guests for a get together. If trialling this fishery to 5 abalone this represents a cut of fifty percent and as we take less than 5 percent of the fishery it is unlikely for a better outcome would be to cut the commercial fishery by fifty percent	Support		10 Abalone I take are generally consumed on the day	Do not support	10 per diver Each person on the boat should be allowed their bag limit compliance should have nothing to do with bag limits it's a separate issue if more resources are needed that's what should happen					Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support	Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure			Recreational abalone diving has been central to my years as a snorkeller and diver. Measures to further restrict the recreational sector in favour of commercial activities which are largely for export being kept Tasmanian access to their own resources. Compliance matters are a separate issue and involve sector in blameless. Numbers suggest that non-compliance in the commercial sector has greater impact. 2% v 98% lax
#196	Orientation	Reefish tas	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	Good	Do not support	10	and then you now see some recovery in the fishery that has been so	Support	10		Do not support			Support	2		Do not support		Support	A Fisher should be able to eat their entire catch at sea, if they wish so long as they return the shells	Do not support	It needs to be the same for the commercial sector as recreational	Support		Do not support	Needs to be the same as recreational fishers	Support		Support		Support			With the current state of the abalone fishery measurement should be rendemarcter (write constricts on the commercial sector to enable the fishers to recover from the sand over fishter
#197	Individual		Commercial	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10	1.7 %, ,,,,,,,,!!!!!!	Do not support	20	Do not support of Tasmania unless commercial operators	Do not support			Do not support			Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Why change !!!	Support	Always have done 12 years If an abalone is bought to the surface remained out of the water for a reasonable amount of time the survival if thrown back would plummet to 98, remain would kill them before they hit the bottom. It's not that hard for the divers who have the measure on their knives and are on a compressor so not holding their breath. I freedive and still never the abalone down and place right way up if understand They should measure immediately and if under size put	Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure	Neutral/Not sure		Make things happen now ,,,,,,,,

Submission #	Individual/ organisation	Organisation	Category	shore/ boat	method	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 10.	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments:	Consumption at sea.	Consumption at sea comments	Minimum age of licence holder	Minimum age licence comments	Possession deeming provisions (< 18 years)	Possession deeming provisions comments:	Measuring device definition	Measure device comments	Remove commercial fishers to measure immediately	Comm measuring comments	Abalone tool definition	Tool comments	Night time closure	Night time closure comments	Northern Area definition	Northern Area Comments:	Designated ports and landing areas	Designated port comments	Administrative matters and further comments
19128	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	10	This is just another take from the Recreational sector. I believe inc take only 2% of the total catch. I worked occasionally in the Port Arthur area. I used to be able to go my bag regularly but the professional diver have smashed this area. I noticed a small boat with one diver was there all day doing the shore line that I dive.	Do not support		As above	Do not support	10	as above	NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure		Support		Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure		Exclude the Commercial fisher from certain areas that are easily accessible for fleco, eg Port Arthur area and other areas around the state
19129	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same/Matched	fair	Do not support	10	Why restrict recreational fishers? I understand that commercial fishers	Do not support		Remain unchanged	Do not support	10	have much smaller quotas.	NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure		I regularly dive with my friends sons who are both below the age of 10 and they love diving for their own abs.	As above	Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure		I thought the article stated that we are trying to preserve/support abalone stocks/or decrease?
19130	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	fair	Do not support	8		NeutralNot sure			Support					NeutralNot sure		Support				Support		NeutralNot sure		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support	
19131	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Do not support	10	Recreational catch is very small (2% of commercial catch). Proposal to halve possession limit doesn't seem to be backed by science but a recommendation from the commercial catch limits. Proposal doesn't seem to be backed by science but a recommendation from the commercial catch limits. If issue for some groups taking large numbers out of the country, implement bans/rules limiting that.	Do not support		This will significantly impact our diving - we regularly have 8 divers on board. A limit of 15 is less than 2 per diver - that's not enough for a beach/Wildcat is for days cruise? Proposal doesn't seem to be backed by science but a recommendation from the commercial catch limits.	Support	1	Agree	Support	Agree	Support	Agree	Support	Agree	Support	Agree	NeutralNot sure	Or you just bump them off by hand... easier than getting your bolts out.	Do not support		Support	Agree	Support	Agree	Recommendations for modifications to the recreational catch shouldn't come from the commercial Abalone Fishery Advisory Council when the numbers are in decline and they are responsible for 98% of the catch..... I sometimes dive with a buddy who does not hold a licence, obviously for safety reasons. This, as far as I can see not illegal as long as my buddy is not taking abalone, but I wonder doe exploiting so a bluff might be difficult. Maybe some clarification here might be appropriate.
19132	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	I don't dive often so I like to take the maximum when I do. I think shore based snorkelling is self limiting so perhaps limits on SCUBA or Hookah fishers might be appropriate or at least better policing of boat based fishers should be more focused. I have never been checked. I think 10 abalone is a decent and fair amount. I usually don't target abalone, but do enjoy cooking 'crap for my family'. I snorkel, scale and gut the fish and cook them on the beach (I don't have a boat, I live in a suburb/suburb around 5 kms per swim) because it's hard to get time to get under water. Typically I dive crayfish, but do often see plenty of sized abalone. To make a trip worthwhile for targeting abs wouldn't be viable if the catch limit was reduced any further. I think shore should be more focus reducing sea urchin numbers. Whenever I find dense patches of urchins, there are often lots of empty undersized abalone shells. These areas can also have good potential crop halves, which are full of urchins and not crap. As the result of Don River I've witnessed Chinese people flock to the shore at low tide wielding large flat head screwdrivers. I had some abalone within reach there but certainly not stood. More emphasis should be put to protecting juvenile abs as they can mature for future generations. Similar occurrence happened at Green Island at Flinders. A mainlander took undersized green abalone and didn't take it very well	Support		10 is plenty for a feast.	Support		Great idea, I have seen boats with 4 and 5 divers taking turns with hookah units and clear out areas of both rock lobster and abalone.	Support		Good idea but you can't police this.	Support		Support		Support		Support	Would make policing easier.	Support		Support	Non fisher with this area so I can't comment	NeutralNot sure		Agree with this area as I can't comment	
19133	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Why does it need to change ?	Do not support		As above	Do not support	10	As above!	Support	1		Support		Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
19135	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved	good	Do not support	10	Finally, King Island Abalone fishery is healthy and sustainable. If mainland Tasmanian waters have declining numbers of Abalone stocks, then exclude the waters around King Island, for any reduction in the recreational bag limit. Allow Licence holders to purchase King Island Abalone stocks, extend the Licensing for the professional licence, so only allow King Island Licensed professionals from harvesting our waters.	Do not support		In a healthy fishery there is no reason to change the base limit for Abalone. If the pressure to do this is coming from the Commercial harvesters, then manage further their impact on the Abalone stocks. This however is not the case on King Island.	Support		Far enough. A good change.	Support	Good change	Support	A good enhanced rule change.	Do not support		Support	Far enough	Support	Absolutely. I gave seen Mainland Tas Pro's seizing all night high tide and early morning low tide. Our Abalone needs to be a recreational zone, my 200 metres, where if a person a Actions is prohibited from harvesting Abalone.	NeutralNot sure	I am unaware of the complaints in this area.	NeutralNot sure	Unsure Not enough knowledge of this area.	As above I have listed quite a few points. I wish you well. But please consider that our fisheries is special and is very healthy. The only threat to the healthy state of the Abalone stocks on King Island, is the mainland Professionals coming into King Island waters, to fill their quota. This is the single most important item, that needs addressing.
19136	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	need	Do not support	10		Do not support		If we go away for a few days it isn't reasonable to not be able to keep the full 20 for us in the future. I dive recreationally, and only 5-10 times a year. I don't think I have taken more than 20 over 19 AND in the last 20 years	Do not support	30		Support	2	Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
19137	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved	good	Do not support	10		Do not support		20	Do not support	30		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
19138	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support		20	Do not support	30		NeutralNot sure			Support		Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support	
19139	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	improved	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support		15	Do not support	Very rare that a rec boat will have more than 2 divers on board as we then take their 20 fish		Do not support	1		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Current system works very well	Do not support		Do not support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure		Support	
19140	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Neutral/Not sure	8	It should be enough to meet the daily protein requirements of an average family	NeutralNot sure		I don't think you should be able to stockpile too dramatically	Do not support			Support	2		Support	This is a fantastic way for people to the actual	Support		I would not accept an age any older. 10 is pushing it	Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure	Support the iron but not the knife	NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure		Support	
19141	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Support		10	Do not support	per person limit applies who has a license.		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	Restrict the amount of abalone that can be exported. This should help retain some for Australians and lower the price a little. Set up more marine reserves with no take zones for all marine species. Beside these zones (say 2km) only allow marine species to be taken by free dive. Stop the commercial fishing boats coming so close to shore. Once there were a lot of abalone close to the shore but now, after the mother boats come and their tireless are on every reef, there is a struggle to find them. Possibly create a no go zone 100 meters from shore.
19142	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		NeutralNot sure			NeutralNot sure			Support	2		NeutralNot sure		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure	
19142	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/scuba	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10	many people do not dive and when I dive I feed divers all. Older relatives and children. It is not possible to go diving more than once a month so the limit suggested would be insufficient for even personal consumption let alone preparing for family. I think that 10 abalone a day is reasonable because most people only dive occasionally	Do not support		20	buoying is expensive. Need the higher limit to justify the buoying costs	Do not support	30	I believe ten per license should remain. There is no way to choose this option in the drop down. 2 people x10, 4 people=40 etc	Support	2	all should have a license	Do not support	poindexter rule	It is crisp, unsoiled/unwindmilled,	Support	rockless to not do it. I suggest it is aligned to FAQ1 rules	Do not support	crimbusus	Support	just give the clear measurement, device to measure is	Support	do it in the boat already hard enough underwater with swell and current	Neutral/Not sure		Support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure		the quota reduction on recreational divers while extending commercial fishermen makes no sense, please reconsider this motion, no support from my end. It does not assist sustainability and does not address the root cause of the abalone numbers decreasing - reduce commercial quota and assist stocks to replenish.
19144	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	need	Do not support	10	I think that 10 abalone a day is reasonable because most people only dive occasionally Commercial divers are a bigger threat than recreational in the area I live and this is seeing not local, local, are left accountable while visiting divers are not. Local fishers are accountable to local communities and are not designated for them. Areas need to be looked at individually.	Do not support		10		Do not support	30		Support	2		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure	
19145	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	good	Do not support	10	King Island doesn't have the population that some areas in Tasmania have. I believe that the vast majority of the recreational fishers when purchase a recreational abalone licence actually only dive for their catch a handful of times per year. I know a lot of recreational boaters and divers where all enjoy the recreation but given the limited spare time and competing obligations in this busy day and age they only actively pursue the harvest of abalone a few times per year. Of these few occasions they actually harvest their limit of abalone. This is not necessarily due to low stocks but a series of factors (they are only a hyoooh or a few are sufficient for their needs, weather, time frames etc). Reducing the allowable catch for the recreational sector will have an extremely minimal impact on stocks and take away the attractiveness and visibility of harvesting a bag abalone that can be taken home, freeze	Support		10	Leave it as is. It is only on rare occasions that myself and my family harvest our limit of abalone. We do not necessarily dive as low stocks but a series of factors (they are only a hyooh or a few are sufficient for their needs, weather, time frames etc). Reducing the allowable catch for the recreational sector will have an extremely minimal impact on stocks and take away the attractiveness and visibility of harvesting a bag abalone that can be taken home, freeze	Support		10 per licenced person Why not let every licenced fisher catch their allowable bag limit regardless of how they get to their fishing grounds. It seems ridiculous that you would take an additional boat or additional expense just to allow each legal, paid up and licenced fisher to catch their allowable bag limit.	Support	2 A possession limit of 5 for non-licence holders seems fair. From my observations and experience the majority of recreationally licenceed abalone are consumed by the fishing party on or close to the date of harvest. Most people don't want to hack at home after returning from a fishing trip. A few may be given away to family and friends however this would be on limited occasions in any 2 case.	Do not support This seems fair but would not be performed by myself or crew. I don't know of anyone whom shucks abalone at sea.	Seems fair	Support	Seems appropriate.	Do not support	Seems fair	Support	Seems appropriate.	Do not support	Commercial fishers should measure each and every abalone they take. This would place a lot more pressure on abalone stocks without doubt. If they measure underwater abalone at the surface they are likely to be drawn back to the sea over land or wind beds and die anyway which does not protect the stocks	Do not support		This will have a negligible impact on recreational divers.	This will prevent damage to abalone.	NeutralNot sure	Yes I agree that they should have a bigger size limit	Support	Do not support	Please, please, please do not make the recreational sector bear the brunt of the requirement changes. This is the sector that has the least impact on fish stocks yet it is probably the sector that you will receive the most amount of backlash from as you can "be seen" to making changes when in reality the changes will have little to no impact. The commercial catch is where the real changes can be made as you are no doubt aware but I guess you are afraid to upset the apple cart in this area. Tasmanian residents, paying Tasmanian licences and fishing in Tasmanian waters should have full access to this world and sustainable resource rather than allowing the large majority of the harvest to be sent offshore.
19147	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5	I caught no abalone so far but my friends did. Sometime they caught so much and shower in with. I do not agree they go to catch that much as I don't think they consume that much by themselves.	Support		10	Do not support	20	Often dive with 4 people on vessel.	Support	2	NeutralNot sure		Support		Support		Do not support	Have the same rule for both either way	Support		Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure	
19148	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved	good	Support	5		NeutralNot sure		10	Do not support	15		Do not support	2		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure	
19150	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5	As snorkeling, especially last two years I have seen the decline of abalone stock in place around South Port. And every time for diving, I normally catch 3-4 per day in the size limit. So I personally agree with the new rule, which doesn't worry me at all.	NeutralNot sure		I think abalone fishery is healthy and sustainable. If mainland Tasmanian waters have declining numbers of Abalone stocks, then exclude the waters around King Island, for any reduction in the recreational bag limit. Allow Licence holders to purchase King Island Abalone stocks, extend the Licensing for the professional licence, so only allow King Island Licensed professionals from harvesting our waters.	Do not support		I don't know there was a buoy/stick in place. People leaving buoys are more exposed to catch them as they cover across areas long away from shoreline. I suggest the boat limit. Even 10 is enough!	Support	2	Support	Before one gets caught on a buoy by oxygen, anyone can consume as many as they like. I support this new rule.	Support	what about increasing it by 12 years old?	Support	no doubt	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure		Over the last 10 years, I came across people saying it is getting more difficult to catch abalone recreationally as the stock in the water is decreasing. Especially last 3 years were the highest times of too much harvesting. It is a very good time to review and update as it is mentioned in the report, especially with demographic changes and more visitors from overseas. Therefore, for the sake of the enjoyment of my family, I strongly support most of recreational rule changes. Lower catch limits for abalone for commercial fisherman Close the east coast of Tasmania for commercial divers The number of abalone from Orford to port Arthur has plummeted over the last 5 years Make commercial divers have a larger size abalone Commercial cray fishermen are also destroying the east coast of Tasmania
19151	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5	You people need to slow down the commercial abalone industry on the east coast, I have lived in Dunalley my entire life and it's becoming a battle to find abalone especially for my kids trying to reach down snorkeling, even on a hookah it is still becoming a battle	Support		10		Do not support			Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Do not support	Commercial abalone divers are the ones destroying the east coast lower their quota or stop commercial diving on the east coast for 5 years so they can re grow	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support	Yes I agree that they should have a bigger size limit	Do not support	
19152	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5	Big limit of 10 is crazy. 3 per person would be plenty. Something like this needs to be done	Support		10	Support			Do not support		NeutralNot sure		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
19153	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	10	If the limits change I hot the licence fees won't so I'll be paying more for less? And 5 wouldn't be enough for a feed for my family, so I'd have to do one consecutive days to get a feed!	Support		10	Do not support	Possession of 10 per person per licence holder with a maximum of 40 (x licence per boat). I personally believe that fishing or diving in numbers is much safer. Lowering possession limits may increase numbers left in the water by recreational fishers but they will only be picked up by commercial fishers so until commercial quotas are cut back at the same proportion between recreational and the new proposed recreational changes (50%) well not support changes. As per usual these proposed changes will pass through as the new rules no matter how much or "weak" they are. Why? Take 10 litre to see is a map of where commercial and recreational fishers take abalone and quantities currently taken by each. Obviously depends on how many divers there are in the boat but I'd say you wouldn't get more that 3 divers in a boat at any one time	Do not support Do not support			Do not support Do not support			Do not support Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support	A broad blade shows 15 mm with correct technique will fish an abalone off a rock ! So with the new measurements if my ab size is 24mm wide I won't be allowed to use a crowe though I've been using the same one for 27 years	Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure	
19154	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved/Remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support		20	Do not support	30		NeutralNot sure			NeutralNot sure				Support		Support		Do not support	Rec fishers have to have a measuring device on them on should the pros	Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure	
19155	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/hookah	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10	Leave amateur fishers alone, they are not responsible for declining stocks, nor are they reaping massive income benefit. Move commercial divers out to at least 200 meters from shore and impose BIG fines for not complying	Do not support		20	Do not support	20		Do not support	1	Stop nibbling the recreational sector from benefiting from a natural resource. Pair commercial divers out to 200 meters from shore.	Do not support	This is absolutely ridiculous, this can only be seen as MICROMANAGEMENT of a natural resource	Support		only with a recreational license	Support	measure all Abalone when picked up and place back if under size immediately. That is part of commercial doing and an accepted part of Abalone diving	Do not support	Its part of the job	Support	using sharp pointed instruments only damages Abalone fish and the shell can crack	Support	Abalone resources should only be accessed during daylight hours	Support		Support	apply total allowable catch limits to commercial divers in any given region, this will allow reduction of wasting our areas and preserve stocks. Abalone divers should be required to move from a site when a total catch limit is reached. Stops an area from being over fished and wiped out. Apply a 200 meter exclusion zone from the shore for the Commercial divers, this will assist with preventing attacks in all areas. Increase the size limit for Abalone by 2 mm for all participants.
19156	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Do not support	10	I think you need to concentrate on managing commercial ab divers rather than smashing the rec guys all the time. A blanket, statewide halving of the bag limit for recreational fishers is unethical given the overwhelmed share of the total catch they represent. As a weekend guy I can see the impact of the commercial fishing fleet on the abs. I don't currently have a licence as I haven't had the opportunity to go for Abalone in the last few years, it is much harder to get them. So if I've gone away for a weekend and get a chance to do the overnoble long with to a suitable shore spot to access the water near Abalone grounds, a limit of 5 Abalone makes it hardly worth my while. If there are areas heavily over fished, do temporary closures or temporary reduced bag limits for those areas. (Note: For people on scuba, primarily targeting Crayfish, they easily get in Ab territory by boat, and other just go Abs at the end of their dive after they've gotten Cray quota, so it is just a bonus and they don't actively target Abs. Halving the bag limit for Ab take a unlikely to affect them as much as it does for snorkel fishers accessing the Abalone primarily from land). The commercial sector instead, as the major taker of Abalone, should have their quotas reduced by a much larger share by the general public as a measure that	Do not support		20	as above	Do not support		depending on the amount of licence divers in the boat	Do not support	1	Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support	
19157	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	10	reduced to support access by the general public to a resource that	Do not support		20	Do not support	30		NeutralNot sure			Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
19158	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	I fill that the recreational fishermen are getting the raw end of the deal as specially when the commercial fishermen can fish were the want and no one seen or a number of occasions that commercial fishermen are fishing in the no take areas and no one seen or prosecuted. I know of no fifteen meters off shore with hookah making food for recreational fishermen to get abalone then your going to let them only check one abalone out of 20 for size that just wrong Just because the bag limit is 10 does not mean people are taking 10 each time they dive. Some days we go and we would be lucky to get 1 size each. Other days we would have a good day and collect over 10 size and would have to place some back. I think that recreational fishers are being unfairly targeted and blamed for the decline in stocks when the high police presence in the district & buoys makers has contributed to this I believe. People know that the police boats can be about and are	Do not support		20	20 for size that just wrong	Do not support		The one we have	Do not support		Do not support																			
19159	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved/Remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support			Neutral/Not sure	20		NeutralNot sure			NeutralNot sure		Do not support	I think that for safety purposes children need to be taught how to dive and collect abalone from a young age at which they feel comfortable. However I think that the bag limit for a recreational diver should be halved for the first 5 years after they are under water. Let bag trying to collect a full bag. I also think children under the age need to have a licensed adult with them while they are diving	Do not support		NeutralNot sure		Do not support	Commercial fishers should have to measure every abalone after they are detached from the rock. Objects underwater can be very misleading and I know of a diver who thinks he has a size of abalone in a set he gave away to get your eye in and be wrong about actual size.	Do not support		NeutralNot sure		Support	As a recreational fisher we only dive for abalone at daylight hours anyway.	NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure	
19160	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/scuba	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5	It always seems to be the Amateur that has the bag limit reduced not the professionals I would support a reduced limit if professionals will take	Support		10	Support Neutral/Not sure		Do not support NeutralNot sure			Support		Do not support 12 years old are would allow the mature measured to fish sensible.	Support		Support		Do not support Neutral/Not sure	They have fooled the fishery so it won't hurt them to take their time to measure each of the last remaining fish in our waters! rifle before they tell them also.	Do not support Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	Please put create reserves in the waters around Flinders Island. These could be closed to all fishing for a set amount of years and then reopened whilst other areas are closed. I don't know the science but these waters will take more years to recover. The fishery is fucked.
19161	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support		20	Do not support	30		NeutralNot sure			NeutralNot sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
19162	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same/Matched	good	Do not support	10		Support		10	Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
19163	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5		Support		10	Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Keep the plastic gauge as a measuring tool. Do not remove the requirements for commercial to measure each abalone!	Do not support	Removal of this will increase the mortality rate of those measures and found to be small and thrown back.	Do not support		Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		Do not support	Support stanley and ansdown but not Woolcomb
19164	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	10	Rec fisheries contribute to 1% of the abalone take in Tasmania so why are we get restricted the real issue is commercial quota being to large for the grow back period it takes them to recover and they are stood to 50 recover and they are stood no close to shore!!!! Compliance and localisation problems should be dealt with by other measures. As the rec. catch represents only 2% of the total catch it is just unfair and unnecessary to reduce the daily bag limit. I have been diving for abalone for over 30 years and in most seasons I would only take abalone on 3 or 4 occasions. I believe the majority of divers only take abalone on a limited number of days. It can be expensive to go diving for the day and most only have the time to dive occasionally. To be restricted to only 3 abalone on these few occasions would be unfair impact. If there are a small number of divers who are	Rec fisheries contribute to 1% of the abalone take in Tasmania so why are we get restricted the real issue is commercial quota being to large for the grow back period it takes them to recover and they are stood to 50 recover and they are stood no close to shore!!!! If an other measure can be found I would prefer to see a reduction in the possession limit to 15 or 10 if absolutely necessary rather than any reduction in the daily bag limit. As boat this would not disadvantage the occasional divers but enable tighter compliance on those trying to exploit the system. I do not consider 10 abalone is an excessive daily catch when possible but I do not believe people need to stockpile them.	Do not support Do not support		Rec fisheries contribute to 1% of the abalone take in Tasmania so why are we get restricted the real issue is commercial quota being to large for the grow back period it takes them to recover and they are stood to 50 recover and they are stood no close to shore!!!!	NeutralNot sure NeutralNot sure			NeutralNot sure NeutralNot sure		Support	If you are protecting the undersize abalone then why are you changing it to the commercial divers don't have to measure them straight away!	Do not support		NeutralNot sure NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure NeutralNot sure		Support	Make the size limit for them bigger pls !!!	Do not support	If they are about to use it then why can't we!
19165	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/hookah	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same/Matched	fair	Do not support	10		NeutralNot sure		15	Do not support	20		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure	
19166	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		NeutralNot sure			Do not support	30		Support	2		NeutralNot sure		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		NeutralNot sure		Support	

Submission #	Individual/ organisation	Category	shore/ boat	method	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 15	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments
								Do not support	10	Introduce Recreational Abalone Fishing Zones where commercial divers can't collect animals.	Support	10	Introduce Recreational Abalone Fishing Zones where commercial divers can't collect animals.
#1667	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good			If reduced total take is required it should be taken from the commercial	Support		
#1668	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	quota.	Do not support	20	As above.
#1669	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	15	
#1670	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10	Cut commercial quota if making a change to recreational. I only manage to go diving several times a year and I like to make most out of the occasions.	Do not support	15	
#1671	Individual	Recreational	a boat/the shore	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1672	Individual	Recreational	a boat/the shore	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1673	Individual	Recreational	shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Do not support		
#1674	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved	good	Do not support	10		Support	10	
#1675	Individual	Recreational	shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1676	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	same	poor	Do not support	8		Do not support	15	
#1677	Individual	Recreational	a boat/the shore	hookah	I target abalone when diving	improved	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1678	Individual	Recreational	shore	snorkel/SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	improved	good	Do not support	10	Keep in the same limit if a hard enough to get 10 no mixing 6.5 is just outdoor our lives at risk because we will have to dive 2 dives to win our	Do not support	20	
#1679	Individual	Recreational	a boat	L&R	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1680	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Support	5		Support		
#1681	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	I do not support this reduction in all state waters. I think that the east coast of Tasmania the stocks have obviously reduced and as a catch limiting reduction could apply. But for fishing on the west coast the stocks are healthy. It is a lot of work to travel to the west coast to only return with 5 abalone. My personal catch is around 10 Abalone per year. Due to distant travel to dive locations it would be very disappointing to	Support		I support it. I think they should set up zones for the abalone like they have for the recreational cray fishing. From the Friars off Bicary in the south to Marrawah in the north west I think limits should stay the same. A	Support
#1682	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	same	fair	Do not support	10	only be allowed 5 Abalone.	Do not support	20	
#1683	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1684	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	10	I only fish normally once a year. I only fish close to shore at low tide.	Support	10	
#1685	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	mixed	Do not support	10	This is grossly unfair to recreational fishers who take less than 2% of the tac. Most recreational fishers like to take a feed home to their families as all enjoy together and are proud that they made the effort to go and catch a feed with their bare hands. 5 Abalone not isn't enough for a feed for most families	Neutral/Not sure	30	See above
#1686	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving/I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10	
#1687	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10	
#1688	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	same	good	Do not support	10	10 is not an excessive amount for a large family to enjoy in one sitting. My comments and suggestions regard current recreation Rock Lobster rules and catch limits. I have been free diving for approx 45 years. My age is 69. I am A Queensladder. I travel to Tasmania for a holiday each year for two weeks and get my abalone and crayfish licenses. I specifically target the Rock Lobster and Crayfish because it is illegal for me to bring home to Queensland and enjoy the fruits of my labour while on holiday there. If the quote changes it will not be worth Tasmania as a holiday destination any more as that is what the holiday is all about, unless they	Do not support	20	
#1689	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel									
#1690	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	mixed	Do not support	10	introduce neutral tourist quotas.	Do not support	20	
#1691	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	The Abalone Fishery is being impacted significantly by Commercial Over fishing, reduce the commercial catch
#1692	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	fair	Do not support	10	When snorkelling for abalone it is very hard work and if the catch limit was reduced it would not be worth it to try and get a feed. I'm all for protecting the stocks. 5 Abalone is an ample amount per person but I feel the recreational size limit should be lowered to allow more abalone to be taken. I feel that there is far too much	Neutral/Not sure	20	
#1693	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	Low number of fish taken by rec sector doesn't justify reduction. Should seek further information from Rec sector on alternatives.	Do not support	20	Low number of fish taken by rec sector doesn't justify reduction. Should seek further information from Rec sector on alternatives.
#1694	Individual	Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/Hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	improved	good	Do not support	10	leave it the same other wise it is not worth chasing them. I think the bag limit is silly it means if you boat dive with 6 divers that will only allow 3 fish making 3 trips rewarded to be fair to all divers making slides the fact this is not fair when fishers increasing the number	Do not support	20	
#1695	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	of a hybrid - pass on boats to make it more economical - can ad years old	Do not support	20	
#1696	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1697	Individual	Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/Hookah	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10	Why should recreational fishers have to pay the price from mismanagement from an industry run by the people making all the money from these high quotes. Restrictions on Abalone bag limits should be placed on commercial divers who are 100% responsible for the decline in stocks. Why do we allow 50 Tonne commercial Divers to make big $$$ and the Tasmanian government to make $$$ on the Tasmanian public the weekend / holiday person gets their catch limit reduced to the point where it's not worth getting a licence or worth while going after abalone or Rock lobster. Tries people in fisheries and CONSERVATION? have ruined every Marine Fisheries in Tasmania and because of your past greed and bad Management you now want to penalise the Amateur weekend Fisher / Diver because of Government and Commercial Experts failure to manage Tasmanian Fisheries sustainably while allowing the whole lot to be Exploited and not affordable to buy. Abalone is a	Do not support	20	
#1698	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	10	Southern Rock lobster because of the Grevely Export Market to Asian Stop commercial in holiday areas like white beach creeses, sections of	Do not support	20	Restrictions on Commercial limit of?
#1699	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	fair	Do not support	10	East coast although only recently acquired a taste for abs, I've been fishing the far	Do not support	20	I feel my whole family enjoies and aunties
#1700	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	same	poor	Do not support	8	no regret for many years and witnessed a decline in rec numbers	Do not support	15	
#1701	Individual	Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved	fair	Do not support	10	targeting abs,either what you are commercials land.	Do not support	20	
#1702	Individual	Recreational	shore	L&R	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	improved	good	Do not support	10	Cluster the commercials, then take 98% of the catch Perhaps reduce commercial numbers and bag recreational numbers the same. They way the average joe isn't being punished for getting a	Do not support	20	
#1703	Individual	Recreational	shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	fair	Do not support	10	feed while commercial fishers can take tonnes upwards	Do not support	20	
#1704	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	go out I want to get a few feeds.	Do not support	20	Weather conditions restrict when diving is possible
#1705	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved	good	Do not support	10	10 or more. Recreational fishing is not the problem. Reducing the daily bag limit will have no impact on the risks of localised depletion of abalone as commercial abalone divers taking 98% of the catch operate out of fixed abalone stands on Cabes Bay and dive in deep water (greater than 10 metres) and are restricted in launching ramps as personally observed a number of times during the past summer period. Any minor reduction in catch levels for recreational divers will therefore be offset by commercial divers. I have personally been advised by other recreational divers that commercial divers operate in areas close to holiday and metropolitan areas all up and down the east coast. Therefore the primary aim of addressing the risks of localised depletion of abalone stocks will not be achieved by changing the bag limit for recreational divers. A reduction in possession limits will have no impact on the abalone stocks as less than 2% of abalone are taken	Do not support	20	
#1706	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10	by recreational divers	Do not support	20	
#1707	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10	a for distance just to get to the water because some where to dive that Seems like your slowly trying to squeeze the amateurs of of diving for	Do not support	20	Family's. Reduce the commercial quotas slightly before you touch the amateur quotas
#1708	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	abs. It will hardly become worth while going for a boat dive with all the	Do not support	20	
#1709	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	10	leave it the same other wise it is not worth chasing them. I think the bag limit is silly it means if you boat dive with 6 divers that will only allow 3 fish making 3 trips rewarded to be fair to all divers making slides the fact this is not fair when fishers increasing the number	Do not support	20	
#1710	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1711	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1712	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	As I stated above.
#1713	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10	No change to recreational sector, evidence shows this sectors impact is not significant to fisheries depletion. To my understanding there have been no measurable studies on interactions between the three fishery sectors, the statement "While the overall total recreational catch is not significant, the relatively high level of recreational fishing pressure which can apply serially to areas, especially those close to metropolitan areas or holiday locations, needs to be considered", in relation to localised depletion is completely baseless. Only a separation of zones for each sector and relative studies for each zone would confirm the significance of each sectors impact on the fishery.	Do not support	10	10 per licence holder. No change to recreational sector, evidence shows this sectors impact is not significant to fisheries depletion. To my understanding there have been no measurable studies on interactions between the three fishery sectors, the statement "While the overall total recreational catch is not significant, the relatively high level of recreational fishing pressure which can apply serially to areas, especially those close to metropolitan areas or holiday locations, needs to be considered", in relation to localised depletion is completely baseless. Only a separation of zones for each sector and relative studies for each zone would confirm the significance of each sectors impact on the fishery.
#1714	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1715	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1716	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1717	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1718	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1719	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1720	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1721	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1722	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1723	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1724	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1725	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1726	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1727	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1728	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1729	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10	
#1730	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1731	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1732	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1733	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1734	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1735	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1736	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1737	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1738	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1739	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1740	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1741	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1742	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1743	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1744	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1745	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1746	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1747	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1748	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1749	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1750	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1751	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1752	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1753	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1754	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1755	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1756	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1757	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1758	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1759	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1760	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1761	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1762	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1763	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1764	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1765	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1766	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1767	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1768	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1769	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1770	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1771	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1772	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1773	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1774	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1775	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1776	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1777	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1778	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1779	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1780	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1781	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1782	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1783	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1784	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1785	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1786	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1787	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1788	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1789	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel									
#1790	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1791	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1792	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1793	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1794	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1795	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1796	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1797	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1798	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1799	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1800	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1801	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1802	Individual	Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/Hookah	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10	Why should recreational fishers have to pay the price from mismanagement from an industry run by the people making all the money from these high quotes. Restrictions on Abalone bag limits should be placed on commercial Divers who are 100% responsible for the decline in stocks. Why do we allow 50 Tonne commercial Divers to make big $$$ and the Tasmanian government to make $$$ on the Tasmanian public the weekend / holiday person gets their catch limit reduced to the point where it's not worth getting a licence or worth while going after abalone or Rock lobster. Tries people in fisheries and CONSERVATION? have ruined every Marine Fisheries in Tasmania and because of your past greed and bad Management you now want to penalise the Amateur weekend Fisher / Diver because of Government and Commercial Experts failure to manage Tasmanian Fisheries sustainably while allowing the whole lot to be Exploited and not affordable to buy. Abalone is a	Do not support	20	
#1803	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	30 = Three people boat limit	Do not support	5	
#1804	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	fair	Do not support	10	East coast although only recently acquired a taste for abs, I've been fishing the far	Do not support	20	I feel my whole family enjoies and aunties
#1805	Individual	Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved	good	Do not support	10	as i stated in 1a my thoughts, 30 would allow 2 divers three 30 bag limit.	Do not support	20	
#1806	Individual	Recreational	shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Cluster the commercials, then take 98% of the catch Perhaps reduce commercial numbers and bag recreational numbers the same. They way the average joe isn't being punished for getting a	Do not support	20	
#1807	Individual	Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/Hookah	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	feed while commercial fishers can take tonnes upwards	Do not support	20	
#1808	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	good	Do not support	10	go out I want to get a few feeds.	Do not support	20	Weather conditions restrict when diving is possible
#1809	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved	good	Do not support	10	10 or more. Recreational fishing is not the problem. Reducing the daily bag limit will have no impact on the risks of localised depletion of abalone as commercial abalone divers taking 98% of the catch operate out of fixed abalone stands on Cabes Bay and dive in deep water (greater than 10 metres) and are restricted in launching ramps as personally observed a number of times during the past summer period. Any minor reduction in catch levels for recreational divers will therefore be offset by commercial divers. I have personally been advised by other recreational divers that commercial divers operate in areas close to holiday and metropolitan areas all up and down the east coast. Therefore the primary aim of addressing the risks of localised depletion of abalone stocks will not be achieved by changing the bag limit for recreational divers. A reduction in possession limits will have no impact on the abalone stocks as less than 2% of abalone are taken	Do not support	20	
#1810	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10	Therefore I suggest that the only logical method of addressing the risk	Do not support	20	by recreational divers
#1811	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel/SCUBA	I target abalone when diving/I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Support	5		Support	10	
#1812	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	Neutral/Not sure	fair	Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure	10	
#1813	Individual	Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10	
#1814	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5	Same comments as in question 1(a)	Support	10	Same comments as in question 1(a)
#1815	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	mixed	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1816	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	10	As the TOTAL recreational catch amounts to less than 2% of the commercial catch - the same effect as a 30% reduction in catch (assuming the recreational DID catch 10 crawfish achieved by cutting commercial catch by 30%) - the 30% reduction in commercial catch (which is in the hands of a very small number of commercial divers 30% of whom don't even live in the state, and whose total affected state holders are less than 0.1% of the Tas population) would be reduced to 99% of it's current level - almost zero commercial impact. Given that there are approximately 100 000 licence holders, and that few if any merely feed themselves with that catch - if we put a figure of 2.5 people fed per licence, you are proposing affecting WHAT THE FUCK?? 0.1% OF THE STATE taking 50% of their 'share' of the resource they own, and 99.9% of the state taking 50% of their share of the resource. Your proposal - which punishes those who have to wait longer for the	Support	5	
#1817	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10	we cut, before you give the quota to the commercial fishery.	Do not support	20	
#1818	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	same	fair	Support	5		Support	10	Because of weather and my age, I probably only get to dive 2 or 3 times a year. The limit should be reasoned, e.g. a fixed number per licence/person. A daily limit allows a huge total number to be taken over an entire season (as long as possession limits aren't exceeded). The same could be applied to Crayfish with appropriate numbers. Possession limits should remain to prevent waste.
#1819	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	
#1820	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	same	mixed	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	

Submission #	Individual/ organisation	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments:
#1667	Individual	Support			Support	2	
#1668	Individual	Do not support	20	As above	Do not support	0	If you want to have abalone, get a licence.
#1669	Individual	Do not support	20		Support	2	
#1670	Individual	Do not support	100	It depends on how many recreational fisher on the boat.	Do not support	0	Non fishers should have their right to enjoy abalone protected without change.
#1671	Individual	Do not support		No boat limit	Do not support	5	
#1672	Individual	Do not support		No boat limit. Limit should be the same as the number of licence holders on board. I send to go on a boat with a few people to cut costs and safety in numbers etc.	Do not support	5	
#1673	Individual	Do not support	20		Support	2	
#1674	Individual	Do not support	20		Support	2	
#1675	Individual	Do not support		TO REPAIRN THE SAME.	Do not support	5	
#1676	Individual	Do not support	30		Support	0	
#1677	Individual	Do not support	30		Do not support	5	
#1678	Individual	Do not support	30		Do not support	5	Ulster nonsense
#1679	Individual	Do not support	20		Do not support	5	
#1680	Individual	Support			Support	2	
#1681	Individual	Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure		
#1682	Individual	Do not support	20		Support	2	
#1683	Individual	Do not support	20		Do not support		
#1684	Individual	Neutral/Not sure			Support	2	
#1685	Individual	Do not support	30	See above	Neutral/Not sure		
#1686	Individual	Support			Support	2	
#1687	Individual	Do not support		Should remain as 5 per licenced holder as long as they have caught the fish themselves	Support	2	
#1688	Individual	Do not support	20		Do not support	5	
#1689	Individual						
#1690	Individual	Do not support	30		Do not support	5	
#1691	Individual	Do not support	30		Do not support	5	
#1692	Individual	Do not support	20		Neutral/Not sure		
#1693	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1694	Individual	Do not support	30	As I stated above.	Do not support	2	
#1695	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1696	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1697	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1698	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1699	Individual	Do not support	30		Do not support	5	
#1700	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1701	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1702	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1703	Individual	Do not support		Low boat limit can encourage single diver/operator boats, which could lead to fatalities. As boat is a circumstantial with multiple trips, (even on same day). Possession limit per diver	Do not support		How does a law abiding citizen purchase (from a commercial fisherman) abalone for a party? If there is a possession limit
#1704	Individual	Do not support	10		Support	2	Fridge system is suggested as for 2. crayfish.
#1705	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1706	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1707	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1708	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1709	Individual	Do not support	30		Do not support	5	
#1710	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1711	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1712	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1713	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1714	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1715	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1716	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1717	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1718	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1719	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1720	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1721	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1722	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1723	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1724	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1725	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1726	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1727	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1728	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1729	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1730	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1731	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1732	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1733	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1734	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1735	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1736	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1737	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1738	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1739	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1740	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1741	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1742	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1743	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1744	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1745	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1746	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1747	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1748	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1749	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1750	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1751	Individual	Do not support			Do not support		
#1752

Submission #	Individual/ organisation	Category	shore/ boat	snorkel/ method	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 10.	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments	Consumption at sea.	Consumption at sea comments	Minimum age licence holder	Minimum age licence comments	Possession denoting previous (>18 years)	Possession denoting previous comments	Measuring device definition	Measure device comments	Remove commercial fishers to measure incredibleds	Comm measuring comments	Abalone tool definition	Tool comments	Night time closure	Night time closure comments	Northern Area definition	Northern Area Comments	Designated ports and landing areas	Designated port comments	Administrative matters and further comments
													It's really not worth while going if bag limit is reduced to 5. You can't feed a family on 5 abalone with only one diver																									
#221	Individual	Recreational	shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10	If recreational fishing limits are reduced so should the commercial limits	Do not support	20	one diver	Support	2		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Tim they should measure immediately due to the quantity they take	Neutral/Not sure	sure	Support		Support		Support			
										WHAT CHANGE SOMETHING THAT IS WORKING WELL AND IS ALREADY PROVEN TO BE PROTECTING THE FISHERY WELL				Do not support		LEAVE AS IS																							
#222	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	interested remained the	good	Do not support	10		Do not support		ALL ABOVE	Do not support			Do not support	1	LEAVE AS IS	Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#223	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	some	good	Do not support	10	As a family pit weed crew possibly immediately close to the Port Arguments area, a bag limit of 10 allows us to all have a meal of fresh abalone a few times a year as well as being able to gift one or two to elderly parents. Reducing the bag limit to 5 means we are no longer able to enjoy this experience. It feels everything is so over-governed now.	Do not support	20		Do not support	5		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support			
#224	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	far	Do not support	10	The biggest issue we have come across in recent times in the area is	Neutral/Not sure		We don't store abalone - it is always eaten fresh.	Do not support	Limit should be reflection of however many people are in the boat with a valid pass for license that are genuinely diving. Why should 5 people with valid licenses go out for abalone for their families and only end up with 3 cards?		Support	2		Do not support	Ridiculous over governance again.	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#225	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	far	Do not support	10	I have not seen a decline. Europe or areas where professionals have been. South Africa is worse areas.	Do not support	15		Support			Neutral/Not sure			Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#226	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Support	5	However commercial operators should also have further restrictions in the east Coast and Storm Bay areas	Support	10		Do not support	Should be five per licence holder or a boat		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#227	Individual	Recreational	a boat/Mine shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	some	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#228	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	some	far	Do not support	10					Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		We pay a lot of money each year to go fishing our boats. And fuel and all the regos and insurance are... 5 abalone barely worth putting the boat in the water, it's our hobby so please let us enjoy it, most people do the right thing.	
#229	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	mixed	Do not support	10	Do not allow commercial divers within 100 meters of the coastline	Do not support	20		Do not support			Neutral/Not sure	2		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure			
#230	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	10	Tag system where you can get a set number per year when purchasing licence. I am worried the calculation of number of licence holders exceeds/sees the catch rates. My extended family has 3 4 abalone licences and not used year after year. Yet stocks are decreasing.	Do not support	20		Do not support	Same		Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Canvas easily finds bed of abalone. management systems are not sustainable. I would like to go and get a bed of abalone 1-2 times a year. A daily bag limit of 5 does not allow this. I don't want 20 or 10 a day, more of a raise off and then ask for a season. I would be happy with 20-30 tags a year and I choose when I use them. They may I can go all in one day or I can 4 different occasions. I haven't got any abs in last 2 years as haven't found any when undertaking other diving, but looking forward to getting some soon. I think commercial catches are not being replenished naturally at current rate of stripping. A fish by commercials for 10-15 years would be needed to sure around the current issue we are facing, it's farmer out there.	
#231	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Do not support	10		Support	10		Do not support	20	Do not support	Do not support	5		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support	Some undersized abalone Mip be taken and could die or be injured during the removal from rock process.	Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		As recreational fishermen account for 1% of the total take of declining abalone stocks are an issue the commercial divers need to have their limits lowered. Recent survey showed the average recreational diver only takes 4.9 abalone anyway, so most are responsible and only take what they need for a feed! Catch limits in the state are a joke and unsustainable for a state owned resource Law being in the state as at least Australian Legislation to ensure the diver gets a good percentage of the beach price	
#232	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	far	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		After meeting with the head of NZ fisheries I became rapidly aware of Tasmania's lack of appropriate management in this space. Abalone numbers have significantly reduce in many Tasmanian coastal regions, and appropriate actions must be taken to ensure the continued availability of abalone into the future. I believe in strong (albeit commercial) leadership in this space.	
#233	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving/ill need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5	A reduction is also overdue in the commercial industry	Support	10		Support			Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support	I enjoy diving for abalone spontaneously - so, after a surf or while kayaking. I have a foldable homemade measuring device for such times, so I can keep it in my wetsuit. I enjoy this freedom and would be sad to see it removed, however I understand and support its broader application.	Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	A blade with a pointed/sharp tip can and will do just as much damage as a device such as a screw driver. Blunt ended blades are best practice. If the rule is amended it is best to change it to best practice, ie blunt ended broad blades only.	Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support			
#234	Individual	Recreational	shore	snorkel/SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	They need to measure the same as us, the do enough damage to the beds now without doing what you are suggesting. this will just mean they will dump the small ones at the ramp or they will take them with them and dump them elsewhere.	Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		
#235	Individual	Recreational	a boat/Mine shore	snorkel/Not sure	I target abalone when diving/ill need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	far	Do not support	10	10 per licence on boat	Do not support	10		Do not support	10 per licence on boat		Do not support	0		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support	Get fucking real ! can take an abalone off with my hand. this bullshit rule would make it illegal. what a joke!	Support		Support		Do not support	Then will sneak them ashore somewhere are were	
#236	Individual	Recreational	shore	adult	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	2	Increase the size by 5cm to 15mm instead of half the bag limit. My 4 kids dive, son does my wife or extended family member who enjoy eve cooking and feeding us. The costs to buy the car, drive the car, buy the boat, fuel the boats, insurance, registrations, maintenance and repairs, and food and drink to do this sport is ridiculous for limit of only 5 Abalone.	Do not support	10		Do not support	10 per person up to 50. Cost associated with this sport are high. Everyone pays for a licence then everyone takes their entitled share.	Neutral/Not sure	5		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#237	Individual	Recreational	shore	snorkel/Not adult /SCUB A	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	As above. Remain the same.	Do not support			Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure	Child enough to dive and buy a licence then they can have their share.	Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure			
#238	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	far	Neutral/Not sure	5	I would like to see a permit for a certain amount, say 20, for crew a year. As I don't live close to the ocean I tend to only dive for fish once a year and rather then having to dive a couple of days to get the bag limit I &sport prices should not effect local prices, not every should be regulated accordingly. The answer is to restrict commercial fishing. Typical corporate driven globalisation economy issue. Making it easier for commercial and harder for locals.	Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure			
#239	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	far	Do not support	10	All the abalone is expected over seas and as a result the local price is	Do not support	20	See above	Do not support	30	See above	Do not support	5	See above	Support	As above	Neutral/Not sure	As above	Neutral/Not sure	Anything can be used as a measurement device as long as it's the right measurement.	Do not support	See first comment	Do not support		Do not support		Do not support	See first comment	Do not support	See first comment	Do not support	See first comment	See first comment	
#240	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I current abalone when diving	remained the same	mixed	Do not support	10	Reduce commercial quotas	Do not support	20	Alternatively we could vote for someone that listens to the will of the senate	Do not support	Leave it alone why restrict boats when you won't charge commercial licens		Do not support	5		Support		Do not support	Erosion of civil liberties once seen	Neutral/Not sure	Bibbb	Do not support	See first comment The fishing way my measuring device is dead accurate and fisher made Compliance pies off	Do not support	Erosion of civil liberties once seen	Do not support	Erosion of civil liberties	Support		Support		Do not support			
#241	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	far	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30	Limit is 5 licensing from my perspective. I do not catch abalone very often, however when I do I go with multiple people who have recreational licenses, so can easily go over 20 fish on the boat. Unless there is obvious abuse of the catch limit, I think it is better not to introduce a boat limit.	Do not support	5	Please keep it at 5.	Support		Do not support	What is the current rule? I can see no reason to have an age restriction for this - there is obviously the adult responsibility for any child, anyway.	Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support	Removing abalone without damaging them requires some skill, regardless of the tool, in my experience. I think introducing this rule will be difficult to police, in addition to achieving it's goal, and unnecessary. I think it's probably rare for people not to use a abalone knife.	Do not support		Support		Support			
#242	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	far	Support	5	Mobility population is in flux condition. Where I take abalone, in the Southern/Remainder Bay area (blocks 13, 14) commercial fishery data show an increasing wave, despite this being the most the most heavily fished and production areas in the state's fishery. The recreation catch is the fishery is trivial, and the proposed cut back will have a negligible effect on overall exploitation rates. The rationale for a proposed 50% reduction in daily take is based upon a crude/crude abuse risk of illegal 'commercial' harvest (which is an entirely separate issue from conventional recreational take, largely driven by the demand for black market). Further restrictions on limits will have no impact at all, the risk of local depletion, presumably into inshore and coastal reefs along the east coast, and some form of judgement on what comprises a 'reasonable feed for recreational fishers'. My own experience is that I will take a boat to Southport and dive for abalone perhaps 3-4 times in year. I am not raping or pillaging the resource. Typically I can take the bag limit in about 1.5-2 hour in the water. Local depletion doesn't seem to be an issue in this area. There is no evidence of depletion of stocks in the Southern/Remainder Bay area. It takes a lot of efficient and expense to make a fishing trip. To be honest so a catch rate really enough for a family feed would be discouraging if the intent is to reduce de facto commercial fishing (think policing and harsh penalties is a fairer method of management. Why should normal fishers be punished for what is a small number of poachers did the localised depletion of stock commercial fishing is the cause that I have noted When you are a dingly equipped with freediak and multiple divers work a lot of dives for hours on end you can be assured that you	Do not support	10	Up the size limit if need to be to give more chance for them to breed	Do not support	As is		Do not support			Support		Neutral/Not sure	Bring in line with other state Between fishing sign, keep it simple	Support		Do not support		Do not support	I have seen the way that abalone deckhands sort abs unbeard their vessels. They simply throw the unlicensed abs back over the side. Inevitably, the abalone sinks shell facing downwards and this, upon reaching the bottom, is immediately exposed to predation/damage. Imagine if this was a square in a lighter mortality rate. There is not that much more effort for a diver to measure a fish if he is in doubt before logging it and can replace the fish correct side up on the bottom.	Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		NOTE 5 Submissions all the same name. Counted one only.	
#243	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	some	good	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		We think that the recreational licences for abalone should be made available to Tasmanian residents only, give the simplicity for persons from the mainland and overseas to obtain a recreational licence to plunder and sell to what could become a real threat to the resource as we have seen on the mainland with certain nationalities that are in ever increasing numbers now that they have discovered our state.	
#244	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Support	5	Restrict commercial catch as well.	Support	10	Restrict commercial catch as well	Support	See above If I and 2 other snorkelers are on my boat with licences and agree limit ourselves to a 1 week period where we dive and only get up 2-3 opportunities to do so then it is unfair that each members catch not to be transferred on board and kept as a total to be taken home. This is a very limited practice over a 12 month period. Consider the people who don't dive every weekend. Also consider their age groups as a 64 year old gets less catch than a 24 year old. Has this been factored in???	Do not support	20		Do not support	2		Do not support	How do you police this?	Support		Support		Support		Do not support	No.	Support		Support		Support		Restrict commercial catch as well as recreational.	
#245	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Support	5	The rifleqwamy that I do snorkel and get abalone is for a 1 week period each year when I am lucky to get 4 out of the 7 days good weather to dive. This is also dependent of swells in the area which limits where I can dive. Being 64 is also a limiting factor. Perhaps consider a 10 year period for people over 60 so that we still snorkel and enjoy the limited pleasures left to us. Hard	Do not support	20	Again for the limited time I snorkel I would like to maximise the benefit of a 20 possession limit.	Do not support			Do not support			Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure	I still think a bottle needs a zip for protection. If you are wanting to get rid of the zip then I am not in favour of it.	Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure			
#246	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah/SCU BA	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	far	Do not support	10	My family has been taking abalone recreationally for generations. If these new resolutions are implemented, I won't be diver for abalone	Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5	2 abs isn't enough to feed a family	Support		Do not support	it shouldn't matter how old was are	Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support	if what you are using to measure has the correct size on it, it shouldn't matter what it is	Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support			
#247	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	far	Do not support	10	In our circumstance we only dive for abs for a special occasion eg birthday parties. I abs is not enough for the occasion. Commercial fishers should be limited to the number of divers in this industry yet are continually backfashed, neither is the abalone or crayfish. The limit should remain the same. If you wanted to actually make a difference in this industry you would review commercial quotaties and lower the recreational gun shore. In saying that, if people are found to be	Do not support	20	Most people are not greedy. It's the odd few that ruine it for everyone. Maybe punish the individual rather than every recreational fisher	Do not support	You don't go diving by yourself so two people on board can take 10 each	Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support			
#248	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	10	Commercial Abalone fishing is the issue with declining numbers. The recreational fisherman's take is insignificant compared to what the commercial fishery take. It is harder to find abalone which are the legal size due to the size limits and amount that the commercial fisherman are allowed to take	Do not support			Do not support	Max 50 Commercial Abalone fishing is the issue with declining numbers. The recreational fisherman's take is insignificant compared to what the commercial fishery take. It is harder to find abalone which are the legal size due to the size limits and amount that the commercial fisherman are allowed to take	Support	3		Support		Do not support	I believe 10 years old is unnecessarily to young. 15 years would be more adequate	Support	Agree with an age limit of 15	Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		
#249	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I current abalone when diving	some	mixed	Do not support	10	would miss or at least slow down here I am also keen with increased	Do not support	20		Do not support	10 per serious		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#250	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	far	Support	5	If you want sustainability - have more no take zones around the coast in populated areas, don't punish people who go to remote areas to enjoy our state and give a feed. If you want compliance, get more Police that know what an abalone looks like and respond to calls to fishwatch sooner. Asking the general	Support	10		Do not support	The recreational fishing industry on average run 6 x metre boats and to make them viable you require a minimum of four persons onboard	Support	3		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	All fish should be measured or judged to be size before they are brought up to the boat - if you can't do this, don't dive.	Support	Would go further to restrict to blunt tip knives. Abalone have little congulant, any cut is a likely death sentence.	Support	If it helps compliance then I agree - you still need more Police on the water.	Support		Support		Do not support	Whereabouts at woolnorth it's only the privileged that can access the launching area (here !!!!)	
#251	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	far	Do not support	10		Neutral/Not sure	15	15 is fine - you take 15, we 5 and have 5 in the freezer to take home. you catch 10 the next day and pack up and head home with 15 in the car.	Do not support	20 is 3 divers with 10 abalone per day. 15 with 3 divers is half the allowable catch.		Support	2		Do not support	Too hard to police, who would witness a shell going over the side!	Support	makes it consistent	Support	this is fair	Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		I feel that this is an attempt to punish the recreational sector. We have already sustained a large blow with the reduction in allowable catch of Lobster permitted in the last few years. If these measures are to have any benefit, Minister Barnett and the Premier need to put more funding towards policing (using Tas Police) of the recreational sector and harsher penalties for people who poach or sell recreational catch.	
#252	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	far	Neutral/Not sure	8	Answer only scores will act as a nursery, answer divers will rarely dive to the depth of commercials chasing Abalone leaving virgin grounds and deep to replenish stuare grounds. due to the cost of living and demand on time trying to support a family, most anglers are finding less time to fish, in recent times Amature anglers have had bage reduction in possession limits impose on them, increased cost of licences, all without any cost reduction. With changes to how anglers can access state waters, there is no or minimal increase in fishing pressure. The days of families having their Christmas holidays on the water are all but gone. I think all Tasmanians should be able to go fishing and at least get a reasonable return on a very very expensive pass time as has been traditionally enjoyed by all previous generations. If licences fees were to be slashed by a corresponding amount I have no doubt it would make front page news and job losses in the DPIPWE.	Support	10		Support			Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure			
#253	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	improved	good	Do not support	10	show a little respect and stop punishing the thousands of unrepresented	Support	10		Do not support	remove the same if you spend the money to purchase an entitlement for 10 fish then who is to say, it's only valid by the company you keep in - three in a boat.	Neutral/Not sure				Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		As an offequate recreational snorkel diver I think that limits should be reduced in areas that a readily accessible by boats under 6 metres. I have assisted this in my time have been detailed over recent years.	
#254	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Support	5	Commercial changes the recreational fishery is ruining the Tasmanian way of life, you have already slashed rock lobster bag limits to an unreasonable level and recreational fishers are fed up with these changes which are only designed for commercial gain. Recreational fishers are worth a significant amount to the Tasmanian economy and commercial fishers are contributing nothing to the economy. On the hand, it is becoming amicable to continue to purchase a recreational licence and pay for fuel with the amount of fish you are allowed to take. I couldn't give a stuff about government revenue from the commercial fishery and you need to stop ruining recreational limits and cut	Support	10		Support			Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure			
#255	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	15		Do not support	30		Neutral/Not sure	5		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#256	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5	It's not worth getting a licence for five abalone it wasn't even feed my family	Support	10		Support			Support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Current plastic measure are fine.	Neutral/Not sure	Why the change?	Do not support	I use my hand, don't use ab iron or knife. Banning screwdrivers	Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure			
#257	Individual	Recreational	a boat/Mine shore	snorkel	I current abalone when diving	some	mixed	Do not support	10	Santa not alone if we have some friends around	Do not support	10		Support			Neutral/Not sure			Do not support		Do not support	If he or she has said for a licence who cares what are then are	Do not support		Support				Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure			
#258	Individual	Recreational	a boat/Mine shore	snorkel/SCU BA	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	far	Support	5		Support	10		Neutral/Not sure	Depends how many people diving - 4 people x 5 abs would still exceed food limit.	Neutral/Not sure	Not license holders should not be allowed to possess. Two cars police possession if they have been gifted them from someone who holds a licence ??? So couple 2 x for ???	Neutral/Not sure																					
#259	Individual	Recreational																																					
#260	Individual	Recreational																																					
#261	Individual	Recreational																																					
#262	Individual	Recreational																																					
#263	Individual	Recreational																																					
#264	Individual	Recreational																																					
#265	Individual	Recreational																																					
#266	Individual	Recreational																																					
#267	Individual	Recreational																																					
#268	Individual	Recreational																																					
#269	Individual	Recreational																																					
#270	Individual	Recreational																																					
#271	Individual	Recreational																																					
#272	Individual	Recreational																																					
#273	Individual	Recreational																																					
#274	Individual	Recreational																																					
#275	Individual	Recreational																																					
#276	Individual	Recreational																																					
#277	Individual	Recreational																																					
#278	Individual	Recreational																																					
#279	Individual	Recreational																																					
#280	Individual	Recreational																																					
#281	Individual	Recreational																																					
#282	Individual	Recreational																																					
#283	Individual	Recreational																																					
#284	Individual	Recreational																																					
#285	Individual	Recreational																																					
#286	Individual	Recreational																																					
#287	Individual	Recreational																																					
#288	Individual	Recreational																																					
#289	Individual	Recreational																																					
#290	Individual	Recreational																																					
#291	Individual	Recreational																																					
#292	Individual	Recreational																																					
#293	Individual	Recreational																																					
#294	Individual	Recreational																																					
#295	Individual	Recreational																																					
#296	Individual	Recreational																																					
#297	Individual	Recreational																																					
#298	Individual	Recreational																																					
#299	Individual	Recreational																																					
#300	Individual	Recreational																																					
#301	Individual	Recreational																																					
#302	Individual	Recreational																																					
#303	Individual	Recreational																																					
#304	Individual	Recreational																																					
#305	Individual	Recreational																																					
#306	Individual	Recreational																																					
#307	Individual	Recreational																																					
#308	Individual	Recreational																																					
#309	Individual	Recreational																																					
#310	Individual	Recreational																																					
#311	Individual	Recreational																																					
#312	Individual	Recreational																																					
#313	Individual	Recreational																																					
#314	Individual	Recreational																																					
#315	Individual	Recreational																																					
#316	Individual	Recreational																																					
#317	Individual	Recreational																																					
#318	Individual	Recreational																																					
#319	Individual	Recreational																																					
#320	Individual	Recreational																																					
#321	Individual	Recreational																																					
#322	Individual	Recreational																																					
#323	Individual	Recreational																																					
#324	Individual	Recreational																																					
#325	Individual	Recreational																																					
#326	Individual	Recreational																																					
#327	Individual	Recreational																																					
#328	Individual	Recreational																																					
#329	Individual	Recreational																																					
#330	Individual	Recreational																																					
#331	Individual	Recreational																																					
#332	Individual	Recreational																																					
#333	Individual	Recreational																																					
#334	Individual	Recreational																																					
#335	Individual	Recreational																																					
#336	Individual	Recreational																																					

Submission #	Individual/ organisation	Organisation	Category	shore/ boat	snorkel	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 10.	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments:	Consumption at sea.	Consumption at sea comments	Minimum age of licence holder	Minimum age licence comments	Possession denoting provisions (< 18 years)	Possession denoting provisions comments:	Measuring device definition	Measure device comments	Remove commercial fishers to measure immediately	Comm measuring comments:	Abalone tool definition	Tool comments	Night time closure	Night time closure comments	Northern Area definition	Northern Area Comments:	Designated ports and landing areas	Designated port comments:	Administrative matters and further comments
4267	Individual		Recreational	shore	freedub	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Leave the bag limit at the existing level of 10. Not scientifically based reasons have been offered to justify a reduction of the bag limit. Instead, the proposed reduction in the bag limit seems to be based entirely upon an assumption that a bag limit of 10 supports an illegal "commercial" sub sector of the industry. The recreational share of the abalone industry is less that 2% of the TAC, any further reduction is unjust, unfair, arbitrary and wet	Do not support	20	See comments above at 1(a)	Do not support		Boat limit should be based entirely upon the number of licensed recreational abalone fishers.	Do not support	Leave the arrangements as is	Support	I support this proposed change.	Support		Support		Support	Do not support	The commercial sector accounts for more than 98% of the TAC, and should therefore uphold the highest standards and accountability in terms of accessing this publicly owned resource.	Support		Support		NeutralNoo sure	NeutralNoo sure	
4268	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	As a recreational diver who only uses a snorkel I do not believe that I in any way impact a commercial diver due to the fact that we do not have the means to reach the places in which the commercial diver would. I feel that diving for commercial purposes is affecting divers like myself as they have the ability to fish further off shore but instead choose the only areas most	Do not support	20	recreational divers, including myself can reach.	Do not support					Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		NeutralNoo sure	Do not support	
4269	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support		I think a limit of 10 abalone for every licensed diver in the boat	Support	2	NeutralNoo sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		NeutralNoo sure	NeutralNoo sure	
4270	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	20		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
4271	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Support	5		Support	10		NeutralNoo sure			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
4272	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	If concerns are really for the future of the abalone, then why the fuck	Do not support	10	recreational fishermen are after a feed, not bloody	Do not support	20		Sustainability	2		Sustainability		Sustainability		Sustainability		Sustainability		Do not sustainability		Sustainability		Sustainability		Sustainability		Sustainability	
4273	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	improved	fair	Do not support	10	take away from the recreational fishermen paying his dues...the pro	Do not support	10	money	Do not support	20																							is makes no difference what people say on here..its all about broken money
4274	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10	as i dive with a snorkel in shallow waters, i think the decline is not from recreational divers.....but from quota divers. this tape the seabed in shallow. (water less that 5 meters deep)...i have seen this personally at many non-coastlines(ritz, spain, ...over many years...im 60 yrs.....plastic simply do not better to dive or anyones.....and as pointed out ,recreational divers take only a very small percentage of abalone	Do not support	20	as i dive with a snorkel in shallow waters, i think the decline is not from recreational divers.....but from quota divers. this tape the seabed in shallow. (water less that 5 meters deep)...i have seen this personally at many non-coastlines(ritz, spain, ...over many years...im 60 yrs.....plastic simply do not better to dive or anyones.....and as pointed out ,recreational divers take only a very small percentage of abalone	Do not support	10 per day per licensed person. mostly there will be 2 or 4 divers per boat...which makes sense economically and also safety wise.	Support			why not..?..it makes sense if yr away on a boat	Do not support	years ago my son had a licence at 10 yrs.....he was too young!...(a good swimmer but could stay down long enough)...But?.....he always got his quota..!!	Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		NeutralNoo sure	NeutralNoo sure	put up a sign at popular places ,saying abalone must not be taken by law without a licence and clearly defining size limits (plus, like no smoking sign).
4275	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	improved	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	10 per diver		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support	
4276	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same/fair/good	fair	Support	5		NeutralNoo sure		I don't think 15 in possession is to bad because that's plenty of a feed	Support	10	That's good but when if you have 4 people with a licence in the boat!!!	Support	2	If they want to get abalone they should pay just like everyone else	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		NeutralNoo sure	NeutralNoo sure	A good thing to do would be getting rid of some of the commercials as they just take tonnes and tonnes, yes they do a lot a long but they're the ones who are ruining the population of Abalone. I'm not saying take all others out,just a few in the areas that are struggling and rebuild the Abalone population for coming generations, thanks
4277	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	10	A reduction of 50% for recreational fishermen? That's a lot! extreme considering they only make up 1.7% of the Abalone caught in Tasmania. hookah and scuba should be banned from taking abalone and crayfish from the 20 fathom line for both recreational and commercial divers.	Do not support	20	Keep the as is is. I only go 1-4 times per year so when I do go, I get my quota. This allows me to get enough to share with my friends and family (most of which are unable to get their own).	Do not support	30	If there is a personal limit how is this for: no people who have quota to get their quota?	Do not support	5	2 is not enough for a meal. When I cook them I usually cook 5 and that is still feed for me! 3 is other people.	Support		NeutralNoo sure		NeutralNoo sure		Support		Do not support		Support		NeutralNoo sure		NeutralNoo sure		Support	
4278	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	10	from the 20 fathom line for both recreational and commercial divers.	Support			Do not support	30		Support	2		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
4279	Individual		Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	mend	Do not support	10	I work at an abalone hatchery on at Bicheno. Lot hatcheries like mine need areas fisheries consider low in sensitivities	Do not support	20	I work at an abalone hatchery on at Bicheno. Lot hatcheries like mine need areas fisheries consider low in sensitivities	Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Do not support	
4280	Individual		Recreational	a boat	freedub	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Sustain	5		NeutralNoo sure	15		Sustain			NeutralNoo sure			Sustain		Sustain		Sustain		Sustain		Do not support		Sustain		Sustain		Sustain		Sustain	
4281	Individual		Recreational	a boat	freedub	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	7		Do not support	14		Do not support	14		Support	2		Do not support		NeutralNoo sure	Maybe the age of 12 would be a better age...	NeutralNoo sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
4282	Individual		Recreational	a boat	freedub	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	I probably catch 20 a year. And need to get ten to make a feed when required.	NeutralNoo sure	20	Eat them fresh	Do not support	20 2 divers 10 each		NeutralNoo sure	0	None	NeutralNoo sure		Support		NeutralNoo sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
4283	Individual		Recreational	a boat	freedub	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Leave it the same	Do not support	20	Leave it you need 10 to make it worth the effort.	Do not support	20 2 divers 20 abs		Support	2	Go gap for a license	Support	If your staying over night you should be able to eat them	Support	Agree	Support	Agree	Do not support	Measure your fish as you go	Do not support	Measure your fish	Support	Right tool for the job	Support	Why would you do that	Do not support		NeutralNoo sure	NeutralNoo sure	
4284	Individual		Recreational	a boat	freedub	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	8		Do not support	15		Do not support	20		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
4285	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Where I snorkel we need the right combination of wind, water clarity and tide - so a reach the opportunities are limited. Lowering the bag limit to 5 would make the trips hardly worthwhile - a single hookah the day before and the day after	Do not support	20	Again, limited opportunities with weather clarity, tide, wave action and wind to fish and very seasonal opportunity.	Do not support	I occasionally head out in a dinghy with my son and a friend, if they take snorkel they should have the ability to gather 10 abalone each.	Do not support	Go! I have aged parent who cannot dive or catch their own but like abalone - if I make the trip to deliver them some, it will be more than just 5. Be reasonable for the average person with three charges.	Support	Because this is commentariate to allow commoners to enjoy the fruits of their work - unreasonable imposition on our rights to not allow.	NeutralNoo sure		Do not support		Support		NeutralNoo sure		Do not support	Forcing people to buy something when they can make their own - unreasonable imposition on our rights	Do not support		NeutralNoo sure		NeutralNoo sure	
4286	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	fishing or diving anyone the recreational people don't take a lot of fish or abalone when as the commercial sneak the areas one recreational fisherman doesn't take as much as a life time compared to commercial dung one trip there just miners mining the ocean and every one goes a for where as the recreational fisherman no one goes anything off them so they are punished until the point they don't want to go fishing the days are gone people going out taking the family and going fishing by the time you buy fuel rude fuel tackle flesh pots bags life jackets licences and so on and so on the cost of a day out fishing is very high and for what it is it's a lot of fish and abalone and it's also waste to put on a season flippers snorkel or skin dives have our for 5 abalone you will be in and out in 10 minutes if that it's ridiculous while the commercial can stay in as long as they want you have cured a hobby that is suppose to be fun and people love doing and showing other people who might want to get off the screen or needs help the dung is we get fined thousands of dollars for exceeding a bag limit by one fish or a undersized fish yet bigger on most fish when warm to go fishing if your in line all the time you have reduced your bag limit to 5 you will be in and out in 10 minutes if that and if a six called flippy flappy or a skin dive snorkeling is a hobby and a lot of money is the	Do not support	20	Please refer to my comments above.	Do not support	Once again read my first comment and use your common sense.	Do not support	Just shut off everywhere because that's what we are doing we haven't been allowed to go to the gardens for years I have forgotten how to go there!	Support	I don't support anything what we do because you probably have never dined for a abalone before or caught a fish but I know what I am talk about and I know that this case has never cured fishing of all species.	Support		Do not support	Once again you are favouring commercial fishers with the two and relaxed regulation while over regulating the common man, hunter-gatherer, recreational fisher. You people are so corrupt biased and the equation and favouritism entrenched in your department is due for a parliamentary inquiry. Allow one diver on boat to catch Other licenses holders pools. Or at least the captains quota. So then we still have an experienced person that knows the boat and what it can do. Less risk of accidents.	Do not support	Removing this requirement is a ridiculous suggestion. To have one rule for recreational fishers and the total opposite for commercial fishers is proof of your hypocrisy or arguing the point for a sustainable fishery.	Do not support	Let people catch abalone how they want. As long as they adhere to current bag limits sustainability will be maintained. Instead target the greed of the commercial industry.	Support	Good idea. Not as many slacks about feeding.	Support		Do not support	This are opening up more chance and opportunity for commercial fishers to exploit depleting stocks.	Stop the commercial fishers. Allow only recreational, sustainable, manageable fishing.
4287	Individual		Recreational	a boat	freedub	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	improved	good	Do not support	10	Keep comments out of tops chem to romps. Reducing the recreational catch limit is ridiculous when we look at the very commercial fishing is pushed. The shallow water populations are absolutely non existent in the northern side of the west district. The depths that commercial fishers are taking abalone from is ridiculous. It is hard enough these days to try and find a single abalone ten times 10.	Do not support	20		Do not support	Don't change it.	Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		NeutralNoo sure	NeutralNoo sure	
4287	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel/free diving	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	NeutralNoo sure	8	Some boats are coming right into 4 foot of area and completely cleaning out a section so there is absolutely no chance for recreational fishermen to	Do not support	15	Reduced for the rec guys with a distance from sure before; a distance from shore rule should be looked at for commercials. Rule is good as above.	Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	In my opinion commercial fishing is depleting the abalone stocks. Why would you make it easier to catch scores of fish daily.	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		The scores per area needs to be looked at. I have chatted with several retired and still fishing commercial abalone fishers and they are worried about the depletion of stocks. I hope that the recreational guys who are struggling to now find fish are not punished for this poorly managed area.
4298	Individual		Recreational	a boat	freedub	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	improved	poor	Do not support	10	Not enough evidence to suggest that recreational fishermen are causing a problem, mainly licenced fishermen.	NeutralNoo sure	10		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support	
4299	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Support	5	Too people AGAIN are targeting and restricting rec fishes with little to no consideration for the commercial industry and commercial fishing. This is an inherent problem across recreational fishing in general for ignorance mine and ease rec fishes opinion. It is unfair and unjust to expect rec fishers to keep being restricted for the sake of keeping people who have chosen to have a business around a finite product in business. Recreational fishing is becoming a thing of the past and all the businesses that rely on it going under or struggling. It has become almost non visible now to even bother putting a boat on the water to try and get a feed of fish yet the commercial sector gets free rein again and again. Rec fishers are being made to pay for the damages caused by commercial fishers as if this charge is punishing recreational fishers while not acknowledging that this is a fishery owned by all Tasmanians, and with the majority of the fish taken by commercial boats. I would suggest a far better idea would be reintroducing no take zones in highly fished areas and actually enforcing size and take limits for both commercial and recreational fishers.	Support	10		Support		Do not support	0		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		NeutralNoo sure		Support		Support	
4300	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	Leave the revs alone and restrict the commercial sector.	Do not support	ten per person on board. Again, leave it as is for rec fishes.	Do not support	5	This is about the only thing that makes sense so far.	Support	Stupid rule with no purpose.	NeutralNoo sure	If the child has paid for a licence then they can take the legal limit.	NeutralNoo sure		Support		Do not support	If revs are required to do it to protect the fish then so should commercial fishes.	Support	Support using appropriate tools so as not to damage fish that may be undersize etc.	NeutralNoo sure	Never heard of eight diving for abs and shows how little you people know about the fishery.	Support	This is where your restrictions and efforts should be concentrated, not on rec fishes.	NeutralNoo sure	Who cares where they bring them in.	
4301	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	poor	Do not support	10	For example, it is a regular occurrence to see tourists taking very undersize abalone from around the wharf at Bicheno. Everyone knows about it, but I have never heard of any enforcement at all. The area that I fish has a habit of looking after itself due to weather conditions and difficult access. However we may need to look at introducing special area permits for areas that are reasonably resolved as we have received a great increase in people from around the state coming into these areas and harvesting any great regard for the bag limit. Perhaps this is a great expense to travel these distances for for low value returns. These permits to be introduced only for areas that are known to be sustainable and this would allow the fisheries to monitor such areas and allow rapid practice changes to be implemented if it appears if stocks	Do not support	20	As above, it seems to be punishing the recreational fisher without considerat alternatives.	Do not support	Don't believe that cluster a necessary. See above.	Support	Having a licence seems to me a good way to ensure that people know size and catch limits and makes it much clearer for enforcement successes.	Sustain		Sustain	Common sense	Sustain	Experienced divers who know what they are doing will be fine, but inexperienced divers could settle taking large amounts of fish that are undersized. I'd summat this needs more lookout at.	NeutralNoo sure		Sustain	What about net barm bands!	Sustain	Although, compliance officers are very few and far between, I'd imagine it wouldn't make much difference.	NeutralNoo sure	This seems like another guardiansen for recreational fishers. Perhaps the potential commercial catch in an area must be accessible to and used by recreational fishers.	Sustain		I believe that the Abalone fishery is the property of all Tasmanians and should be treated as such. Yes, it makes money for the state (in a very inefficient way) but that doesn't mean that rec fishers have no rights. I personally would advocate that commercial fishers must take their fish from more than 100m offshore of the Tasmanian mainland and major islands. This would have a limited impact on the commercial catch, but make it easier for rec fishers.
4302	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	allow rapid practice changes to be implemented if it appears if stocks	Do not support	20	once again area permits	Do not support	20	once again area permits	Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support	To date the fishers have along with the commercial fishers have put into practice a good system where by the can self manage and self maintain a good income from commercial sales and a good recreational experience for those who are in a position to participate in and enjoy the pastime and interest they engage in. their pastime and interest deserves the same consideration that so many interests that pursuit of the abalone will cost them more than it would to purchase abalone from. However the opportunity to purchase abalone within Tasmania is very limited due to the Franchise that determines where and when there product can and will be sold. The recreational fisheries contribute a lot to the state economy and will continue to do so as long as we do not place the rules and regulations (law) to determine the commercial decisions what a recreational fisher can and cannot do. Keep the legal requirements simple and fair for a sustainable recreation fisher
4303	Individual		Recreational	shore	freedub	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5	I generally purchase a recreational each year with the intention of snorkelling only for abalone. I do not do any gill netting or cray fishing of any kind. In most years I only snorkel for abalone on a maximum of two days and I like to catch 10 per day where possible. I think this is a reasonable expectation for a fisher who has spent the last 10 years, I have never caught more than 20 abalone in any one year.	Support	10		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		NeutralNoo sure		Support		Support	
4304	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	I snorkel for abalone in the lower / middle Dremerstumus Channel in less than 2m of water. I have found the stocks to have remained much the same for the last 20 years. It is highly unlikely these shallow rocky shore would be targeted by the commercial sector.	NeutralNoo sure			NeutralNoo sure		Do not support	I think an unlimited number of abalone should be able to be consumed at sea. Particularly for those with bigger boats who conduct overnight trips (not me)	Support		Support		NeutralNoo sure	I have an accurate size marking cut into my Abalone from with a hookaw blade which I believe is more than sufficient for accurately measuring the size.	Support		NeutralNoo sure		Support		NeutralNoo sure		NeutralNoo sure	
4305	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Do not support	20	While I am support reducing the bag and possession limit, reducing the boat limit means that a boat can carry take three people out to catch their bag limit. 3 people in a boat is a low number for larger, modern boats and taxis the experience of being able to share the experience with a greater number of people. Taxis have already stuffed this up with boat limits for crays where people may go away on multi-night trips and not all passengers can bring a quota home. It is not unreasonable for a small group to go out on the same boat to share taxi the experience. Reducing the bag limit should achieve your aims.	Support	2	NeutralNoo sure		Support		Support		Do not support	why do you need to control measuring tools, surely it is the fishers responsibility to measure. I sense you are implementing the wrong control here, what are you really trying to achieve and therefore control.	Support		Support	you could simply this and just sate an implement that has broad flat blade note less than	Support		NeutralNoo sure		NeutralNoo sure	
4306	Individual		Recreational	a boat	freedub	I target abalone when diving/I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	I think it's commercial doing the damage planes should be restricted to no shores.	Do not support	10	10 is a good feed for a big family	Do not support	Why don't they cut commercials limit. 10 per person no boat limit	NeutralNoo sure			NeutralNoo sure	No road for anyone under 10 that is just greed	Support	I think any kid under 16 should be allowed to dive supervised with adult with not a licence	Support		Do not support		Do not support		NeutralNoo sure	Does it really make a difference	Support		Support	
4307	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		NeutralNoo sure			Support	2		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		NeutralNoo sure	

Submission #	Individual/ organisation	Organisation	Category	shore/ boat	method	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 10.	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments:	Consumption at sea.	Consumption at sea comments	Minimum age of licence holder	Minimum age licence comments	Possession deeming provisions (< 18 years)	Possession deeming provisions comments:	Measuring device definition	Measure device comments	Remove commercial fishers to measure immediately	Comm measuring comments:	Abalone tool definition	Tool comments	Night time closure	Night time closure comments	Northern Area definition	Northern Area Comments:	Designated ports and landing areas	Designated port comments	Administrative matters and further comments
											I do not support the change. With the rec catch or an 'estimated' low year 25, I see no reason why this should be cut by 50%. There is no equity in this. Having worked as a deckhand for years, I know what goes on and things like exceeding cups by tonnes (black 5) and pale fishing (Phoenix) are also rife now. 25 down there today 4/7/18, are more detrimental than less than 25 after TACC. It's about time the commercial sector was treated as the major contributor to the public resource as a fishery and not the accident one by wealthy investors more concerned with their profit margins. I believe the possession limit of 5 is ok but the boat limit of 15 is ridiculous keep it at 5 per person so everyone in the boat can enjoy a fishing there 5 abs. I suggest that the commercial fishers have a significant reduction in size of catch or increase the minimum size of the Abalone they are allowed to catch. Commercial Fishers cause the decline in population so much if not more to date than recreational fishermen. Both need to be address.																																	
						I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons														I have no issues with this. I often give away fish to elderly relatives and they do not need anyone than 2.																			
#308	Individual		Recreational	shore	freediah		declined	poor	Do not support	10	Support	Support	10	Big cod possession limits could be the same. I have no issues with this.	Do not support	20	Choosing a 2.5m boat, we often fish 5 people on board. 15 between 5 people is ridiculous.	Support			Do not support	Do not support. I see this being used as a loop hole for entry fish being taken.	Support		Support	Do not support	As above.	Support	Good idea - stop screw drivers etc.	Support		Support		Northern	These parts were all already being used to land abalone....		The fact that Reef Watch arrived at meetings with the power point titled - Abalone - What's a for days catch? suggests to me that all this has already been decided and again the rec sector suffers at the hands of taxation and stay management. Deal with the real issues and not minority. I ever posed the question to Reef about why the bag limit needs adjusting when the catch limit has been reduced and the response was because people complained about the bag limit being too high. Reef Watch are not representative of the concerns of ordinary Tasmanians, that's a joke. Get some compliance happening on the front. As said, the commercial fishery has far greater localised depletion concerns than these people. Look at Cowrie Rocks to Sandy Cape/Grimes Creek as an example. Two mother boats on back to back trips and the fishery is basically gone. No fly in tourism in that part of the world
					freediah																																		
#310	Individual		Recreational	shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons			Neutral/Not sure			Support			Support			Support	2		Neutral/Not sure	How on earth will that be policed? But fair enough.	Do not support		Support		Support				Support				Do not support					
						I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons		remained the same																			Rules are making it easier for commercial fishers to close our area for abalone leaving little for recreational fishermen.										Rules are making it easier for commercial fishers to close our area for abalone leaving little for recreational fishermen.		
#311	Individual		Recreational	shore	SCUBA		same	mixed	Support	1		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#312	Individual		Recreational	shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing for other reasons	same	fair	Do not support	10	Bent suggestion pet to turn us all into poachers!	Do not support	20		Do not support		10 per person	Support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		What percentage of the total abalone harvest is obtained by recreational fishers?
#313	Individual	a boat/Mike shore	SCUBA	remained the same		I target abalone when doing/If need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons			Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	20		Support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#314	Individual		Recreational	shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when doing for other reasons	same	fair	Do not support	10	Catch limits per license per season. Like deer	Do not support	20	As above. Catch limit per season per license. ie 50 per person per season.	Do not support	30		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Any markings on an abs iron	Do not support	Why easier on divers!	Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#315	Individual		Recreational	shore	freediah	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons			Support	1		Support	10		Support			Neutral/Not sure			Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		
#316	Individual		Recreational	shore	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	same	remained the same	Do not support	10	Why are recreational limits being halved and commercial limits unmoved. This is pandering to an industry that does not contribute much to Tasmania.	Do not support	20	Delete commercial limits/quota by 5%	Do not support			Support	2	This maybe unfair in shack or boating families as the licence holder may have left deceased/left-work lonely for various reasons	Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#317	Individual	a boat/Mike shore	snorkel/VSC UBA	remained the same		I target abalone when doing	same	fair	Support	1		Support	10		Neutral/Not sure		5 abalone per licence holder	Do not support			Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Wrasse	Support		Support		Support		Support		
#318	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing for other reasons	same	good	Do not support	10	I rarely go out to get 10 abalone but sometimes I'm after 10 for a good feed.	Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure			Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support	Due to the large numbers of abalone landed in the commercial sector undersized abalone thrown back in after being measured on the surface will have a reduced chance of survival due to natural predators in the water.	Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#319	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	declined	fair/Poor	Support	1	I dont always get 10 abalone. I have been diving on the same spot in Schouten Passage for 20 years and stocks remain the same. There are dead areas and there are growing areas. All abs are stood on the bottom against a rock or on the abalone beds. We do infrequent trips on the area. If the bag limit is reduced to 5 we will have to make two trips or have us to buy another license for someone. We find 10 abalone makes a good fish course on their own for our two families and are always best. I agree that other strategies need to be pursued to address declining abalone stocks. Idea: with every abalone licence owner also issue a tool to be shared so that they can kill sea urchins. I see increased numbers of sea urchin, and they are spreading. I want to kill them underwater but I can't with my ab knife. I think a simple implement that pierces their shell would do the job. Get IPMS to design one - even a uni student. Get rice or wood on	Support			Neutral/Not sure																													
#320	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	same	fair	Do not support	10	Good fish course on their own for our two families and are always best. I agree that other strategies need to be pursued to address declining abalone stocks. Idea: with every abalone licence owner also issue a tool to be shared so that they can kill sea urchins. I see increased numbers of sea urchin, and they are spreading. I want to kill them underwater but I can't with my ab knife. I think a simple implement that pierces their shell would do the job. Get IPMS to design one - even a uni student. Get rice or wood on	Do not support		I car only remember one occasion when we had more than one days catch in our possession and that was when we had promised our grandkids a feed. We are a couple. So dont have a problem with a slightly reduced possession limit.	Do not support		Photo of the time we will have two divers in the water to use the buddy system to look out for one another. Each should be able to land 10 dive abalone into a boat. Working from a boat yourself to go into the less popular areas to get abalone on stocks continue to grow and prosper much year. It is more the problems in the water that affect the abalone stocks.	Do not support		Support	Nothing better than tasting fish that have just been caught.	Do not support	Should be 16. to ensure participants have good long development before they start to dive for any length of time.	Support	Support	Always carry the gauges we get from Service Tasmania to confirm our measurements on all shell fish	Do not support		Support	The closet ocean knife is the only tool I have seen that firmly takes an abalone off a rock as it immediately takes enough foot off the rock to prevent the abalone getting a firm hold causing the diver to break the shell.	Support	Seems crazy to dive in twilight or dark, you'for too many risks so most will not do it. Those that will you would have no suspect an illegal venture.	Support		Support		Support		None
#321	Individual		Recreational	a boat	freediah	I target abalone when doing	declined	good/fffair	Support	1		Neutral/Not sure																	Support										
#322	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	declined	mixed	Support	1		Do not support	20		Do not support		What if there are 5 people diving from one boat? think it would be best to keep it to an individual catch limit	Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		
#323	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	declined	good	Support	1		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#324	Individual	a boat/Mike shore	snorkel/Mike shell	remained the same		I target abalone when doing	same	good	Support	1	I only take what I need when I'm diving, we might take 5 or below because	Do not support	10		Do not support			Support			Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support
#325	Individual		Recreational	a boat	freediah	I target abalone when doing	same	good	Do not support	10	Why keep reducing the recreational fishing when the commercial fishing limits are so high with tons and tons taken There needs to be better research in all areas over longer period of time. Some places I personally have seen are as they were 5 to 10 years ago. Other places accessible to commercial fishing in my opinion have declined.	Do not support	20	As above	Do not support	20	As above	Do not support	5		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Do not support	They will end up measuring in the boat and any under size will be put over the side in large numbers and in what area could be on-sold	Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		
#326	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	10 each	Do not support	10	10 each	Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		
#327	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	improved	good	Do not support	8		Do not support	15		Do not support	20		Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#328	Individual		Recreational	a boat	freediah	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	10	Recreational fishers take less than 2% of the total allowable catch for abalone. I fail to see why the investors have such a large impact on the 5 of commercial take. In the last 10 years of diving I have noticed that Abalone stocks have dramatically reduced and is becoming harder to find a feed. I do not dive very often and relaying the recreational catch makes it unstable for the occasional diver. A REMINDER: that investor means speculator and speculators take	Support		Daily Bag limit and Catch limit can be the same, that way the diver do not have to go out multiple days.	Do not support		All divers should be able to catch their daily bag limit of 10 each.	Do not support		If non-fisher person does not have a licence then they should not be entitled to anything.	Do not support		Minimum age should be lifted to 12 years for a licence holder.	Support	Minimum age should be lifted to 12 years	Do not support	Commercial divers should measure every abalone with gauge. A non-painted knife should be used to reduce the possibility of cutting the flesh. A measuring device should always be carried and used while diving, if undersized place the abalone back where you got it.	Do not support	All abalone needs to measured immediately	Support	A wetter tool is less likely to damage the abalone	Support	Agreed	Do not support	The northern zone should not be moved south. This only allows investors to take the fish that are under the 132mm that they were not able to catch before. Moving the zone does not fix the depleting stocks. It will make it worse!	Support	
#329	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	improved	fair	Do not support	10	Weather conditions are sometimes hard to go diving for with snorkel and knife. It is absurd to think that trying to halve less than 2.5 of the total catch is going to help stock levels when your not reducing the commercial catch. Agreed to be a token measure or reducing catch amounts and makes it clear that the people with financial interests have the voice that gets listened to. Also very short-sited. If the stock levels aren't I don't get the chance to go diving very often, so to be able to only get 5 doesn't make it very worthwhile, another option is set a quota limit for each diver, Example, 1 dive per fortnight/ut 10 each time equals 260 per	Do not support		I believe 20 maybe a little much, but a reduction of 5 if zero would be a fair amount. If the illegal selling of abalone because of the 'high monetary gain' and having the abalone moved out of the state is actually a serious concern then it's the the big limit that's the problem but possession limit.	Do not support	20	4 x divers 5 abalone each reduce zeros. 5 per person. Says 4 people going for a dive taking the new reduced amount home each. For two only/ on the down doing the right thing.	Do not support	2 abalone is not enough for a feed 5 would be better. Same as	I do not believe this would be allowed to. I would stick to the current laws	Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		If this fisheries where concerned and really serious abalone numbers depleting, they would adopt the same laws as New Zealand where Abalone can only be taken by snorkel and no heaters or tanks can be used for recreational and commercial fishers alike.
#330	Individual	a boat	freediah	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	same	fair	Do not support	10	gets lowered to. Also very short-sited. If the stock levels aren't	Support		Support	2		Support	20		Support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	Anyone diving at night for abalone has something to hide....	Support		Support	
#331	Individual	a boat	freediah	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	each diver, Example, 1 dive per fortnight/ut 10 each time equals 260 per	Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Neutral/Not sure				Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#332	Individual	a boat	freediah	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Support	10		Do not support	20		Support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support	
#333	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#334	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	declined	poor	Support	1		Support	10		Support		If diving with 4 or 5 people which is common, a boat limit of 15 doesn't even allow each person to take home a feed for the	Support	2		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure	Would have thought much older	Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	This would seem hard to police and add some cost to the exercise.	Support		Support		Support		Should be notes on how to return abalone back to their habitat to reduce tuber lines/ to reoccurrence tourist back in.
#335	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	Seals	Do not support	20		Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#336	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	same/Mike/steal	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support			Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Make size limits the same for recreational and commercial fishers. Commercial being allowed to take smaller fish than recreational is an absolute joke. Implement restrictions for commercial fishers around seasonal/ recreational fishing/ seasonal over exercises access without commercial divers over fishing/ near shores, shacks and cottage areas.
#337	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	declined	fair	Support	1	I have been collecting abs as a recreational fisher for 25 years and need to do it only 2-3 times per year. I think recreational fishing licenses should move from a 'take per day' to a defined 'limit per year' system. I think the bag limit should be reduced to 10 per 10 days or dig for each day of the whole season make it a 20 abs for the whole year. Issue numbered and allocated tags like you do for deer. If you refurbished the tags at the end of the season this would give you PRACISE data on the recreational take. I would suggest that 90% of all recreational ab fishers would take less than 50 abs per season and would be supportive of this model. I think this should also be applied to crays. Say a max take of 10 per season, each tagged with a numbered	Do not support			Support	2		Support				Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#338	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	10	Possession limit does not need to change.	Do not support	20	Fishers are only after a feed and 20 for the boat is adequate. you need this amount for a BBQ with a couple of families. reducing the total boat limit will encourage fishers to do the wrong thing.	Support	2	ridiculous. Who is going to retain a shell. that will go straight over the side.	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	makes sense. kids less than 10 should be supervised in the water fall step.	Support	should't be removing abs from the water that are undersized. they are too vulnerable.	Do not support	see 5a. abs are vulnerable when detached. Commercial fishers should be protecting the resource as best they can too!	Support	Abs are fragile and vulnerable. ALL care should be taken to ensure ONLY sized abs are removed from rocks and, those undersized, measured and returned undamaged to recover and continue to grow.	Support	Not sure why this is a thing. Most people take abs in daylight hrs so if this change is necessary for some solid reason i support it.	Support		Support	I have no opinion on this	Support	I have no opinion on this	
#339	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	30		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#340	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	same	good	Do not support	10	I think that commercial operators (and maybe even those using hooka's and scuba) could be prohibited from operating in waters shallower than 10m perhaps. I'm not sure but I think this would give the stocks half a chance and would allow the remaining stocks to be more accessible to the masses. As it is, without scuba or a hooka, it is very difficult to find areas that haven't been entirely stripped of all 'size' abalone.	Neutral/Not sure			Do not support	20		Neutral/Not sure				Support		Support				Support									
#341	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	declined	fair	Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure			Support			Neutral/Not sure			Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure	This could get messy. Though the plastic tools provided seem sufficient.	Neutral/Not sure	This would seem hard to police and add some cost to the exercise.	Support		Support		Support		
#342	Individual		Recreational	a boat	shell	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Neutral/Not sure			Do not support	25		Neutral/Not sure			Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		
#343	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	same	fair	Support	1		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	The reason for measuring immediately is to reduce the stress to the abalone.	Support		Support		Support		Support		
#344	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	declined	mixed	Do not support	10	The commercial industry is clearly the reason for the declining abalone stocks. When one pro diver can take a ton (if they can get them, they seem to struggle those days even from the remote south west) from one area, in one day! Couple this with several divers working in a similar area (due to weather). In recent times decreasing the size limit in the north-west zone (above earthen river) has proven to have a detrimental affect on the fishery. It is a shame that the recreational divers seem to cop the brunt of the fisheries amendments. I'd like to see the pro quota pie embezzled by 50%! Moving forward I would like to see some reasoned: on: 1 - How more hours it takes one diver to ten their ounts (no doubt that it	Do not support	20	Comments as above.	Do not support	30	Comments as above.	Do not support	5	Comments as above.	Support	Can't see how this will impact fish stocks in any event, might aswell make them more enjoyable for all.	Do not support	Age should be irrelevant. Someone that has payed the licence fee should be entitled to fish (no matter their age). I have over years diving experience around Tasmania taking inshore abalone by snorkeling. Knowing the conditions, I strongly feel the risks are too high for children as young as 10 to be allowed to 10 year to dive for abs. It is critical they have - minimum standard of swimming capability and are properly supervised at all times. If the dept is going to all one kids to have rec dive licences for abs it should ensure these types of conditions apply. I think 14 years old is about rights if they payed the appropriate school boat pro swim programs.	Do not support	As above	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Support	N/A	The commercial industry needs a serious overhaul. Does can't make any money, and are forced to work in sometimes dangerous conditions. Being at the mercy of quota holders and processors. In my opinion it is much better take action early rather than later, but action needs to happen in the correct areas. Make a quota lockable!
#345	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	declined	fair	Support	1		Do not support	15		Support			Support	2		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support	Is it really that onerous? Will measuring on deck increase mortality or dislocation?	Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		If you wish to support preservation of abalone stocks in regional inshore areas, reducing recreational catch and possession limits in positives. If commercial fishermen can fish the same areas and take as many abs as they like subject to their quota. In my view, you need to set aside areas of coast which reserved for recreational diving if you want to protect stocks.
#346	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	declined	fair	Support	1	The number of abalone taken by an amateur diver depends upon 2 things how many abalone are taken each dive and how often you dive. I may take abalone twice a year for friends and family. If I was diving every week or more often, I may be on at limit. But since I rarely dive, overall I'm taking much less than someone who is a regular diver.	Support			Support			Support	2		Support				Support		Support		Do not support	Are divers should have to measure.	Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#347	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	same	good	Do not support	10	The actual possession limit should be much much lower given divers Close at a time. I think that the current limit is too high for a single diver. Say 2 of and age is closed area or gates and open near by one, this involving two relatives yet are suffering all on the pro are harvester three minor at size ones heavier nodes/ for the winter	Support	10		Support			Support	2	Only female indigenous took abalone and they only had a stick or rock to retrieve them no with four mask for gear, no diviners.	Support			Support		Support				Support		Support		Support				
#348	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	declined	mixed	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	Take smaller size and keep bigger size to breed take all old/ice ones before the die	Do not support	30		Support	0		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Do not support	
#349	Individual	a boat/Mike shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	declined	poor	Support	1	More monitoring and policing is required	Do not support	5	simple	Do not support	10	10 abalone is enough for a large feed - at least 10 people, greater boat limit miss illegal trade	Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	Issue of gauge and "guide to sustainable collecting of abalone" must be issued at cost for every new licence holder and every 5 years to people renewing their license	Support		Support	Stronger guidance on this to each new and renewed license holder, and more policing of this please	Support		Support		Support		Support		I strongly object to the fact that 98% of the abalone taken from the wild - i.e. a public resource - benefits a small number of private people. This is classic exploitation of a public asset for the exclusive benefit of a few. The cost of commercial licences is irrelevant, if the commercial quotas are high enough to result in declining stocks. I strongly object to the fact that most of the abalone are exported. Export to countries which have already over-exploited their fisheries is simply driving the decline of our resources. Abalone could be fostered in Tasmanian restaurants as a way of adding value and jobs locally, linked to tourism industry growth.
#350	Individual		Recreational	shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	same/Mike/steal	fair	Support	1		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support	Doubtful this will enhance compliance.	Support		Support		Support		
#351	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	declined	fair	Support	1		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#352	Individual	a boat	freediah	I target abalone when doing for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support		Don't change. Professional fisherman have wrecked the abalone industry!!!!!not the recreational fisherman	Do not support		Don't change. 10 per person	Do not support	10	Don't change	Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	
#353	Individual	a boat	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Support	1		Support	10		Do not support	30	Limit to	Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	The requirements to place abalone that are undersize back to the rock is come from, does this still prevail? Difficult in cold areas! If fishing an area where there is an abundance of small abalone, then measuring is a mess, small abalone may look small when there are larger fish around and small abalone can look larger when a lot of underview are around.	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#354	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing for other reasons	same	fair	Do not support	10	I only support bag limit of 10 if possession limit is set at 10. It is expensive to own/ run a boat and fishing expeditions are costly. It's not all recreational fishermen that are depleting this resource. Catch the crays that do. Can in New Zealand, given example, then leave everyone else alone. Crack for some families to fish in permit etc make	Do not support		Only if the bag limit remains at 10.	Do not support	40	Licence limits rate, with possession limit of 10 boat limit is restricted suggest a boat limit of 40.	Support	2		Support		Support		Support	From experience and other live commercial growship divers, a long flat screwdriver was the best invasive tool to pry abalone of the rocks.	Support		Neutral/Not sure	As per previous comment. Do not support taking abalone with a knife. A flat bladed instrument must only be used.	Support		Support	Not sure on exact size limit to be implemented.	Support		Support		Any other person can recreationally dive for abalone, take 2 and possess 2 or possibly reduce take 1 and possess 1. As a prisoner with respect for the asian community for ~$50.00 licence fee you can take 10, possess 20, difficult to market with the current legislation and rules! Only persons on electoral roll residing in Tasmania can recreationally dive for abalone, possess 10, and take 10.
#355	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	same	good	Do not support	10	everyone else alone. Crack for some families to fish in permit etc make	Support	10		Do not support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#356	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support			Do not support			Do not support	5		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		my brother in law (since 40 years)commercial) each year that is where the bloody problem is. he is just one diver. all of this is not going to stop illegal poaching in any way.
#357	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support		10 per diver	Do not support		As long as they are legally caught 5 is ok	Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		I find these proposed changes very unfair on recreational divers. (10 Abalone per diver is not a large amount for the effort put in to find them - 15 per licen is just silly. As a 6th generation Tasmanian who has spent his life in the ocean I find these proposed changes to infringe my connection with the environment I live in. Tasmanian's should have a right to harvest and eat our well seafood yet it just seems like no divers are constantly blamed for population decreases when the majority of our seafood is sold to Asia for maximum profit by commercial fishing. Why are the second class citizens in our own country with our rights constantly degraded. I think poaching of our seafood Abalone included, especially by the Chinese needs to be controlled. I personally see it all the time and see very little being done about this other than an occasional slap on the wrist, please make for tougher penalties and put signs in Chinese. A coastal area. I also believe Only Tasmanian residents should be allowed to possess a recreational fishing licence. Please support the rights of Tasmanian fishers instead of taking them away! Abalone is a delicacy and should be treated as such in restaurants, not in tins or reflections, when by your own admission they contribute to little more than 1% of the overall TAC. Are abalone in need of protection or not? Are commercial Abalone fishers reviewing any additional quota restrictions? This resource is a shared one, does make it yet another one lost in favor of corporate greed at Tasmanian recreational fishers & the politics expense. How much is enough? Quit make criminals out of honest people just wanting to get a feed. Is this because of COVETTA? Recreational fishers have had enough of this rubbish and i will lead the charge to the next election again and rally there all again if this mindless continues. Someone has to stand up for our Tasmanian way of life even if our Government waste. Not everything has a monetary value ....
#358	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when doing	same	poor	Do not support	10	If localised depletion is an issue, why not invest in more measuring to protect this valuable asset Also I would fix been to see on what scientific basis this decision was based and did recreational fishers have any representation when the decision was made? Please don't say Turbo ...	Do not support	20	Leave as is and police it better	Do not support			Do not support		leave as is.	Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Do not support	How dat you create rules for one demographic and different rules for others? Seriously?	Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Its scary for my short attitude but this proposal is an absolute disgrace.
#359	Individual	a boat/Mike shore	SCUBA	I need to only take abalone when doing for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	1	we should be fishing for family only, not friends,any more.	Do not support	1		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	either commercial or recreational all abalone chipped off the rock should be measured and bucksack in-the same breath, in the same place	Do not support	same breath, in the same place	Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		

Submission #	Individual/ organisation	Organisation	Category	shore/ boat	method	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 10	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments	Consumption at sea.	Consumption at sea comments	Minimum age licence holder	Minimum age licence comments	Possession denoting provisions (< 18 years)	Possession denoting provisions comments	Measuring device definition	Measure device comments	Remove commercial fishers to measure immediately	Comm measuring comments	Abalone tool definition	Tool comments	Night time closure	Night time closure comments	Northern Area definition	Northern Area Comments	Designated ports and landing areas	Designated port comments	Administrative matters and further comments
											I fish for abalone on the west coast between Arthur River and Sandy Cape. The crew I fish with (usually 2 divers) only fish for around 4 days per year, depending on the weather. Abalone numbers appear to be plentiful, but because commercial divers can take fish smaller than amateurs we have to work much harder to take our daily limit of 10 each, often we don't! Let's, To reduce the bag limit would make our abalone fishing trips much more expensive and perhaps problematic.																										
4560	Individual		Recreational	a boat,Mine shore	snorkel/free diving	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	For the same reasons as above.	Do not support	30	For the same reasons as above.	Support	2	I agree with the reasons to change the limit as described in the Information Paper	Neutral/Not sure	I am not sure why abalone would be consumed at sea.	Neutral/Not sure	Not sure how many under 10 can dive.	Support	I agree with the reasons in the Information Paper	Support	Do not support	Support	Support	I agree with the Information Paper	Do not support	For all the above reasons.	Neutral/Not sure		
4561	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support	Do not support	Support	Support		Support		Support		Support		
4562	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel/free diving	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	I only go to go for a dive once or twice a year and 10 per dive gives me 20 a year, 5 per dive is not worth paying for the licence and cost of member gear. The area I go I was recently there and been up to 18. Commercial Abalone divers working there for up to 5 days I think that is fair and they need to make a living. I don't dive in Victoria any more because I have a special patch and if the Commercial diver away or would be cleared out, it is removing the same if not improving because it is only used by recreational people. I have a health condition which fish and abalone or recommended for my diet I responsibly fish and the current restrictions I believe are reasonable if everyone fished	Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Support	2		Neutral/Not sure	Would be impossible to police	Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		The benefit to the economy and public of any fish taken by an amateur is much greater than if that same fish is taken by a commercial fisher when considering licence cost transport, accreditation etc.	
4563	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	Current limits are restrictive enough and reducing will have very little effect.	Do not support	30	30 limit allows 3 recreational divers per boat, which I believe is sufficient.	Neutral/Not sure	2	I really have 30 in my possession but if I find the opportunity to have 20 plus 5 for my wife I don't think this would have much of an impact on the abalone stock.	Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		I don't believe the recreational fishing in total would have much affect on the abalone stock as one commercial diver. So if the stock are diminishing you should look at who takes the largest amount of Abalone.	
4564	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair,Never	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		
4565	Individual		Recreational	a boat,Mine shore	snorkel/free diving	I target abalone when diving/I need to only take other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	As recreational fishers only take 1-2% of the total catch reducing the bag limits won't change anything. What needs to happen is reduce the commercial take	Do not support	20		Do not support		Boat limits are unfair. My boat can have 6 people. Or board with 6 licence holders they all should be able to catch their limits.	Support	2	You should not be allowed to consume any abalone on the water.	Do not support	This is discriminatory.Some children love to go snorkeling with their family and participate in catching abalone	Do not support	If they catch their own abalone they should be allowed to in possession of the abalone	Do not support	If commercial diver's don't measure all the abalone they catch there will be a lot of under size abalone taken and they will just be thrown over the side of the boat to die.	Support	Doesn't make any change in the amount taken. I don't know of anyone that takes abalone during the night. And if people are going to do the wrong thing they will well.	Do not support		Support		My concerns are for the small coastal communities if recreational fishers are restricted any more they won't fish and this will impact on the economic benefits for the small coastal communities.	
4566	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel/free diving	I target abalone when diving	improved	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support		Each person in the boat should be able to hold their limit of there bag	Support	0		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		
4567	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support		Each person in boat to have their 10 bag limit at one time	Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
4568	Individual		Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving/I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	improved/Remains the same	good	Do not support	10	Lower the commercial quota and stop flogging as poor rec fishers just as the commercial fishers can make millions selling everything to china	Do not support	20	Again why make a rec fishers lower our catch so the pro guys can flog the pro out of the sea for profit to China.	Do not support		Limits should be left alone for rec people and increase the limit for the anyone doing the wrong thing	Do not support	5	2 is not a feed for a family. Should be left at 5	Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Commercial guys should be made to measure every ab. No. Bi-law maybe.	Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		
4569	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Leave the limit as is. Don't let commercial fishermen fish 500m around Stanley. So the rec guys have some where safe to fish and be able to catch something most of the year	Do not support	20		Do not support		No need for a boat limit. Bag limit is sufficient. Each diver should be able to catch their limit.	Do not support	6	Leave as is.	Support	Seems reasonable.	Support		Do not support		Do not support	Not necessary, leave as is.	Do not support	Leave as is.	Do not support	Unnecessary to define tool.	Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		The reality that the recreational sector is being attacked and held responsible for localised depletion is as outrageous as it is ridiculous. It is even further discredited by being only 2% of the total allowable catch. If this is the suggestion of those responsible for balance between commercial and recreational sectors then clearly we need a change of those responsible for transparent management of our fisheries in Tasmania.	
4570	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30	You pay full price for a licence you should be able to catch your limit.	Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support		
4571	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Support	5	Recreational divers should only be allowed to remove any form of seafood from the ocean BY SKINY FREE/ SNORKELL DIVING ONLY, unless you hold a commercial licence. Using a hooka or scuba gear should not be allowed for removing abalone, crayfish or spearfishing etc.. This is the law in many countries around the world. It is too easy Stop commercial fishers from "hiding" inshore waters, after a commercial fisher has a recreational licence.Recreational fishers are the only people who support deliver from our resources do you have for this? Commercial interests appear to have again been put well in front of everyday anglers. I do not fish the same areas each time, I travel large distances via water for a feed. So choice to stop areas being over fished, my abalone take would be less that 30 for the last 15 months, but is also my choice. When staying away on a dive trip the only reason we travel so far is to all limited to go a decent feed for their families, if we were to D I would just take the easy road and go ones to the ramp.	Do not support	10		Do not support	30		Support	2		Neutral/Not sure	This is a fairly weird rule to police!	Do not support	This should be 14 and over	Do not support	Commercial fishers should measure abalone the same as rec divers. What constitutes a measuring device will make diving difficult for those who use 'eye of spot' rather to measure their catch. I don't agree with defining the use of specific tools, it would be better to list the use of tools such as screwdrivers... (I have no idea what tools people use to measure dive). If a measuring device is to remain, various dive devices should be available from the licensing authority.	Do not support	The should measure before removing. Of all people, commercial divers should be able to tell if an ab is size anyway!	Neutral/Not sure	maybe, as long as those 'tools' are readily available in shops around the place.	Support		Support		Support		
4572	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure		as above.	Neutral/Not sure		Unsure.	Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
4573	Individual		Recreational	a boat	boat/snorkel/free diving	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Not sure what tools cause any damage and certainly commercial divers measure measures.	Do not support		Support		Support		Support		
4574	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	good	Do not support	10	10 most coast 5 east coast	Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Neutral/Not sure			Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
4575	Individual		Recreational	a boat,Mine shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	excel	Do not support	10	I dive through the warmer months mostly west with 1 or 2 dives during winter / spring, usually starting again at start of cray season, (this used to be start of November). I always share my catch with other rec divers , friends or family, they have the opportunity to take abalone! 10 abalone - a couple of times a year do not eat fin fish and is not seen the too.	Do not support	20	I have never had 20 in my possession	Do not support	30	10 per licence holder are least	Do not support		I sometimes give 2 or 3 away depending on how big they are and would like to give 5 away if I wanted to.	Scewert	Allow an aborigine to shuck as many as they want And throw even the shell	Support		Support		Do not support	serous...,.nuf	Do not support		Scewert		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
4576	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Support			Support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		
4577	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	I'd support the decrease in the recreational daily bag limit if the commercials were also decreased. It is obvious that limiting the recreational fishers isn't going to do anything major, due to the stock share between recreational fishers and commercial fishers.	Do not support	10		Do not support		If you introduce a boat limit, it discourages people to go fishing with mates (not everyone can get their bag limit). When it comes to water activities (diving), there's safety in numbers and the social side of things is a big reason people go diving.	Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	rock.	Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		It seems obvious to myself, that it is about time to start protecting the environment and/or species over money. The proposed changes seem like short term fixes, that will not fix the long term problem.	
4578	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same/Improved	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Do not support	30		Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Too focus needs to be on commercial fisheries as they are volume that is being taken out of this waters. All Boys should be protect from commercial fishing	
4579	Individual		Recreational	a boat,Mine shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving/I need to only take other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	permitting. A rec fisherman has only days off work, and weather permitting on these particular days, this limits the dive suitable days dramatically. Once again, as with the lobster industry, this is a bandaid measure. The Rec fisherman are not the problem, the Commercial industry is.They have the higher TAC and its ridiculous to suggest that the Rec fisher needs these measures implemented upon them. Its a known fact, the Commercial industry targets areas of Rec fishing before holiday times to take fish, look abalone and lobster, before Rec fishers can get to the same areas. The commercial industry in Tasmania is unsustainable, measures need to be implemented immediately to begin a commercial licence buy back, and continue until total roll out. to look Abalone and Lobster. This Bandaid blooming Rec fishers is ridiculous, when 90 percent plus of TAC is taken by the commercial industry, in an unsustainable way. Commercial licence buy backs, are the only measure that will save stocks in Tasmania waters. The government has been happy to profit from this commercial fishery, and should be ashamed, for implementing this bag ballot! I will not Tasmania wild stocks for Tasmanians, Has the Chinese ever Japanese	Do not support	10	Government commercial licence buy back? and industry roll out.	Do not support	10	10 Abalone per licence	Support	2	they don't contribute funds in anyway.	Do not support	This is ridiculous how can this be policed ???	Support		Support		Do not support	This does nothing to protect stocks, it creates a problem!!!!	Support		Support		Support		Support	If it is policed	
4580	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Neutral/Not sure			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		
4581	Individual	royce mather	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same/Improved	poor	Do not support	10	I dive the west coast and north west ,,,,the west should stay as it is , , but	Do not support	10	leave the west coastas is.	Do not support	20	west coast should remain as is	Support	2	there should be zero possession without licence	Do not support	leave as it is	Support		Support		Support		Do not support	This is ridiculous, removing the requirements for commercial fisheries the immediately removes the abalone would make the whole thing worse. The abalone will most likely not keep on living if it's only measured hours after, this infuriates me!!!	Support		Support		Support		Support		
4582	Individual		Recreational	a boat,Mine shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving/I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5	The restrictions are always targeting recreational fishers. We are not	Support	10		Support	30		Neutral/Not sure			Do not support		Do not support	Different people who grow up in different areas have different knowledge of how it works, it would be unfair to under 10 year olds who indulge in relation to the rules.	Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
4583	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	10	Recreational fishers are not the reason for depleted stocks. I watch commercial abalone divers in large numbers going out of Southport on a regular basis bringing back hundreds of tallies of abalone. Recreational fishing is minimal in comparison to this	Do not support	20		Do not support	30	As already mentioned, this is a local resource that should be managed so that Tasmanians can also enjoy them, rather than simply being shipped overseas for profit	Support	All fishers should hold a licence and those who do not hold a licence need to pay some enough for	Each person may wish to eat one abalone, but there is no need for more than that.	Support		Support		Support		Do not support	rule	Support		Support	I cannot imagine why anyone would dive for abalone at night, unless they were breaking the rules and hoping no evade detection.	Support		Support		Wild Abalone are a natural resource which are not owned by anyone. Tasmanians should be able to access these by their own means. Continued increasing restrictions on recreational fishers in favour of commercial interests, shipping our natural resources off shore for profit at the expense of the Tasmanian public is simply wrong, it is a symptom of government support for corporate greed	
4584	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	3		Support	10		Support	10		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	The sole reason for minimum damage to the fish, the protection of the resource before dropping. Maybe reduce the number being fished in the basket to ten, then they could be measured and released if measured incorrectly.	Support		Support		Support		Support			
4585	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	mixed	Support	5	I get a few ab, maybe 3 times a year to feed family and friends maybe 20 ab a year , I am not having anything, divers are a lot of divers that go a lot more. why should I suffer because off greed from others, give maybe 100 bags per year diver, after all my divers only take 2 % of this so Commercial fishing is putting the impact on the fish too recreational fishers are the only people who support deliver from our resources are now are been depleted to stock pile, I only to get bag limit it should be more about the average bidess that want to go for a swim with there kids get some fish not about commercial fishing that all goes out of country	Do not support	10		Do not support			Support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support	I can only suppose this if diver sees have fisheries officers full time.	Support		We see divers coming to Eung Island and heavily fishing close to the shore say 20m. The cost are usually stripped clean and watching the operators there isn't any size checking being done in the boat. Once the area has been cleared the boat leaves the island without any inspection. It would be useful to be at least able to enter the boats details and make sure they are returning to a port to be inspected or that they have been sectioned / acknowledged to be fished in revoke waters.	
4586	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel/free diving	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		
4587	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel/free diving	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Do not support	30		Do not support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		
4588	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
4589	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		
4590	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Do not support	30		Do not support	2		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		
4591	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		
4592	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel/free diving,SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		
4593	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Neutral/Not sure	10	It seems that in attempting to restrict the questionable practices of some divers taking additional abalone using multiple licenses (e.g. taking abs under a license of a non diver) it is penalising those people legitimately taking their bag limit to feed a family (e.g. a family with a single diver) and potentially increasing the prevalence of using additional licenses to win enough for a meal	Do not support	10		Do not support	30		Boats are more mobile than shore divers and are less likely to over fish a localised area. This also effectively restricts the number of divers on a boat and therefore the size of boats that are suitable for shore fishers.	Scewert	2	This should be expanded to allow additional abalone to be eaten on sleep aboard vessels so that people crossing can crack and eat their dinner onboard	Scewert	Allow restricted license for divers 5-10 years (e.g. bag limit of 1-3). This may also eliminate the concerns associated with feeding a family with reduced bag/possession limits.	Scewert		Scewert		Do not support	DO NOT support relaxing restrictions for commercial fishers given that their catch far exceeds recreational catch. Commercial divers should be made more accountable for catch reductions. I do not believe that understated individuals would be appropriately reserved to their niche cover taken to the boat and identified as understated.	Scewert		Scewert		Scewert		Scewert		
4594	Individual		Recreational						Do not support		Agree 10 per day is excessive and an sustainable given how slow it takes an abalone to grow to saleable size. People need to change their thinking in that the reason to go fishing is to fill your freezer with fish. Crayfish and abalone should not be fished in excessive number just to fill the freezer and be given away to people. I think a reduction in the bag limit is a good thing, encourage people to eat what you catch fresh, and not just load up the freezer. Having said the above, the rec catch is only 2% of the total catch. If you want to improve fish stocks in the water, namely the non-source of the problem comes from over fishing in the commercial sector, based on the numbers you provided? Cutting the rec bag limit by 50% only improves the overall fish stocks by 1%. Cutting the commercial quota will have a much bigger and direct impact on fish stocks. I'm fine with a reduction in rec bag limits, but only if a suitably equivalent cut is made to the commercial sector at the same time. The same rules need to apply to all. Why should the commercial sector be able to take some, for the 1-2kids per fish trips a year now always to rough or at worst licence to dear know hard to get a good trip on it	Do not support			Do not support																						
4595	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5	This is an important change and it is being well-managed.	Support	10		Support		based on the number of divers abuse?The suggested amendments appear just to be window dressing so that the Government appears to the public to be doing something about the conservation of abalone stocks into the future in this state. Why target only recreational divers, when their take is only 2 percent of the total? Time and time again, research has shown that depleted fisheries are best preserved and restored by reducing the commercial catch and creeping no-take reserves to allow fish stocks to recover in those reserves and so 'seed' nearby areas. Research only changes please!The suggested amendments appear just to be window dressing so that the Government appears to the public to be doing something about the conservation of abalone stocks into the future in this state. Why target only recreational divers, when their take is only 2 percent of the total? Time and time again, research has shown that depleted fisheries are best preserved and restored by reducing the commercial catch and creeping no-take reserves to allow fish stocks to recover in those reserves and so 'seed' nearby areas. Research only changes please!	Do not support	10		Do not support	30		Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
4596	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	10	The suggested amendments appear just to be window dressing so that the Government appears to the public to be doing something about the conservation of abalone stocks into the future in this state. Why target only recreational divers, when their take is only 2 percent of the total? Time and time again, research has shown that depleted fisheries are best preserved and restored by reducing the commercial catch and creeping no-take reserves to allow fish stocks to recover in those reserves and so 'seed' nearby areas. Research only changes please!	Do not support	20	Research only changes please!	Do not support		reserves and so 'seed' nearby areas. Research only changes please!	Do not support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
4597	Individual		Recreational	a boat,Mine shore	snorkel/free diving	I need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Support	0		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		

Submission #	Individual/ organisation	Organisation	Category	shore/ boat	method	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 15	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments:	Consumption at sea.	Consumption at sea comments	Minimum age of licence holder	Minimum age licence comments	Possession deeming provision (< 18 years)	Possession deeming provision comments:	Measuring device definition	Measure device comments	Remove commercial fishers to measure immediately	Comm measuring comments:	Abalone tool definition	Tool comments	Night time closure	Night time closure comments	Northern Area definition	Northern Area Comments:	Designated ports and landing areas	Designated port comments	Administrative matters and further comments
19402	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19403	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Support	20		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
19412	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving I target abalone when diving/fill reel to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5	I support reducing the recreational bag limit as I hope that this will improve stocks available. However, I would like to see a reduction in commercial catch limits as the responsibility of ensuring sustainability lies not/fall solely on recreational fishers. It won't be worth going out to catch abalone since you fill the boat with fast, get your licence, get your you need etc, etc. Flight cancel will everything and just buy the abalone.	Support		10		Support	20	I strongly support this limit. However, as with reducing the catch limit, it should come with some reduction in commercial fishing.	Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Any restrictions on recreational fishers must be accompanied with commensurate restrictions on commercial fishers (who are the main beneficiaries of the fishery).	Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19423	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving/fill reel to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	for	Do not support	10	4 people in a boat, that's less than your quotas you can take anyway.	Do not support	20	Keep it the same. Most people take hardly any abalone. They may only go once a year to top the fortnoon island group and take some home with them.	Do not support	30	Leave it as is. If you want to reduce the take. Reduce the commercial take. Why target a minority? 10 per person We often go diving with 4-5 people in the boat, so reducing the boat limit to 15 limits the Abalone you can take per person significantly. I understand that recreational divers can do a lot of damage to a species particularly when they usually dive the same areas. However, I believe that the commercial sector do much more harm/ drink when you consider how much are commercially take taken in a boat. Maybe there should be more marine parks to deal with this issue	Neutral/Not sure			Do not support	1 diabetes can't feed a family.	Neutral/Not sure	Why only one? Is it such a crime to eat some abalone only on your boat? You mean recreational fishers worse than drug dealers.	Do not support	2		Do not support	2		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19424	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	If you halve the limit I assume you will halve the cost of the license!	Do not support	20		Do not support	30	Leave it as is. If you want to reduce the take. Reduce the commercial take. Why target a minority? 10 per person We often go diving with 4-5 people in the boat, so reducing the boat limit to 15 limits the Abalone you can take per person significantly. I understand that recreational divers can do a lot of damage to a species particularly when they usually dive the same areas. However, I believe that the commercial sector do much more harm/ drink when you consider how much are commercially take taken in a boat. Maybe there should be more marine parks to deal with this issue	Neutral/Not sure			Do not support		Support		Do not support		Do not support	Why the commercial sector do what they want and then turn around and make rules for the minority?	Do not support	Treat everyone the same. Commercial and recreational.	Do not support	As above.	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	If it's taller for them. Do it.
19425	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/hookah/SCUBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Support	5		Support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	5	If you don't have a licence then you shouldn't take any.	Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	A lot of people use the measuring tapes, devices and stickers supplied when you get your licence	Do not support	The same rules should be in place for everyone in this regard. It would create more difficulties in policing and prosecuting. And I would guess reduce the survival of undersize abalone	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		I was recently in Mexico and there are fishing cooperatives here that manage their own fishery. What is interesting is they have a maximum size of lobster and abalone that can be taken on the reserve. There theory is it creates large individual that can produce more offspring than the smaller ones. These cooperatives operate largely or supervised by the government and they have seemed to survived where neighbouring cooperatives have over fished and dissolved. They seem to think the top is there zonkic management of size and volume caught. As well as protecting certain areas from any fishing.
19426	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support			Do not support			Do not support			Do not support			Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support		Support		Support	
19427	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	mixed	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Support	
19428	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support			Do not support			Do not support			Do not support			Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Hi there I go diving about 5 times a year mostly summer time Only take size and no more than 10 at least limit I would I get rest if limit was 5 or get a licence One of my favourite fish and I'm not greedy Regards Matt
19429	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	for	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30	Commercial divers are the ones clearing out the stocks. As a well known in most abalone areas divers only take 2% of the catch size. If there is to be a industry for the future there has to be a big reduction in license numbers and ban quotas with a license. If and when the	Do not support	10	With all these questions metric or imperial the pro divers catch	Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support	What crap all this for the pro diver.	Do not support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support	Woolworth should not be used as this can't access this place so why profit too	Do not support	to be critical there.
19430	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	15		Do not support		Leave as is	Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	If you are using a form of measuring device so we do there is no need to remove abalone from there boat so this method is very quick. This is the worst idea of the whole thing how many will die on there way back to the bottom after they are discarded over the side of the boat because that's exactly what will happen not a good way to protect the stock	Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		As stated above amateur fishers only take around 2% of catch rates. In most cases the problem does not exist with amateurs and including there catch rate will in most areas have very little effect. If the stocks of wild abalone are to survive professional licenses need to be reduced and quota system banned with a license. Professional divers are doing deeper and wider off shore to get there quota. I have been involved in the fishing industry for many years and I have a good understanding of what is happening to the industry and why
19431	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Neutral/Not sure			Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		
19432	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving/fill reel to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	over	Do not support	10	10 per day. 20 possession is fine. 1, along with others, spend a lot to travel to/ waste to dive. You have reduced crop bag limit/now looking at reducing size. I am sorry to say that in future we will be travelling when a jetter review account for 3% of the catch apparently. how is this fair when we are being blamed for the decline in the recreational wait catch. You wrote in survey quote "Still seems like a waste of time that will achieve nothing. you say you are worried about localized depletion so you cut the bass limit, yet can be doing in an area with 15 max abalone in our boat and a commercial diver could be right next to me taking 15 a more in the same area. How about a study into how much recreational fishers put into local economies as I would be a 300km drive to hardly worth the cost, effort & time for 5. Perhaps 15 bass should have these limits as then don't fish it out.	Do not support		leave it the same It is expensive to run a boat, therefore we tend to have 4 or 5 people/divers on board- why can't they each take there 10 abs - they all pay for a licence. No-limit	Do not support		leave it alone	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support	
19433	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	for	Do not support	10	Reducing size. I am sorry to say that in future we will be travelling when a jetter review account for 3% of the catch apparently. how is this fair when we are being blamed for the decline in the recreational wait catch. You wrote in survey quote "Still seems like a waste of time that will achieve nothing. you say you are worried about localized depletion so you cut the bass limit, yet can be doing in an area with 15 max abalone in our boat and a commercial diver could be right next to me taking 15 a more in the same area. How about a study into how much recreational fishers put into local economies as I would be a 300km drive to hardly worth the cost, effort & time for 5. Perhaps 15 bass should have these limits as then don't fish it out.	Do not support	20	As above	Do not support		how is this fair? you have a group of mates onboard as it will restrict your quota. and yes a commercial diver can also right next to you with 3 some in his boat saying our a whole area, where the localized restrictions on therefor limit	Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support	
19434	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	30 per day. 20 possession is fine. 1, along with others, spend a lot to travel to/ waste to dive. You have reduced crop bag limit/now looking at reducing size. I am sorry to say that in future we will be travelling when a jetter review account for 3% of the catch apparently. how is this fair when we are being blamed for the decline in the recreational wait catch. You wrote in survey quote "Still seems like a waste of time that will achieve nothing. you say you are worried about localized depletion so you cut the bass limit, yet can be doing in an area with 15 max abalone in our boat and a commercial diver could be right next to me taking 15 a more in the same area. How about a study into how much recreational fishers put into local economies as I would be a 300km drive to hardly worth the cost, effort & time for 5. Perhaps 15 bass should have these limits as then don't fish it out.	Do not support		how about banning non-residents from 5 holding licenses	Do not support		where is the purpose of this, we often enjoy abalone while at sea, this will serve no other purpose but restrict the enjoyment of eating your catch with friends	Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support	can you explain how you work out the recreational catch? can you tell me how much commercial quota are owned by foreigners ? how many processors are owned by asian interest? why this resource is continually bent/taken away from the people who own it (all tasmanian)
19435	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19436	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19437	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	I strongly believe the recreational limit should remain unchanged at 10 per person. It is unfair to limit a recreational fisher to only 5 abalone for their season including: - Recreational divers are not necessarily caught abalone, which are caught in crayfish or a special strike for Tasmanian locals, would be very low; when commercial fishers are taking tonnes all year and selling all off shore. - Not good - Recreational abalone fishing is primarily only done in the few months over summer when the water is warmer. It is a hobby and for activity for many people as a part of recreational boating so those few times are taking a negligible difference. - 10 is a more very important number. Often times many tons will be taken over if limits are available but for those occasions when 10 are																													
19438	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	started at special equipment may have been used such as	Do not support	20	I was strongly believe the possession limit should remain changed as it completely endanger only taking what is to be consumed. A possession limit of 20 should be sufficient for this.	Do not support	30	I strongly believe the boat limit should be remain unchanged as it currently is with the each licensed diver able to catch 10 and take home. Having multiple people diving on one boat is very common and is particularly advantageous from safety, congestion and resource stand points. Imposing boat limit would just create people taking a second "vessel" which would be less sea worthy and less social to catch, or multiple trips and possibly putting weather windows as catch the more suitable amount as currently is legislated. Licensed Divers X 10	Do not support	5		Do not support		Great idea. Cruising vessels who are living aboard should be able to catch a feed and consume on board without going ashore.	Support	I agree, with licence holders catching their own quota, this would be advantageous and improve safety.	Neutral/Not sure Unsure.		Support	I agree, limits undersized abalone holding to a minimum by measuring immediately and placing back. Functions.	Do not support	As above.	Support	I agree, minimum harm to abalone, particularly for those being pen bach.	Do not support	I imagine most people would catch during daylight hours currently. If people were to try at night, why prohibit that?	Do not support	What is the purpose of this rule?	Neutral/Not sure	Unsure of specifics.	Neutral/Not sure	Unsure of specifics.	
19442	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19443	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Considering seals and opportunity to dive a minimum of ten per day is required.	Support	10		Support			Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Support	I can honestly say I have never heard of recreational fishers specifically taking abalone at night. What is this regulation actually trying to achieve - other than make it harder for recreational fishers are hunting the abalone industry stocks.	Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19444	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	10	Leave the Bag Limit as it is. Most people do not fish abalone in the bag limit. Most people catch a couple of abalone while looking for crays. Very few recreational people fish for only abalone.	Do not support	20	As above. People rarely fish/catch abalone to the limit - unlike Rock Lobster.	Do not support	30	As above - very rarely do people fish abalone to one to the recreational limit	Support	2	How on earth is this ever going to be policed/enforced. Rarely do people set abalone at sea.	Do not support	For compliance reasons - why are abalone any different to any other species of fish which require a licence to take. Make the regulations consistent across the board	Do not support As above - why are abalone any different to any other species	Neutral/Not sure	Why should the people that remove the MCS? abalone from the ocean be exempt from ensuring they take legally sized fish.	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support		The illegal taking of abalone - or any species should be discouraged with great effort. The reduction of abalone stocks can not be blamed on the recreational fishermen - commercial fishing efforts cause all of the damage (this includes legal and illegal fishing practices). I strongly believe that the proposed measures are to shift the blame of stock depletion from commercial divers to recreational divers - for the sole purpose of allowing great access to the abalone stocks for commercial purposes. ALL fish stocks/species should be protected - but not just for the commercial benefit of a select few.
19445	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19446	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	There appears to be a sustained and growing push to reduce and remove recreational fishing limits and bans. Given that the only reasons recreational fishers are there to make this fishery sustainable, what I question is the process and the ultimately the ongoing reduction of fishing bag limits and possession limits, it appears that the laws have already been made and the limits in place. My cray and others generally goes unacknowledged or ignored. Agencies are looking to the wrong areas to reduce the impact on the fishery, by a non-reporting commercial fishing out-strips recreational catches. Don't worry there's too many poor people who own quota and	Do not support	20	Same as above.	Do not support		10 per person on the boat who have dived and hold a licence (up to 30 for five persons per boat).	Do not support	5 As reasons above.	Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
19447	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	It's their job compliance is important They already have worked Montague channel too hard and are taking a lot of ab Is the commercial quot quota being cut? Is the Bass limit being cut in half? Stan designated recreation fishing only in popular rec areas Then commercial can do what they want in the other areas	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support	
19448	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	If you only dive a couple of times a year and the fish are there you should be allowed to take them	Do not support	20		Do not support		Lots of 10 per licensed diver	Do not support			Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	Make the Narooxoqua foreshore a commercial free catch zone. Leaving the Narooxoqua foreshore for recreational divers only.
19452	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19453	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Neutral/Not sure	8	I think change is only symbolic, does not address issue of long term overfishing by commercial sector. Suggest Legislature be closed to fishing for 12 months , rotating basis/ Tasmania is a big place, so area to recover Large marine parks are more beneficial, scientific environmental and long term fishing substainability Maintain existing limits, but with mandatory catch reporting for recreation licence holders. I do not believe it's fair to cut bag limits a half for Recs, when commercial limits remain extremely high.	Neutral/Not sure	15		Neutral/Not sure	20		Support	2		Support		Support		Do not support	Catch should be measured underwater, no commercial exemptions	Do not support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	
19454	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving/fill reel to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	for	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	Limited possession limits are fair. No one needs the privilege of stock-piling abalone at home.	Do not support	30	As and above don't get to dive very reach and if you get ramp for weekend good to be able to put a few in the freezer	Support	2 divers, a few times over the summer? 5 each is too limiting	Do not support	0 no licence + no abalone	Support		Do not support	- prefer if it was older, otherwise the likelihood of licensed 'plate-holders' would increase.	Support		Support	Enforce sizing, but at the responsibility of the fisherman.	Support	Only if this intention is to protect damaging an endemic abalone.	Support		Support		Support		Support	
19455	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/hookah	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	for	Do not support	10	I don't get to dive very often due to overlap my vert business and like to get a decent feed when I do get the chance and five would be lucky to	Do not support	20		Do not support	30	Should all be able to have your limit. 10 for everyone in the boat with a license Most people have an organise time and friends to spend a day diving. 10 fish each is very reasonable and provides two one dive feeds for their entitled time and effort. It Does the	Do not support	5 No licence no fish	Do not support	Raise the age to 14	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
19456	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	The commercial quota should be reduced if stocks are falling. It is the people's resource, not private owners for equity	Do not support	20	It is the people's resource, not thatrod private owners for equity and excessive quotas.	Do not support	30	commercial quota! This is the people's resource!	Do not support	2 derivatives. 2 abalone is hardly a feed	Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure	Why are they different. We know how they discard of fish and survival rates of their bycatch is probably poor.	Do not support	What is the charge here!	Do not support	If your good enough with your hands, go ahead!	Do not support	Why!	Do not support	Not adequately explained.	Do not support	Not adequately explained.	
19457	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Support	5		Support	10		Do not support			Do not support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19458	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA/FNC/LBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	interested	Do not support	10	The sea of Hinchs and Shake gear in the Dentrecasteaux Channel is the main reason for the massive decline in Abalone and Crayfish. I suggest limiting all enclosed waters to snorkel diving only. This is the only way that fish stocks may survive and the Government needs to act now	Neutral/Not sure	20		Do not support		As state licence holders are on the boat	Support	I think they shouldn't be able to take abalone without a licence	Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19459	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Do not support	5		Do not support	10		Do not support	0		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19460	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	Bull shit	Do not support	20		Do not support	20		Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Do not support	How does ai night for abs	Support		Support		Support	
19461	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	I strongly believe the possession limit should remain changed as it completely endanger only taking what is to be consumed. A possession limit of 20 should be sufficient for this.	Do not support	30	I strongly believe the boat limit should be remain unchanged as it currently is with the each licensed diver able to catch 10 and take home. Having multiple people diving on one boat is very common and is particularly advantageous from safety, congestion and resource stand points. Imposing boat limit would just create people taking a second "vessel" which would be less sea worthy and less social to catch, or multiple trips and possibly putting weather windows as catch the more suitable amount as currently is legislated. Licensed Divers X 10	Do not support	5		Do not support		I agree, with licence holders catching their own quota, this would be advantageous and improve safety.	Neutral/Not sure Unsure.		Support	I agree, limits undersized abalone holding to a minimum by measuring immediately and placing back. Functions.	Do not support	As above.	Support	I agree, minimum harm to abalone, particularly for those being pen bach.	Do not support	I imagine most people would catch during daylight hours currently. If people were to try at night, why prohibit that?	Do not support	What is the purpose of this rule?	Neutral/Not sure	Unsure of specifics.	Neutral/Not sure	Unsure of specifics.	
19462	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19463	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Considering seals and opportunity to dive a minimum of ten per day is required.	Support	10		Support			Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Support	I can honestly say I have never heard of recreational fishers specifically taking abalone at night. What is this regulation actually trying to achieve - other than make it harder for recreational fishers are hunting the abalone industry stocks.	Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19464	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	10	Leave the Bag Limit as it is. Most people do not fish abalone in the bag limit. Most people catch a couple of abalone while looking for crays. Very few recreational people fish for only abalone.	Do not support	20	As above. People rarely fish/catch abalone to the limit - unlike Rock Lobster.	Do not support	30	As above - very rarely do people fish abalone to one to the recreational limit	Support	2	How on earth is this ever going to be policed/enforced. Rarely do people set abalone at sea.	Do not support	For compliance reasons - why are abalone any different to any other species of fish which require a licence to take. Make the regulations consistent across the board	Do not support As above - why are abalone any different to any other species	Neutral/Not sure	A measuring device is a measuring device - as long as it is capable of accurately accurately making a measurement of a rated distance. Divers should not be expected to carry "Vernier calipers" while diving.	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support		The illegal taking of abalone - or any species should be discouraged with great effort. The reduction of abalone stocks can not be blamed on the recreational fishermen - commercial fishing efforts cause all of the damage (this includes legal and illegal fishing practices). I strongly believe that the proposed measures are to shift the blame of stock depletion from commercial divers to recreational divers - for the sole purpose of allowing great access to the abalone stocks for commercial purposes. ALL fish stocks/species should be protected - but not just for the commercial benefit of a select few.
19465	Individual		Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19466	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	There appears to be a sustained and growing push to reduce and remove recreational fishing limits and bans. Given that the only reasons recreational fishers are there to make this fishery sustainable, what I question is the process and the ultimately the ongoing reduction of fishing bag limits and possession limits, it appears that the laws have already been made and the limits in place. My cray and others generally goes unacknowledged or ignored. Agencies are looking to the wrong areas to reduce the impact on the fishery, by a non-reporting commercial fishing out-strips recreational catches. Don't worry there's too many poor people who own quota and	Do not support	20	Same as above.	Do not support		10 per person on the boat who have dived and hold a licence (up to 30 for five persons per boat).	Do not support	5 As reasons above.	Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
19467	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support	It's their job compliance is important They already have worked Montague channel too hard and are taking a lot of ab Is the commercial quot quota being cut? Is the Bass limit being cut in half? Stan designated recreation fishing only in popular rec areas Then commercial can do what they want in the other areas	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19468	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	I only go once every six weeks in summer and don't take ab every time so would like to be able to take ten when I do. My work and family life constraints plus weather restrict me from ever over fishing as a rec diver	Do not support	15	I Is about right for Rec fishers I have watch areas I dive been cheated out by commercial fishers. There should be recreation fishing only areas created I Is a less than 1000	Do not support	30	30 is about right for three or more people As we only go rarely we would like a feed and a few for the freezer	Do not support	Yep ok	Support		Support	Yep need good tools	Do not support		Support	Yep need good tools	Support	Does it matter they aren't raining away!	Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	Is this cuse commercial guys have already lawered average ab sizes substantially south of this point There's been a lot of plundering in some areas	Do not support	Do not support This is going to be devastating for local fishery	Start creating rec only areas to win support of rec fishers Pros boats can go further and a lot more days than rec divers can Ever is up to they don't stop the recreational fishery The fishery belongs to all Jasons not the few who are lucky enough to be given quota or brought in very early my family has stuck on were assurances PMR Have insisted severe decline in stock levels for abalone fishery more divers. As a kid in the rock pools it was easy to grab a couple for a feed , now you can swim for an hour and not see one. This can partly be attributed to more tourist numbers, but the commercial fishery has a lot to answer for also. The only way to regenerate is to cut back and let the numbers grow , no to late when they are all gone, or if the disease stopped out what is left, time for action.
19469	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19482	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	10	I feel the non-majority of people are abiding by the rules. To change the rules and penalise the abiding people due to the actions and behaviour of an not citizens doing the wrong thing is not actually fixing the issue. In recent years I have not bought an abalone due to having young children, but they now are old enough and are interested in going out I will start again. I would rather pay a higher licence fee which pays for more policing rather take a cut to the catch. This is more from a principal point of	Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure		It is a enough for someone who does not fish for abalone.	Support	It's to open for people to do the wrong thing.	Support	I dare think a child under 10 should be snorkling or diving with the intent of taking anything.	Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Commercial divers must measure every abalone otherwise they are taking undersized and can plead ignorance or they throw them back when back on the boat and they die. It's a stupid idea.	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Anything that helps police the current rules I am	Support	If fishing within the rules and limits, makes no difference the time of day caught.	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		A commercial fisher should be able to land fish where ever deemed safest for him and his crew	
19483	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	10	Seaweed	Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19484	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Seaweed	Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19485	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	10	Recreational abalone diving is only a very small part of abalone diving industry. The main reason for stock reduced is not because of recreational divers, it is commercial divers. You need to reduce their / feel the limit needs to remain the same especially here on Long island There is not alot of diving days here especially with snorked maybe 50 in a year on average take our work commitments work 5 dive maybe 30 times a year and we are abalone as part of our dive so after in the water we do a few hours of abalone diving. Reducing the limit to halfen / 4 ab professionals left the volcano staff for us but this will never happen. I have dived here for 30 years and just starting to reach my sort and I would have to say recreational fishers contain our own	Do not support	20	Reduce commercial divers quotes not recreationals	Do not support	30		Neutral/Not sure		This is again silly when I give ab away to other people which is the only way I can use it. Most people who catch ab are only need 2 a meal the time. Keep it simple this will make no difference to anything the people who do the wrong thing will still	Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Why putting more restrictions on recreaste fishing but less on commercial?	Do not support		Support		Support		Support	
19486	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving/fill reel to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	Leave as is and put more of a limit on commercial quota and lower recreational people above. You always target the wrong group of vessels.	Do not support	20	Leave as is and put more of a limit on commercial quota and lower recreational people above. You always target the wrong group of vessels. Keep possession limit the same for long island.	Do not support	30	Leave as is and put more of a limit on commercial quota and lower recreational people above. You always target the wrong group of vessels. Keep the rules the same most people only hunt for divers maximum on a boat average of two reefs if you have 40 abs on board if pushes the weight limit anyway so sensible operators manage there boats accordingly. Keep King Island	Do not support	5 some the worst stress of vessels.	Do not support	20		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19487	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19488	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19489	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
19490	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	10	Recreational abalone diving is only a very small part of abalone diving industry. The main reason for stock reduced is not because of recreational divers, it is commercial divers. You need to reduce their / feel the limit needs to remain the same especially here on Long island There is not alot of diving days here especially with snorked maybe 50 in a year on average take our work commitments work 5 dive maybe 30 times a year and we are abalone as part of our dive so after in the water we do a few hours of abalone diving. Reducing the limit to halfen / 4 ab professionals left the volcano staff for us but this will never happen. I have dived here for 30 years and just starting to reach my sort and I would have to say recreational fishers contain our own	Do not support	20	Reduce commercial divers quotes not recreationals	Do not support	30		Neutral/Not sure		This is again silly when I give ab away to other people which is the only way I can use it. Most people who catch ab are only need 2 a meal the time. Keep it simple this will make no difference to anything the people who do the wrong thing still will	Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure

Submission #	Individual/ organisation	Organisation	Category	shore/ boat	method	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 15	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments	Consumption at sea.	Consumption at sea comments	Minimum age of licence holder	Minimum age licence comments	Possession deeming provisions (< 18 years)	Possession deeming provisions comments	Measuring device definition	Measure device comments	Remove commercial fishers to measure immediately	Comm measuring comments	Abalone tool definition	Tool comments	Night time closure	Night time closure comments	Northern Area definition	Northern Area Comments	Designated ports and landing areas	Designated port comments	Administrative matters and further comments
#455	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Do not support	10	Look at the commercial quota	Do not support	20	Look at commercial quota	Do not support		You should be able to catch 10 each if it does should be 60 at boat limit. It costs ten to fish people team up to try and keep cost down	Do not support	5		NeutralNot sure		Do not support	If able to dive should be able to hold a license	Neutral/Not sure		A gauge the you see while under the water. This ensures they are returned the right way up	Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#456	Individual		Recreational	a boat/Mse shore	snorkel/No okah	Target abalone when diving	remained the same	Sir	Do not support	10	and other reasons I can't go very often as I a day makes it hardly worth	Do not support	20		Do not support		Again look at the commercial quota	Do not support	5		NeutralNot sure		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure			Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#467	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	Target abalone when diving	remained the same	Sir	Do not support	10	I think commercial divers should measure seven as taken & there limits. cuff? Most recreational, I've often found over quotas abalone on the concrete boat ramp at evenings, 20, the biggest day!	Do not support	20		Do not support		30	Do not support	5		NeutralNot sure		Support		Support			Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		
#468	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	Target abalone when diving	remained the same	Sir	Neutral/Not sure	10	I think the commercial fishermen should be left,alone so they pay there fee to catch there limits, by the time you pay for fuel, gear & licence it is an expensive trip to only be able to take 5 abalone. I would think it would be best to have a closed season for the commercial fishermen instead as they can catch all year round, just like crayfish.	NeutralNot sure	20		Neutral/Not sure		15	I don't think the possession limit has a lot to do with what is being caught, when you like to give your catch to family and friends that are unable to dive there extra 5 abalone is not enough for a bowl																	
#469	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	Sir	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	I don't think the possession limit has a lot to do with what is being caught	Do not support		I don't think the possession limit has a lot to do with what is being caught	Do not support			Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure			Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		if the catch limit is dropped is the bee going to drop? make season open/close periods to fit the the crayfish.
#460	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	Target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Support	5		Do not support	20	20 abalone takes over a period of days or weeks is not excessive and allows a fisher to collect enough for a BBQ	Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		I think every Responsible fisherman should already be aware of how to measure and the correct size they are allowed to take.	Do not support	I think commercial fishermen should be just as responsible as a recreational when it comes to measuring. Recreational should be the easier you do it the better result for the fish. If they are under size, immediately returning them to their area reduces shock and makes their survival more likely.	Do not support		Support		Support		Support		
#461	Individual		Recreational	a boat/Mse shore	snorkel/No okah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Support	5	The whole philosophy should be not what you catch. There are only a couple long weekends a year when you' able to get a good crew together and get away for a few days fishing and diving. 5 fish a day - 5 days do the trick.	Do not support		Ridiculous, 4 licenses 30 fish. 5 licenses 25. Get better at policing the dishheads that cheat the system.	Do not support	No license no fish.	Do not support	Come on how are you going to police this? Rubbish. Who are the illegal sources that work for QPRPW.	Support	Could probably go higher unless they're on a snorkel fishing off the shore.	Support		Support		Do not support	Again, where is the logic here? Are you fucking serious? On one hand your saying let's reduce catch limits and regulate measures in the recreational sector and then you want to increase the number of abalone you can take. Better off making it mandatory for everyone to measure under water. Even snorkelers - it's not like you have to sneak up on them if you can't hold your breath long enough to measure it before you bag it,your doing it wrong anyway.	Do not support		Support		Support		Support		It would be nice if both sectors were treated equally for once. Everyone knows why this is not the case - "we need to sustain the economic benefit the commercial sector provides". It's the biggest crash of this ever. You've got these old pricks sitting around holding static million dollar licenses doing fuck all for them 60% profit margin. Why not out them out give the guys actually fishing a bigger slice - you guys interest as Ma Tua so your slice gets bigger. Then you can reduce the quotas with out anyone caring because the guys fishing are getting the same money to work. Into your old getting your slice probably even a bigger one and the fat prick who does nothing gets nothing. Probably way to logical for you muppets to comprehend though.
#462	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	improved	good	Do not support	10	Consider restricted quote in areas that are becoming depleted, the west coast has extremely health stocking level at least where I dive. The need to reduce take to preserve the fishery is a result of poor management, especially with regard to policing. A very small number of fishers take the overwhelming majority of the recreational catch. A small number of hookah divers fish much more than 'true' recreational fishers, depleting stocks overall and in specific areas. Some operate illegally as virtual commercial fishers, distributing their catch through informal networks for cash. Only clever policing will fix this.	Do not support	20		Do not support	20		Do not support	5		Support		Support		NeutralNot sure		Support		Do not support		NeutralNot sure		NeutralNot sure		
#464	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	Sir	Do not support	10	It is simply unfair and lazy to penalise the genuine recreational fishers.	Support			Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support			Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support	Why penalise the recreational fishers and not the commercial industry. If the current policies are not sustainable, then surely the most effective remedies can be achieved at the commercial end of the problem. Unless we are only concerned with profits.	Do not support	Increasing the numbers of designated ports only makes policing MORE difficult and expensive!!!	
#465	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	mend	Do not support	10	Reduce the commercial limits. No the the recreational limits! A lot of time, effort and money goes into bidiriectionally doing for abalone and a reduction in five fish per day would see time, effort and cost for oversight the benefits. As a diver who would typically only take abalone one or twice per year I feel I'm being penalised by the actions. Leave as is why penalise the recreational guys when commercial divers are allowed to take tonnes of abalone for export..... Commercial diving band ok out. recreational fishing is a small fraction of the economy.	Do not support	20	Reduce the commercial limits. No the the recreational limits!	Do not support	30	Reduce the commercial limits. No the the recreational limits!	Support	2		NeutralNot sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure	Why ??Measure before removing from the rock. As described in the responsible fishing section of your website !	Do not support	Support		Support		Do not support	Why penalise the recreational fishers and not the commercial industry. If the current policies are not sustainable, then surely the most effective remedies can be achieved at the commercial end of the problem. Unless we are only concerned with profits.	Do not support	Why penalise the recreational fishers and not the commercial industry. If the current policies are not sustainable, then surely the most effective remedies can be achieved at the commercial end of the problem. Unless we are only concerned with profits.	
#466	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	See 1(a)	Do not support		30 2. 3 divers, 10 fish each	Do not support	5		NeutralNot sure		Support		Support			Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		
#467	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	Target abalone when diving	improved/Mainta ined the same	Sir	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	Leave as is why penalise the recreational guys when commercial divers are allowed to take tonnes of abalone for export.....	Do not support			Do not support	5		Support		Do not support		Support			Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		
#468	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	Target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Commercial and boat. down's ice cream than 500 meters from shore.	Do not support	20	Commercial diving band ok out. recreational fishing is a small fraction of the economy.	Support		10 fish per diver. If successive is not diving they cannot possess fish.	Support	2		Support		Support		Support			Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		
#469	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	8		Do not support	15		Do not support		30 4 people in a boat time's 5 abs = 20	Support	2		NeutralNot sure		Support		Support			Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		No commercial abalone diving in tasmania as recreational fishing is worth more to the economy.
#470	Individual		Recreational	a boat/Mse shore	snorkel/No okah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support		30	Support	2		Support		Support		Support			Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		i think the bag limit at 10a day is good because most people only go diving 4 or 5 time a year it make it so worth going to get your 10 or a tag system of 50 abs per year per licence
#471	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	Target abalone when diving	declined	Sir	Support	5		Support	10		Do not support		10	Support	2		Support		Support		Support			Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		a total per year of 10 kill to be rigid when taking
#472	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving	remained the same	mend	Do not support	10	Reducing bag limits for recreational divers is going to do little to improving stocks replenishing. I firmly believe that the commercial divers are creating far more problems than individuals trying to get a small feed a couple of times a year. I for one would find it almost pointless to gear up and go in the water to simply only get 5 abalone. Doing so the west coast is my main choice, there is only a limited days in the year that I can dive the west coast because of the weather and swell. Perhaps looking a ballot up a timeframe popular areas and having I support the reduction at the process that there is a corresponding reduction (i.e. same proportion) in the commercial fishery. The commercial fishery lobby group is far more powerful than the recreational fishery. It catches a significantly more of the TACs, than the recreational fishery. If the manager of the fishery was genuinely concerned about the fisheries stock it would (a) make significant adjustments in the commercial fishers catch limits. (b) better resource the enforcement capabilities of the police and fisheries officers (including further penalties), and (c) increase licence fees,(for the score	Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Support	2		Support		Support		Support			Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		I would like to see the restriction of where commercial divers can and cannot take abalone from. For example, with their large boats they have access to many, many kilometers of coastline. At his score area that the average recreational diver who works from the shore. It would be great to see a designated area where they cannot take abalone from. Big small towns near sheltered bays other have commercial divers come in and clean out areas where people have shacks/holiday homes and like to dive as they only have access to calm waters close to shore. Total Nuisance/Genuinely Hideous are prime examples of this. Commercial divers are not well received by the people they affect come in and take all they can find, leaving very little for families living/holidaying in the area. It would be great to see a rule stating that they cannot take abalone from areas like this, when they have access to so many other places.
#473	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	Target abalone when diving	improved	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support		30	Support	2	Seems like a lot of grey area in this. Good cause dishonesty issues.	NeutralNot sure		I think this needs to be older than 10 as that affordets can be held accountable if they are not behaving rules. A 10 to 17 year old is more likely to just give a warning without any real consequence for not following rules and regulations.	Support	Commercial divers should not be exempt from measuring abalone. If they want to continue getting abalone to earn a living, then they should be the biggest advocates for protecting future industry. This could again be a grey area to allow people to take undersize abalone, honest or otherwise.	Do not support	They should be returned to their habitat as soon as possible if they are undersize.	NeutralNot sure		Support		Support		Support		
#474	Individual		Recreational	a boat/Mse shore	snorkel/No okah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	Sir	Support	5	(including further penalties), and (c) increase licence fees,(for the score	Do not support	15	How can a recreational fisherman really have a understanding of what a bag limit should be with no understanding of reproduction, catch rates, commercial or recreational. Why can't the research IPAA do through depth and GPS loggers help manage fragile zones.	NeutralNot sure		Because 5 Abalone is enough to feed a family of four. Two is not.	Do not support	5		NeutralNot sure		Because children can snorkel well by about 8 years of age and catching a couple of Abalone with them is one of the small pleasures in life.	Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		
#475	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	Target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Support	5	I have caught 10 large east coast (Seymour point) abalone and fed 22 people with no ceiers. It is still very generous. Why does it take a fishery under huge stress or maybe collapse in certain zones to ever bring this survey about?	Support			Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support			Support		Support		Support	Of course it should match the commercial	Support		Commercial divers should be allowed 5 to take home for personal use as in the commercial rock lobster industry
#476	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure			Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#477	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah/NO	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	The proposed reduction in the daily take limit will not effect me as I dive quite regularly and only pick up abalone when I wave them. Areas where it could have some effect include the tourist diver only being able to take 10 home and that is the only opportunity he/she has to get abalone for the whole year and larger social occasions where more than 10 Abalone are required to feed the crowd. I have never experienced this.	NeutralNot sure	20	See previous comments	Do not support	30	No boat limit. Whenever the daily take limit is then the boat limit should be the sum of licensed abalone divers on board and actually getting in the water. Once again a boat limit restriction would target the casual, dive once or twice a year diver on a larger dive charter vessel, possibly from Interstate who has laid out a lot of money for the experience and then fish because of boat limits misses out on their catch, as happens with the 5 drum limit for all boats.	Do not support	5		Support		Support		Soft liable for prosecution if caught with undersize abalone.	Do not support	Neutral/Not sure	Penalises the night diver. Wouldn't effect me.	Support		Support		Good opportunity for renewing hatcheries to be established where necessary. Reason - stocks appear to be declining. Reestablish abalone on rates areas such as used to be in existence between Big Dog and Little Green Island just out of Lady Barron this protected Zones was perfect for reseeding up and down the Northern side of Flinders Island.	
#478	Individual		Recreational	a boat/Mse shore	snorkel/No okah	Target abalone when diving/M end to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support		30	Support	2		Support		Support		Support			Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		The information paper has not included evidence to support the compliance risks, being widespread abuse of the current system. If this abuse is the case then stop recreational caught fish leaving the state, prevent non Tasmanian residentes from gaining a licence or reduce their entitlement as proposed and charter boats limit rules. Increase policing. Management for locals is best achieved by one closures and size changes not constant quota reductions/restrictions as we do not abuse the current regulations. As mentioned 2% of the total catch is not significant.
#479	Individual		Recreational	a boat/Mse shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	mend	Do not support	10	the current bag limit should be maintained. 2% of take is not significant. I would like to see the introduction of where commercial divers can and cannot take abalone from. For example, with their large boats they have access to many, many kilometers of coastline. At his score area that the average recreational diver who works from the shore. It would be great to see a designated area where they cannot take abalone from. Big small towns near sheltered bays other have commercial divers come in and clean out areas where people have shacks/holiday homes and like to dive as they only have access to calm waters close to shore. Total Nuisance/Genuinely Hideous are prime examples of this. Commercial divers are not well received by the people they affect come in and take all they can find, leaving very little for families living/holidaying in the area. It would be great to see a rule stating that they cannot take abalone from areas like this, when they have access to so many other places.	Do not support	20		Do not support	30	Base limit should be based on number license holders on board. no more than use 3 or 4.	Do not support	again maintain current limits. Are people breaching these requirements? I have not heard of may orinsecutions.	NeutralNot sure	I do not consume on the water if in a boat.	Support	some as rock lobster which is reasonable.	Support	I already operate on this basis.	Do not support	5 presume commercial fishers measure before they detach anyway.	Support	If this is to stop poachers .serve	Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		The commercial operators over the last 8 to 10 years are totally responsible for the reduction of abalone stocks. They are not only wiping out whole areas of stock by over fishing they are forced to travel all over the state to fish to assist they don't live in. There been hooker diving for 40 years and have seen the change. There is very seldom do we see abalone let alone get the quota in areas that I fish. Illegal abalone catching any and over the years though they would always be there. I have seen commercial divers year the years getting a tanner or more a day and now they struggle to get 400kg. The mighty shifter is taking here and since more amateurs are being held responsible to fix the problem. Tactfy need to overhaul who is responsible for the current issue.	Do not support	There's enough commercial take already. Leave the fishery alone as much as possible.	Neutral/Not sure		Once again, will this actually achieve anything long term to help mainteni? Or this sure is will. Sounds like more rules on top of rules which is now the obvious pattern occurring here.	Cheers Dan 0417 565 979
#480	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving/M end to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Neutral/Not sure	7	I believe that the daily bag limit should remain the same, as a recreational diving I am limited to the number of days I can go diving, however having worked in the abalone industry as a deckhand for a number of years I can see first hand the number of commercial divers who are allowed to take tonnes of abalone for export, without having a recreational licence and that has been only from a single commercial diving who is total self catch 20tonnes per year. I understand it is a multi million dollar industry but why is it when an indivdual is starvtor to dive/take a stocks are lower that the recreational	Support	10		NeutralNot sure		Support		Support			Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#481	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	Sir	Do not support	10		Support	10 fish per/10 in possession abalone I believe do not		Do not support	Dude last limit over diver onboard	Support	2	Few, if they are not willing to catch there own or even buy a licence this is fair		Do not support	Over night trips are common and abalone is a fantastic entree I think this opens the door to larger consumption at sea with the disposal of the extra shells.	NeutralNot sure		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support. Doesn't matter this doesnt occur anwsos.	Do not support		Support		NeutralNot sure		Support		
#482	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	Target abalone when diving	improved	mend	Do not support	10	It is. it cos to be able to have some fresh and freeze some	Do not support	15		Do not support	20	Part of the enjoyment of diving is going with friends and each bringing home a reasonable quota of fish. Such a small boat limit would disadvantage those going in small groups on a single vessel. The current system allows several divers the opportunity to gather their quota and to take home a perfectly adequate catch.	Do not support	2	Very difficult to enforce and creates undue stress on the usually lucky beneficiaries of friends' underwater efforts	Do not support		I believe it should be 12.	Support	Totally unfree with this new rule.	Do not support		Support			Support		Support		
#483	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	Target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Sexiste in the South east, south and south-west appear healthy. Every year I pay for a licence - and generally do 4 or 5 days snorkeling in the summer. It requires a fair bit of preparation and driving to a good spot. I think it is reasonable I can take 40 - 50 abalone for the whole year. Have you considered a bag system like I say with my dive dressing (3 tags) - whereby we have a limited NUMBER annually - not per day. Some people may take 5 day under the new system and dive 200 days per year (1,000 abalone !!). Thoughts ?? You are trying to govern numbers given that in 10 years time there may be 30,000 annual dive licences issued ... CAP the amount anyone can take for the season would be more questionable and also qualitative. Sincerely Phil Simpson	Do not support	20	As above	Do not support	30		Do not support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		We are very fortunate to be able to so take abalone - given the relatively low cost of a new dedicated abalone iron, with a measure incorporated onto the implement - it makes it very easy to measure abalone while still in the water. The days of using a wetsuit/net or any old knife are long gone in my view. Iets relaxe this measure - too many places in the world for anyone from oceans to abalone. Given the high levels of Asian migration we should expect there will be a burgeoning number of people wanting to do this into the future. make sure they all have a responsible approach. The measure given out by Service Tasmania should only for the guide	Do not support	I was a commercial abalone diver for 23 years and I measured them on the bottom - takes a little more time but given we have a vastly reduced licensee tow, I think the current dive workforce have the time to measure. Otherwise too many upon measuring in the boat would be simply thrown over the side. This declinded has his hands full just packing fish away and managing the vessel without the extra burden of having to raise the divers catch.	Do not support		Support		Neutral/Not sure	No one does at night if they are legitimate - if they are night divers, they can still do it, but leave the abalone alone. It puts too much pressure of enforcement reserves.	Support		Support		
#484	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	Target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Same reasons as above - it does not inhibit the people who are either greedy or who do the wrong thing.	Do not support			Support		I taught my kids to snorkel at 7 - 8 years of age, but I think it is fair they wait until 10 to take abalone under a recreational licence		Support	Common sense	Support	Makes sense	Support	It clarifies the grey areas for the enforcement personnel	Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support	Makes perfect sense	Support	Safer for commercial fishermen and their crews.	You are doing a great job at managing this resource - well done
#485	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Change commercial catch will have bigger results on fishery. Non for commercial fishers take tonnes of abalone per day but one as a result you want to squeeze our recreational catch limits - disgusting!!	Do not support	20		Do not support			Do not support	2		Support		Support		Support			Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		So you want to allow commercial fishers to take tonnages of abalone but yet at the same time - restrict recreational abalone fishers??
#486	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	Target abalone when diving	remained the same	mend	Do not support	10	How about nice limit commercial fishers instead for once in your lives??	Do not support	10		Support		15	Support	2		Do not support		Support		Support			Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		You all need to have a good hard look at yourselves and the government that allows this to happen and ask why?? The answer always is me is seeee

Submission #	Individual/ organisation	Organisation	Category	shore/ boat	method	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 10.	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments	Consumption at sea.	Consumption at sea comments	Minimum age of licence holder	Minimum age licence comments	Possession deeming provision (< 18 years)	Possession deeming provision comments	Measuring device definition	Measure device comments	Remove commercial fishers to remeasure immediately.	Comm measuring comments	Abalone tool definition	Tool comments	Night time closure	Night time closure comments	Northern Area definition	Northern Area Comments	Designated ports and landing areas	Designated port comments	Administrative matters and further comments
#S01	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	Abalone tend to be quite a small amount of sizable flesh when processed using common techniques. As currently the only member of a family I who is capable of diving for these , a catch limit of 10 is the bare minimum required to have one meal of abalone. The current limit is not the cause of depletion. It is caused more by those who take over the limit and by commercial divers stripping an area. Those who take over the limit now will continue to do so regardless of new limits as in effect you are only punishing those who	NewsletNot sure		If the bag limit was no remain at 10 I would support a slight reduction in Possession limit	Do not support		Bear limits are imposing further restrictions to recreational fishers , if all people on board are licensed and abiding by current regulations , why should they be further reduced in catch limits. A limit is a limit regardless of how you stand to	Support		Non licensed individuals should not be entitled to possess 2 abalone . 2 is more than reasonable.	Support	I'm not sure how this proposal is viewed in "enhance"or experience . How ever fishers should be entitled to eat some of their catch at sea	Support	Children under the age of 10 do not have a justifiable reason to collect abalone independently of other family members	Support	This is a coming a grow area. Don't agree there is a need.	Support		Support	This would be a fair regulation as there is no reason for a child to possess abalone	Support	It's a justifiable to be required to use the appropriate device as mentione	Support	By removing this requirement in one group of fishers is ludicrous. The whole point of measuring abalone when taken is so they can be returned to the rock the correct way up after being measured as undersized . Why would it be suggested that we encourage commercial fishers to possess undersize abalone or engage in a wrong practice that could potentially lead to large numbers of abalone dying when returned to the water in an inappropriate fashion or the wrong way up. To also apply one rule to one group of fishers and not the other . defeats the logic of having a regulation in the first place .	Support	Agree , abalone can be damaged by incorrect tools.	Support	No justifiable reason to collect abalone under the cover of darkness .	NewsletNot sure	I would not support any regulations that increase areas or catch to commercial fishers	NewsletNot sure	I do not support any changes to rules that have the potential to support or increase any catch to commercial operators.	Listing with the majority of other recreational fishers objects to any further restrictions being imposed on our catch limits . Our resource is the people of Tasmania . Commercial fishers are responsible for incidental depletion and stripping vast areas of coastline all for the sake of money . Recreational fishing is sustainable and should be encouraged .
#S02	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	Declined	fair	Do not support	10	As above	Do not support	As above		Do not support		As above	Support	2		Do not support	This is coming a grow area. Don't agree there is a need.	Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#S03	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10	10	Do not support	20 my experience, in that recreational abalone diving has a negligible impact, whereas commercial divers depleate local areas when the weather is bad . If the bag limit is to be further reduced or depletion, restrictions on course will then I would have an impact, but restrictions on recreational divers virtually no benefit. also if restrictions on recreational fishers get too great, the number will decline, and with that the income from licences, and compliance with the rules is also bound to decline.	Do not support	30		Do not support	Children 15yrs and under do not need a licence . but have restrictions on possession limits . Children 15yrs and older can have a full licence at a reduced price.	Do not support	Children under age of 10 without a licence can have a limited limit of 2 per day and 10 in total.	Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Restrict the use of hookah gear to boats only and not to be used within 75mts to shore. The evidence of the devastating effects of using hookah gear is prevelent from the Arthur river to Jack Smiths on the west coast. The stocks are almost completely depleted in all or shore bays. 10 yrs ago an hour snorkeling would produce 10 size abalone, you would know where to get the next days 10 and you would of got 30 to 40 undersize backs. Now 2 hours in the water snorkeling you are 1 or maybe 2 abalone for the whole time.
#S04	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	10	Ban commercial abalone divers from 2 nm. radius around boat ramps, and bays with easy access for recreational fishers.	Do not support	30	there are no benefit in discouraging boat diving.	Do not support			Support		why making it harder for fishers, where only 1 person dives?	Do not support	why discourage children?	Do not support	again, why making it difficult for fishers?	Do not support	measuring does not damage abalone, but to ensure they are not damaged makes sense.	Neutral/Not sure	as long as the min. size is policed, no problem	Neutral/Not sure		Support	restrictions that makes diving safer, makes sense	Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#S05	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving I tend to only take abalone	declined	fair	Do not support	10	Only go once or twice a year around partridge island and great taylors bay- the phone we go are frequented by Peninsula Seafood diving tours where it appears to be able to take as many of abalone as a rogue. bans for like tour consumptions as many locations within the channel are not accessible to recreational divers as the tour boats are taking work. this & as go. Once again the licence fees are down if the limit is reduced	Do not support	20 will sup gives to we are getting less value for our $	Why should 4 people go on a boat and have their catch reduced to 15. Now everyone has a boat but they still buy a licence to enable them to go with friends. We do not over crowd our boat for safety reasons - if you have paid for your licence you should be able to take your limit. Why do the boats into a zone there tend to be people in good catch- ranking . Depends on the amount of licenced people on boat.	Do not support	Fs fisherman would like to give their parents a feed then they should be able to 2 abalone with non fees 2 people. Our catch is not really possible. Can afford to give those	Do not support	Why should a young child not be able to be taught and coached by their parent responsible fishing skills . Have you ever seen a young child with their first sassafrin see go with their father and catch their first fish , learning the correct rules at an early age Why take that excitement away from them	Do not support	As long as they have a licence and caught themself then they should have the right to keep it . Anyone who is too young , disqualifies	Do not support	Measuring devices should be carried on fish can be the life experience .	Do not support	Abalone should be measured before removal by both commercials and recreation . Why should commercial be able to remove abalone only to have to be sent back because it is undersized . Recreational fishers are discouraged and affect the fish . To me it is a waste of time allowing them to scratch and grab - sort out later.	Neutral/Not sure		Do not support	Using incorrect tools will increase risk of damaging fish.	Support		Support	Commercial fisherman should be held liable to assist with supporting the industry	Neutral/Not sure		Once again recreational fishers appear to be blamed for the decline in fish stock - there is no mention of a reduction in commercial fishers . My very look is reducing commercial quotaas recreational fishers and for families can enjoy our local produce . Is there any provision in place stating a certain amount of commercial catch is to be made available in Tasmania so we can enjoy our own product without having to purchase an import with less quality .
#S06	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/the adult	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5		Support	10		Support	2		Support			Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
#S07	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Sawsort	5	If a trend in stock decline has been identified I am totally in favour of a bag limit reduction . However the reduction needs to be reflected in commercial fishers quotas also. otherwise it is meaningless.	Sawsort	10		Sawsort	2		Sawsort			Sawsort		Sawsort		Sawsort		Do not support	I have experienced persecution due to not having a gauge on my person while in the water. In this instance I had measured a legal size abalone and it fell out of my gear as I fell. We are alone use of legal size, there does not seem necessary to enforce the possession of a measuring gauge. It stands to reason that enforcement of legal stock size is sufficient incentive for fishers to carry a measurer issues.	Sawsort		Do not support		Sawsort		Sawsort		Sawsort		Sawsort		my issue is the commercial fishery and the percentage of the catch that is for the export market. the only reason stocks are rapidly declining is the over fishing by commercial fishermen. they work an area until the dit is too spatse and move to a new area. this is happening with all species in the commercial industry. you want to recreational fishing of commercial viable species so the commercial guys can rape and pillage . very sad . I have seen it first hand with rock lobster . the high percentage of undersize crays drown the week end. a river fishing and pay related reductions to the north east because of a fisher growth rate there. that is greed and hypocritical . our fishery is not in very good hands. the offshore recreational survey currently being done is a waste of money . i do not pitch for only one party and i am considering selling my boat because the fishery in this state is over governed and over managed . the future does not look very good for the recreational fisherman. let us catch a feed and minimize the ever huge export market. concerned angler.
#S08	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	10	we you proposing to halve the amount a commercial licence can take ??	Do not support	30		Do not support	30		Do not support	5 I drive when I come home to ensure my father. He doesn't dive so this would affect the limit I would leave for him.		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
#S09	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	Limit commercial. They clean areas out compared to rec divers	Do not support	15 Cs is fair		Support			Do not support	5 affects the limit I would leave for him.		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Remove knife. No knives should be used	Support		Support		Do not support	As a recreational Diver in nearly the North Bruny area for over 40 years I have seen the stocks reduce dramatically especially in the last 10 years. I welcome the proposed changes however I do believe there should be a restriction on professional fishers in some of the areas like North Bruny esp south from the Yellow Bluff or Cloe Tree Pt. I would also support a no diving period in areas that are being overfished such as North Bruny to enable stocks to recover I have seen a huge number of international tourists / students have been trespassing on Bruny properties to access abalone diving spots. I'm sure this has been brought to your attention by Tasmania Parks . Have much do commercial fishers take = recreational fishers. We want a sustainable fishery where our kids and their kids etc can go and easily catch abalone. I think what we need to consider most importantly is reducing the commercial amount of abalone caught. Priorite need to be with marinaceat the fishers for local aswise. Near so commercial fishers can make sesiths be sellow ur fish overseas.
#S10	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5 Is the commercial bag limit' taking to be halved?? This is the major problem with the fishery . How much is the catch from recreational v commercial . I think the stocks are reducing due to commercial fishing not recreational fishing . We need to reduce commercial fishing drastically now, not the	Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
#S11	Individual		Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	recreational fisher catch.	Do not support	20 Reduce the commercial catch not the recreational catch		Do not support		As above reduce the commercial amount	Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	one immediately	Support		Support		Support		Do not support	
#S12	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Do not support	1		Do not support	1		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	They should catch less and definitely measure each	Support		Support		Support		Do not support	
#S13	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah/HSC UBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	10	Commercial should be be harder with restrictions than recreational.	Do not support	Same as above, commercial should be hot harder with 20 restrictions		Do not support		A ridiculous idea. We already have a bag and possession limit.	Support	2		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support	I measure immediately after detaching them from the rocks, so as I know what I bring back to the surface is definitely size and legal. also if they are undersize I can restash them straight away. I think this practice is a lot more sustainable and ensures that you take legal fish	Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure	
#S14	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving/ tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support	10	There is a fundamental issue in aotea when limits and restrictions are placed on the recreational fishery. The abalone (and indeed other wild food resources such as crayfish) resources of this State belong to the Tasmanian community as a whole and NOT a small segment of it that has chosen to profit from it. This fundamental right has been abused in favour of the profit motive, which is being further perpetuated by the suggested reduction in the bag limit. These measures are to lose the ability to harvest food for the Tasmanian community, in favour of the profit motive for a select few, who have decimated the abalone resource since the 1960s is an obscenity. The recreational fishery is tiny in comparison, so why restrict it but not the commercial sector responsible for the vast majority of adverse impacts. I am never very often not in a position to harvest the limit, I am never long years until and only then for abalone two or three times a year. I only take a meal of sweep to thirty abalone each year so if the bag limit is reduced I will be unable to harvest a meal of abalone at all. I don't agree with people who dive often and regularly taking too many abalone, but this disadvantages those like myself who only get the opportunity to dive now and then. Maybe an annual quota	Do not support	The current limit seems sensible and practical. There is no reason to reduce it further except to further limit access to the owners of the resource, the Tasmanian community. It is a the right of every Tasmanian to access and use our fish stocks for food for themselves and their families. The current limit allows for the full value of the profit motive for a select few, who have decimated the abalone resource since the 1960s is an obscenity. The recreational fishery is tiny in comparison, so why restrict it but not the commercial sector responsible for the vast majority of adverse impacts.	Do not support	I cannot see why this is necessary - each diver is entitled under their licence to take 10 abalone a day. This measure seems to be just another way to limit the access of the community to the resource they own. If the recreational take is <2% (newer 1% I believe) , then why further restrict it but not the commercial sector? As per 1(a), I don't get the chance to fish for abalone very often and usually go with several other people. In this circumstance, I would have our allowed bag limit but limit it to 15 (halves) , then why further restrict it but not the commercial sector? As per 1(a), I don't get the chance to fish for abalone very often and usually go with several other people. In this circumstance, I would have our allowed bag limit but limit it to 15 (halves) , then why further restrict it but not the commercial sector?	Do not support		Do not support	30		Do not support	30	Penalising groups of males enjoying a recreation pastime.	Do not support		Support		Still very limiting but a move in the right direction.	Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support	There is no point prohibiting measurement equipment. A measure is provided such year with the licence renewal AND it is the actual size of the abalone that is undersized to be. The method of measurement is defined in the guide each year; how the measurement is done is irrelevant. If an abalone is undersized, that's an offence and the measurement method is no defence. By all means define examples of suitable measurement devices and back this with community education. ☐	Do not support	Let kids be kids and go and snorkel for an ab or two!	Do not support	I am TOTALLY AGAINST exempting the commercial sector from measuring abalone as taken. To suggest they can estimate size accurately (and enough) whilst recreational divers cannot is a nonsense. I don't dive every second day; but rarely remove an abalone that is undersized and needs replacing. If the rule is driven to protect the abalone, then EVERYONE should comply with the rule. Recreational divers are not allowed to use another for the others - in that case there is no rule, just inequity. Also, it is the commercial sector taking 98% of the state's wild harvest potentially damaging more abalone. In that case, the rule never applies to them. If there is no need to protect 98% of the resource, then why scrap the requirement entirely.	Do not support		Do not support	Public education is a better way to go. Also, from experience, it is the point of the knife that does the damage; hence he wishes is not the major factor to consider. I can see what you are attempting to do, but this alteration doesn't succeed.	Do not support	What is wrong with a night-dive? As noted earlier, great care must be taken when attempting to deal with illegal operations NOT to persecute the innocent community who are entitled to legally take 10 abalone a day (not daylight). This is no different to spending - people spend at night, but we don't ban our vessel at night. Commercial fishers are allowed to land and further restrict access to the resource by those a licence to do so. Forgive the illegal actions only NOT the innocent community. If the activity occurs inconveniently at night, NOT focus your enforcement on that time.	Support	I suggest any measure that limits the adverse impacts on abalone stocks from the commercial sector who take 98% of the catch, providing it is evidence based.	Neutral/Not sure	This form times out too soon. It also does not save the comments (blank form only) and if I expand , constrains the comment boxes to obscure the comments made.
#S15	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving/ tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	equipment reduced by a minority just because the Police don't seem to do their job, or possibly more currently because because the politicians	Support	10		Do not support	2		Support			Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	
#S16	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving/ tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	10	Don't mean to simple as that we are the problem as I have talk to the department about this for a lot of years and no action has been done it is still not to late to fix	Do not support	30 abalone is a fair limit as some people may only dive a couple of times and not have the chance to get any more abalone.		Do not support	30	I feel that 15 is a fair bag limit as majority of the people I know that take abalone do so maybe 2-4 times an year max	Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support	Time that some so as no ones area	Do not support		Support		Do not support	I have seen too many undersized damaged abalone left on rocks	Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Needs study in this area central west don't punish the rec diver for something that the commercial sector has created out of greed . . . Regards Kelvin Walsh can meet face to face to talk about this as I am very passionate about aoaacular the commercial sector and the rec Fisher thanks
#S17	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good/fair	Do not support	10	10	Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support	
#S18	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/the adult	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5		Do not support	10		Do not support	2	I suggest that catch limit per day should be five but leave the possess limit at 20	Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support	by measuring the shell fish immediately and returning it to the same area is impractical, commercial fishers catch large volumes so measuring the shellfish in other areas is not very correct.	Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
#S19	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5		NewsletNot sure			Do not support			Neutral/Not sure					Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Support	
#S20	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	If recreational catch is <2% dropping to 5 will make it <1% compared to 98/99% for commercial . Stop making it harder for the average joiner who is my case only goes out 4-5 times a year and need 10 to make it . For overall percentage of abalone we take are a mechanism it wouldn't impact fish stock in relation to commercial fishermen. As it is with crayfish limits reduced over years the licencing and changes are making it not worthwhile to go!	Do not support	20		Do not support	30 Penalising groups of males enjoying a recreation pastime.	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support	If your own research shows they dont recover well if they are taken and not put back then dont pass this one.	Do not support	Let kids be kids and go and snorkel for an ab or two!	Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#S21	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah/HSC UBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	mixed	Do not support	10	10	Sawsort	10		Do not support	30	On an average we would have three divers in 10 mach seems	Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure		Sawsort		Sawsort		Sawsort		Do not support	We have always measured before removal	Sawsort		Sawsort		Neutral/Not sure		Sawsort		Neutral/Not sure		
#S22	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	mixed	Do not support	10	Leave as is .	Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Sawsort		Sawsort		Sawsort		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Do not support		Sawsort		Sawsort		Sawsort		Sawsort		
#S23	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving/ tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	I am an 'occasional' snorkeller (dive once or twice a year on average), so a reduction in the bag limit from 10-5 significantly reduces the pleasure and the return on my 'abalone dive licence investment. If stocks are under threat (although I'm not sure the recreational diver is the major contributor to this , judging by the low percentage of recreational catch as part of the TACC), I am willing to accept a reduction in the bag limit. However, I also believe the abalone dive licence fee should also be	Neutral/Not sure			Sawsort			Sawsort	2		Sawsort		Sawsort		Sawsort		Sawsort		Neutral/Not sure		Sawsort		Sawsort		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#S24	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	sever		Do not support	10	10	Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		
#S25	Individual		Recreational																																				
#S26	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Do not support	Common no have 5 divers on one boat	Do not support	5 It is not a large amount in possess, it is a fair amount to have a reasonable 5 meal	Support			Support		Support		Support		Support		Support	It would be rare for a commercial diver to measure each abalone unless they were unsure of size. In any event it would be total stupidity for a commercial diver to catch undersize fish, so it's obvious they would use a measuring device when required but not when abalone were easily identifiable as oversize	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support charges required to sustain the abalone industry. But as a diver with over 30 years experience on the North West coast and witnessing first hand the decimation of stocks in certain areas purely due to mismanagement of the commercial sector, I feel it is totally unfair to penalise the recreational diver. Overfishing areas and dropping size limits such as Three Hummock Island and pulse fishing zones in my opinion have caused a massive problem and may take many years if ever to recover. In some areas now it is extremely difficult to find any sized abalone, where once they were prolific, these areas I know have basically been wiped out by commercials. The recreational sector is a drop in the bucket compared to the problems caused by the commercial industry and unless a sensible approach is taken quickly to address these issues, then the industry is in danger of collapse.
#S27	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	mixed	Do not support	10	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons. I believe the current limit is a fair one. I would hardly call this a 'fair and equitable' reason for reducing me , limits and it highly preferencing commercial fishers who are in the industry for profit. Reduce the commercial limits, not the limits of those who are not in it for profit. I drive not provide potential cover for illegal fishing . I find it ironic that I am being asked to make

Submission #	Individual or organisation	Organisation	Category	shore/ boat	snorkel	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 15	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments	Consumption at sea.	Consumption at sea comments	Minimum age licence holder	Minimum age licence comments	Possession denoting provisions (< 18 years)	Possession denoting provisions comments	Measuring device definition	Measure device comments	Remove commercial fishers to measure immediately	Comm measuring comments	Abalone tool definition	Tool comments	Night time closure	Night time closure comments	Northern Area definition	Northern Area Comments	Designated ports and landing areas	Designated port comments	Administrative matters and further comments
						I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same								Do not support																								
#528	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah			fair	Do not support	10	when you live in the bush and have to drive 1200ks round trip not worth buying a licence to only take 5 fish maybe a seven quota.	Do not support	20			Do not support	30		Support	2	Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support			
#529	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	20		Support	2	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support			
#540	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	Why urine over the rec sector. Decrease commercial catch. First take	Do not support	10		Do not support	Bar limit set versus		Support	2	Do not support	Cultural enjoyment Out Aboriginees fish from around island Did they use spears? Bag limit of 10 seems fair	Support		Support		Support	Cine rule for all	Support		Do not support	Cine rule for all	Support		Neutral/Nice		Neutral/Nice			
#541	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Support	5	should be for the people then allocate to commercial sector.	Support	10		Support			Neutral/Nice		Support	Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Nice		Support		sure		Do not support			
						I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same														I would be concerned about the policing and control of this proposal. I appreciate the importance of indigenous hunting rights on this region but fear this option might be abused by others.																		
#542	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2	Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Nice if the adult already has their bag limit.	Support		Support		Neutral/Nice		Support			Very important that tourists visiting Tasmania are clearly informed on the requirements for licensing for recreational abalone and rock lobster.
#543	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5	Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Nice sure		Do not support		Neutral/Nice sure			
#544	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Support			
#545	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10	you raise this the average catch per recreational fishing is 4.5 per trip this means that when I fish some times i catch 10 and many times i catch none this given me an average of 5 now with your proposal i can catch five on a good day and when i have a bad day and catch none my average will be 2.5 fish per trip with your mph equation used by RMCG they need out numbers to inflate this figure for catch rate this year my catch rate was of for three trips	Do not support	your lack of management of the commercial fishery has lead to its decline and why should we suffer because of your mismanagement. I have fished for abalone for the same will give you a real estimation of and when the fishery is starting to recover because as our catch rate improves you will have a sampling team from many individuals in many areas not just from 12 individuals having that will be totally biased	20	the limit should be limited to the number of licensed fishers in the vessel . if a boat has 4 fishers aboard why should they from a lesser share than a boat of 2 . my boat can take 12 people besides it never makes up for the days you never catch any and again from the enjoyment of fishing even if you catch nothing that one time catch will always make you go again	Do not support	3 and have them on for ever. This gives the opportunity for a fisherman to give his/her catch to a friend who is a non fisherman. Any fisher who has a licence or a recreational abalone licence who has possession of abalone must be able to prove the source of the abalone is from a licensed fisherman.	no more a 88 years old old bought me up to catch plankton of an all life to look after our rivers and if she has 5 she she can invite a friend for a feed because she is a single the stay only have two she would love to scrunge	Neutral/Nice sure	why not	Support		Support		Support		Do not support	as only there for illegal reasons.	Support		Support		Support		Support			
#546	Individual		Recreational	a boat/shore	snorkel/free diving	I target abalone when diving/I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5	Hoking the bag limit is not justified by the current catch rate. Reduce it by a smaller amount to say 7 for a period to assess the impact. This seems typical. More restrictions on the local inhabitants and more free rein for the suppliers to the Chinese communists. You people need to go your priorities right. It's wasn't for people buying recreational licenses your bureaucratic departments be irrelevant. Too many dirty people in the recreational community. Take the bag limit down to 5 and stock it back to 15 and then a lesser limit if its good then a larger limit. People usually target the crayfish and the abalone are a bonus. You can make abalone patties which double your measure and taste 5 areas. Maybe some excuse to show how to make an useless.	Support	Again it is not justifiable to reduce the possession limit by 50% perhaps reducing it to 15 for a period to assess 7 the effectiveness.	Do not support	The boat limit should reflect the number of diver/licence holders in the boat. In my case it is other 4 people diving.	Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support			
#547	Individual		not indicated						Do not support	10	licenses your bureaucratic departments be irrelevant. Too many dirty people in the recreational community. Take the bag limit down to 5 and stock it back to 15 and then a lesser limit if its good then a larger limit. People usually target the crayfish and the abalone are a bonus. You can make abalone patties which double your measure and taste 5 areas. Maybe some excuse to show how to make an useless.	Do not support	Do not support	40			Support		How would you be able to enforce this. ?	Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Punitive measures for the average fisherman but the commercial divers get a free pass and more.	Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support			
#548	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving/I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2	Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Neutral/Nice sure	I have worked for good and bad abi down over the years and believe a good diver can maintain a good catch rate within under water. And has fair being	Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure		Scallops in the channel should not open for 6 weeks (when opened) rather for just the weekends. 6 weekends maybe from Friday 10am to Sunday 4pm as it's pickered easy and there are more chances each year it would seem. Once every 6-10 years is ridiculous.
#549	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/free diving	I target abalone when diving/I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Support	10		Do not support	Stay the same.		Support	2	Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	thinner overboard means less predation.	Support		Support		Support		Support			
#550	Individual		not indicated	a boat	hookah				Do not support	10	Professionals have rape it for years that take the cut back	Do not support	20		Do not support	$ abalone per licensed diver on boat per day. If there are more than 3 licence divers on a boat then they should not be permitted with a limited catch. If those same divers were operating from shore then they would not be permitted.		Do not support	5		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Do not support			
#551	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving/I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Support	5		Support	10		Do not support			Support	2	Do not support	Fee is a reasonable possession number for non licensed. It is the 3 should only be landed abalone.	Support		Support		Support	I cant see what the issue is. The abalone is either size or under size. It is the responsibility of the catcher to ensure that the abalone is size.	Neutral/Nice sure	This should also apply to recreational fishers to ensure that abalone is correct size.	Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure			
#552	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving/I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5	If I come across a good patch of ab, i like to get my bag limit then freeze them or give to friends and family who cannot dive. I do not get a 10 chance to dive very often	Support	10		Do not support			Support	2	Neutral/Nice sure	I think if you want to protect abalone 2 then you should have a licence.	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#553	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Do not support	10	I think the limit for recreational fisherman is fair at the existing limit.	Do not support	20	I think the existing limit is a fair limit.	Do not support	10		Support	2	Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#554	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Support	5		Support	10		Do not support	20		Support	2	Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support			create many more & very much larger marine reserves like Mercury Passage rotate opening these reserves so that each reserve goes at least 3 consecutive years shut not only to abalone, but particularly crayfish when reserves open introduce a very limited catch, & seasonal maximum allowance seasonal allowance to be ensured with the use of supplied with licence logs when reserves open introduce a short season introduce signage at entry points to our State & launching ramps in various languages explaining regs
#555	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving/I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5	If I come across a good patch of ab, i like to get my bag limit then freeze them or give to friends and family who cannot dive. I do not get a chance to dive very often	Support	10		Do not support			Support	2	Support		Support		Support	support measuring device but newer calliperi too practical on a tundown, suggest metal measuring device along the lines of the licence crip measure. support ab interfrefe with prominent markings	Do not support	measuring device & taxing back orders is not recommended, very undersize. ab do not survive this experience	Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Nice sure			
#556	Individual		not indicated	a boat	hookah			improved	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	as above	Do not support			Do not support				Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support			In my opinion to keep healthy stock levels of abalone the recreational diver complying with the current rules/laws will not make a huge impact. Commercial diving has been proven to make a massive impact in the areas they dive. How could they not reduce the levels when they are taking up to or over a ton a day! Poaching is another massive stress to healthy stock levels. In trying to protect and maintain a healthy stock level of abalone in Tasmania the recreational diver complying with the rules should not be further disadvantaged by reducing the amount to be possessed. Instead of this, the focus should be on the commercial sector. Focusing on better ways to reduce poaching (maybe rewards for the public to inform the authorities) and looking into better ways to control commercial catches. I have family living in NSW and they love coming down to Tassie and enjoying an abalone dinner. They don't bother diving in NSW anymore as they can only take 2 shells and its not worth it as an effort to feed the family. I have lived in Tasmania for 12yrs and love the effective recreational fishing is and ever regulated divers have with ridiculous bag limits. Surely a slight adjustment to commercial fishing limits would have a bigger impact than a massive change to recreational fishers!
#557	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	20		Do not support	5		This could encourage people to take more than their limit plus more people would throw the shells back into the water possibly helping to spread diseases etc.	Support		Support		Support	This reduces the chances of divers getting away with pretending they didn't know it was undersize.	Do not support	Measuring and returning undersize abalone helps to keep the stocks at good levels by reducing diver risk of death.	Support		Neutral/Nice sure		This may help to reduce the amount of poachers that like to take illegal catches when there is a lower chance of getting caught.	Support		Neutral/Nice sure			
#558	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	10 for for each person when has licence to catch the same limit amount when they are on boat.		Do not support	5		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support			
#559	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good/After	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	15		Do not support	5		Support		Support		Support	Also 16mm total abalone tool only	Support		Do not support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Do not support			
#560	Individual		not indicated	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10	As the recreational fishing only impacts the current catch by 15/ ds not see this reduction as an effective means to control abalone stocks.	Do not support	20		Do not support	Daily limit is equal to licence holders on board - daily limit only		Neutral/Nice sure			Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Neutral/Nice sure			
#561	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	improved	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	10		Do not support	15		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Do not support								Support		Support			
#562	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	15	Limit the commercial quantity as it's only about managing money not the resource	Do not support	20	Limit the commercial quantity as it's only about maintaine money not the resource	Do not support	Why limit the agreed licence amount per person just because we are in the same boat.		Do not support			Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure		Protect the resource lie limitint the amount sold commercially and invest in all Tasmanian's who are entitled to this resource. It crreate time for family and friends
#563	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	I think if there are 2 or 3 other people on board they should all be allowed to have their bag limit with them		Do not support	5	If someone without a licence is fortunate enough to have a licensed person to share his catch with then they should not be penalised. It may be the only time during the season they get to eat this beautiful fish.	Support	Support	This is a good idea, or may be could be raised to 12. It would hopefully stop those individuals who by a licence for the whole family and have only one who gathers them. We do have those in the community who don't respect our laws.	Support		Support	(A) would be if we use a tab water, as it would rust in no time. (B) would be of more benefit as long as it is one that is distributed by the department. & tools with accurate guide markings. (C) Would also be useful with prominent markings on the knife but not extended prongs as they could get caught up in weed & etc.	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support			Please tab into consideration my comments above. As an Aboriginal person with a very deep connection to the ocean i feel very strongly about this and feel these measures are unfair. I comply with current restrictions and have a cultural fishing permit because I do not want the hassle of dealing with prosecution BUT when rules are being considered that further restrict my rights and threaten my ability to pass on culture and respect for the ocean to my Son, I cannot and will not accept this.
#564	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20	Many recreational divers are limited to only diving a few times a year. When started diving low tide, height and time and weather limit the number of days diving is possible so to be able to enjoy abalone for more than one meal the possession limit needs to be maintained. I think most licensed no fishermen are responsible and it's probably other sources are impacting on the fish numbers	Do not support	30		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure			
#565	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved	good	Do not support	10	Owing to working commitments I am time poor for recreational activities and reduced limits makes venture a bit bleaks inhibitor	Do not support	10	As above	Do not support			Support	2	Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure	An comfortable with gap in industry done the rules.thore is	Neutral/Nice sure		Increase minimum sweatstrer rec stocks	In general honesty in the industry works and the dishonest individuals you will never be able to control with regulations hence linking those elements off society hard would in my opinion be far more effective in maintaining a well balanced desired fishery.
#566	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	hookah		remained the same	good	Support	5		Do not support	20		Do not support	At someone who has dived with 6/ people on a boat (generally all from different families), a boat limit would strike other members in the dive crew from reaching their daily bag limit to feed their families.		Do not support	5		Do not support	Doesn't work for people who stay at sea for extended periods of time. A abalone will not feed their awaiting	Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support	as ver comments 5a	Do not support		Provide supporting information that 20mm is the 'minimum' size require to not damage or abalone during removal.	Do not support		Support Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure Neutral/Nice sure			
#567	Individual		Recreational	shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5	I am sick to death of the people crucifying the amateur fishers with more and more restrictions on ctiqe abalone is and scale fish. It is above time that the commercial fishers are brought to heel. Nothing is being done to stop the illegal harvesting of abalone on the Tasmanian coast. The Chinese are coming to the East Coast of Tasmania in droves for everyone now's handful of a dozen who get their licence originally for $20 and are now much millionaires.If you wish to protect the industry start screwing them instead of the amateur.The truth of the	Do not support	10		Do not support	5	Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support			
#568	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	30		Do not support	30		Do not support	30 per person		Do not support	5		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support			
#569	Individual		Indigenous	the shore	snorkel		remained the same		Do not support		indigenous rights	Do not support		indigenous rights	Do not support		indigenous rights	Do not support		indigenous rights	Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support			
#570	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	declined	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	20		Support	2	Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support			
#571	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Support	10		Neutral/Nice sure			Support	2	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support			
#572	Individual		Indigenous	a boat/the shore	hookah/snorkel	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	10	Aboriginal people should be able to practice our cultural fishing unhindered by bag limits and size restrictions as we are not the sole raising or who have ruined the fishery. This decision is unfair and does not align with current government policies or promises towards our	Do not support	As per above comments and also because as Aboriginal people we often 'gather' food resources for our fellow family members so the decision impacts on our ability to do so without interference.	Do not support	As above.	Do not support	As above.	Do not support	5		Do not support	As above.	Do not support	I absolutely oppose this measure. as an Aboriginal man I have a cultural right to teach my culture to my young Son and as such this measure imposes unfair restrictions on my ability to do so without interference from non-Aboriginal people.	Do not support	NO. for the reason listed above.	Neutral/Nice sure		Do not support		Support		Support	Corrent 'commercial' size limits are far too used and too generous.	Neutral/Nice sure			Please tab into consideration my comments above. As an Aboriginal person with a very deep connection to the ocean i feel very strongly about this and feel these measures are unfair. I comply with current restrictions and have a cultural fishing permit because I do not want the hassle of dealing with prosecution BUT when rules are being considered that further restrict my rights and threaten my ability to pass on culture and respect for the ocean to my Son, I cannot and will not accept this.
#573	Individual		Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving/I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	Reducing by 50% is too much. Reduce by a bit less at least to begin with. And reduce the professional quota and where they can fish. Eg not on or around both up holiday areas.	Do not support	15. As above	Do not support	Keep to individual non boat limit.	Do not support		No licence should equal no fish. I'd add that there should be one licence covering all species. Eg, look at how Victoria manage their licensing.	Neutral/Nice sure	Hunter for you to police, but not allowing fish to be caught and eaten absurd I'd rebuttam.	Neutral/Nice sure		Do not support	As above	Do not support	As above	Do not support		Again doesn't really matter if not removed before being measured.	Neutral/Nice sure	It might fishing really an issue? It doesn't make it easier that's for sure	Support		Do not support	That's only making your rule easier! Restrict them fishing to build up areas but you can't prevent them from fishing from remote spots where the fish are. You're better off controlling the parts at which they are sold into.	
#574	Individual		Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving/I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	Stay the same		Neutral/Nice sure			Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#575	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Do not support	10	Cut the commercial quota. Introduce license zones if you don't live there, you can't fish there.	Do not support			Do not support	30		Support	2	Do not support	How is this supposed to help the fishery?	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Do not support			
#576	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving/I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Do not support		Dicly bag limit has reserved the same for at least 20 years. TAFI Abalone Fishery Assessment: 1997/98, page 6, section 2.4 cite an objective "To restrict the daily catch of recreational fishers such that it is not a cover for illegal fishing." No evidence has been given that this objective has been breached. abalone around long island are harvested about 98% by professional divers, and the small numbers taken by amateurs has not detriment to the industry around king island	Do not support	Possession limit has reserved the same for at least 20 years. TAFI Abalone Fishery Assessment: 1997/98, page 6, section 2.4 cite an objective "To restrict the daily catch of recreational fishers such that it is not a cover for illegal fishing." No evidence has been given that this 20+ year old objective has been breached. on the few occasions that recreational divers get into the water, you need to keep a few feeds in the freezer	Do not support	Limited by number of licensed divers multiplied by 10. TAFI Abalone Fishery Assessment: 1997/98, section 2.4 on page 6 cite an objective "To restrict the daily catch of recreational fishers such that it is not a cover for illegal fishing." No evidence has been given that this 20+ year old objective has been breached.	Do not support	5		Do not support	No abalone impact upon the fishery.	Do not support	Just an additional restriction – no value in it to fishery. given the tiny part of the negligible recreational catch rate within under water. And has fair being	Do not support	Just an additional restriction – no value in it to fishery. given the tiny part of the negligible recreational catch rate within under water. And has fair being Between applies in other fisheries is not a reason.	Support		Do not support	A dived shaped tool (not just a knife or abalone iron) which has a blade of at least 25mm in width would allow more ease of use.	Support		Support				How about a fishery plan with additional concrete consequences for such things a some over catch (150% reduction in catch from zone for ever "X" years to compensate for lost egg production (no transfer of effort). Address quota ownership – if tourists, visitor, non permanent resident catch is a problem in the recreational sector, so is non permanent Tasmanian resident ownership, just a multiple of more than 50.
#577	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support			Do not support			Do not support	10 per person licensed diver		Do not support	4 abalone is one feed for 2adults	Eating raw abalone is a waste of good food	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support			long island area to be a separate abalone take region as per 10 per diver / day ,max 20 in possession.
#578	Individual		Recreational	shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	20		Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Do not support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure			
#579	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Support	3	When I dive around the south east the abalone are by far non numbered by crayfish. I think abalone have been seriously over fished!!!!!! I would support some years of closed fishery for recreational	Support	5		Support	10		Support	2	Do not support		Do not support		Support	15 years for a licence	Do not support	15 years	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#580	Individual		Recreational	shore	snorkel/free diving	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Do not support	10		Support			Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#581	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5	I have dived on King Island for over 30 years and have noticed a steady decline in numbers of black lip abalone, in fact I would be hard pressed to think of anywhere I could go to get one good sized blacks. I would support a reduced bag limit of blacks to the proposed 3 per person. The green lip population seems to revive itself more readily than the blacks, once an area has been cleaned out of blacks it doesn't seem to recover otherwise that's not the case with green lips. I don't see populations of	Do not support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support			
#582	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	good	Do not support			Do not support	20		Do not support	Same as before		Do not support	5 Same as before	Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support			Are there proposed reductions in commercial catch?
#583	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	poor	Do not support	10								Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support			Protected zones around shack areas
#584	Individual	Abalone	Recreational	the shore	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	poor	Do not support		I suggest you make a significant effort to target fishers who take more than their share and limit the amount that commercial fishers can take as those are the ones doing damage. Why should recreational fisherman suffer penalties due to the greedy actions of commercial fisherman and those no guys that choose to abuse the privilege. It's about time some of the pressure was put back onto the commercial guys! It's tired of	Support	10		Do not support	20		Support	2	Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support			
#585	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	20		Do not support	5	Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Do not support		Support		Support		Support			
#586	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	mixed	Do not support		Changing this to 10 means that people will not fresh. 10 not twice and heard	Do not support			Do not support	3 remote on a boat, each with 3 families. not enough. As per comment above And perhaps allow the diver to obtain the dedicatedly quota in a single dive if the weather is good and there are divers out there that have dived all their life but simply (rather age or health) can't dive anymore but still derby It is a Tasmanian measure		Do not support			Do not support	how would you ever police this. ridiculous.	Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support			
#587	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Do not support		Ceach limit of five boat limit of 20 Ceach of 6 mmm generally impacts four partners enboard to make a trip viable The recreational sector is becoming greater in size and provides a large revenue source for more smaller towns and should be supported I commenced commercially diving for abalone in 1962 and continued until 1987. I have held a commercial dive as well as a recreational dive licence for many years . Those harvested long spined urchin regularly over the last 3 years and that diving effort has been concentrated on the the Breaking Point area Moving taken abalone from the same area is precious years , I am applied at the low numbers of abalone to be seen in all but one particular site. I wish to express my opinion as a recreational diver only, and that is 1. that a reduction of abalone bag limit IS long overdue 2. 5 abalone is a sufficient amount to feed 4 people , 10 per 2 dive is ridiculously over the top Though through a proper dive boat and tow my in 26 Whaa I take in my couple of dives into the year.	Do not support	10		Do not support	2		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support	abalone should be measured on the bottom by the diver if in doubt and immediately returned back down on substrate to reduce risk of mortality. Deckhands should not be allowed to be responsible for measuring abalone because if something goes the boat will be for more at risk from predators by wrasse and octopus and increase the mortality rate. Deckhands job should be to monitor side by eye while stacking in bins and measuring the odd fish if one is in doubt. , any extension could be an aside and reserved to diver in a catch bag during net change , this would greatly reduce mortality risks . As per the comment above Why should commercial divers not measure on the bottom ? seriously doubt that any fish that's undersize will be swum to the bottom therefore increasing the mortalitie rate	Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		
#588	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5	1 diver 5 abalone per day is more than enough	Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support			
#589	Individual		Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support		Hoping to be able to take abalone with our 3 licence. I would support reduced limits for kids	Do not support	20	there appears to be no reduction in commercial fishery	Do not support	Remain as individuals catch limits. If I pay a full licence fee then I should be able to take my limit,as I would if I dived alone		Support	3		Support	Kids should be able to take abalone with our 3 licence. I would support reduced limits for kids	Do not support		Support		Support	I would support if you make some rule for recreation fishers	Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support			If you are going the halve my possession and catch limits you should reduce licence fees accordingly, but you won't. It is of about taking from the recreational Fisher again to support commercial industry. Just like the rock lobster
#590	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same		Neutral/Nice sure			Neutral/Nice sure			Neutral/Nice sure			Neutral/Nice sure			Neutral/Nice sure	Licences for children make compliance not affordable for families who dive together occasionally.	Do not support		Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure		As a low income family who dive very occasionally, usually only once or twice a year, we find licencing difficult to afford, particularly when we snorkel from shore but pay the same fees as fishers who have boats and scuba gear or hookah and go out many times during the season.
#591	Individual		Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2	Neutral/Nice sure		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Do not support			
#592	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	improved	fair	Do not support	10 is arbitrary number I dive for abalone about two days a year. It seems a waste to go to all the effort for a family of 4 , just to get five abalone.		Do not support	20		Do not support	10 for each licence holder if a boat load of five people go out to get abalone , and each person has a licence , but the boat limit is 15 abalone people , then that's only three each... hardly worth it!		Support	2		Do not support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Nice sure			
#593	Individual		Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support		After being a commercial abalone diver for 17 years and now a commercial archer diver.so applied to see the decline in stocks in what is heavily humans. One of the main problems is there is not enough licenses to have a successful influence on reproduction.i feel the entire	Do not support			Do not support			Do not support		Do not support	Seriously... how many people are eating tons of abalone at sea?	Support		Support		Support	Sensible	Support		Support		Support	Yes... makes the fishing easier to police	Neutral/Nice sure			
#594	Individual		Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support			Do not support			Do not support			Do not support												Do not support Neutral/Nice sure									
#595	Individual		Recreational						Do not support	10		Do not support	10		Do not support			Support			Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Nice sure		Neutral/Nice sure			

Submission #	Individual/ organisation	Category	shore/ boat	method	Target	stock view	Compliance rating	Bag limit of 15	Suggested bag limit	Bag limit comments	Possession limit from 20 to 10.	Suggested possession limit	Possession comments	Boat limit of 15	Suggested boat limit	Boat limit comments	Non-fisher possession of 2	Suggested non-fisher possession limit	Non-fisher limit comments:	Consumption at sea.	Consumption at sea comments	Minimum age of licence holder	Minimum age licence comments	Possession denoting provisions (< 18 years)	Possession denoting provisions comments:	Measuring device definition	Measure device comments	Remove commercial fishers to measure immediately	Comm measuring comments:	Abalone tool definition	Tool comments	Night time closure	Night time closure comments	Northern Area definition	Northern Area Comments:	Designated ports and landing areas	Designated port comments:	Administrative matters and further comments
#596	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	improved	fair	Do not support	10	People only do so many trips a year not everyday as commercial divers. 10 abalone are not going to ruin damage and a family of 4 are not going for ... 5 fish is not even worth going out for ... so a boat of 2 people is 10 fish... while a commercial diver pull bags of nearly 100 percent bigger	Do not support	20		Do not support	A boat of 4 should be 40 abalone if licenced	Do not support	A girl is just that a unemployed mother can't to pay for a lic	Do not support	Support		Neutral/Not sure	Neutral/Not sure	Support		Neutral/Not sure	Support	Support			
#597	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair/Poor	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Support		Do not support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure	Neutral/Not sure	Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#598	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I target abalone when diving/If need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same / improved/mixing	fair	Do not support	Leave it as it is or change to a log system so may per licence year (50 as example) can get them in one dive rig or 1 abalone per dive for 50 dives. As also on the time people travel several hours time fuel and certain days of weather to only get a small limit. I have done a lot of diving over the years and it is getting harder to find time now to go, half the reason why i have not renewed my licence is i'm getting to costly to go just for a couple of abalone and crayfish, make	Do not support			Do not support		Do not support	10	Neutral/Not sure	Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	Neutral/Not sure	Support		Neutral/Not sure	Support	Support		Do not support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure	
#599	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	tend the same	good	Do not support	8		Do not support	15		Do not support	25		Neutral/Not sure			Do not support	The shell will never meet and people will catch another to replace	Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support	Commercial fishers should still sea fish prior to putting them in the boat	Do not support	As above	Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#600	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support			Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#601	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah/HSC	LBA	remained the same	fair	Do not support	Introduce the use of tags. Accurate numbers can then be determined. Currently there is no factual evidence on numbers being taken.		Do not support	As above	As above introduce tag system	Do not support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#602	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	Why alter the numbers when the stocks are not being depleted ??? is this being done to protect the commercial divers		Do not support	Agion why alter		Do not support	Agion why are you altering the number if stocks where I dive if the stocks are not depleted??		Do not support			Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Do not support	If recreational divers have to why not commercial??	Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#603	Individual	Recreational	a boat	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	tend the same	good	Do not support	8		Do not support	15		Do not support	20		Support	2	They Freeze really well and if I give a couple to my parents and my brother does a week later also they should be able to have 5	Do not support		Support		Support		Support	Commercial Fishers should still need to measure every abalone it literally takes 10 seconds and reduces the chance of divers dying by being placed back on a rock too late.	Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#604	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I current abalone where diving	remained the same	fair	Satisfy	5		Satisfy	10		Satisfy			Do not support	5	able to have 5	Satisfy		Satisfy		Satisfy		Do not support	this could increase the chance of underwater abalone dead from harm out of the water longer than needed	Do not support		Do not support	there isn't many other divers anyway so this shouldn't cause a change	Do not support		Satisfy		Neutral/Not sure		Satisfy		
#605	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	declined	fair	Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Bar commercial fishermen from diving within 2 kilometres from inhabited shack areas. I live a shack on the North West coast and the number of commercial divers within 800 metres of the shore in the bays, harbours and shack areas is appalling. Residents in these areas generally dive from the shore to get a feed of abalone with snorkels as the delay to fish in deeper waters away from the shoreline are r strictor. The pillaging in close of the stocks has resulted in very few numbers along our coastline and the sheer enjoyment of snorkeling for a few abalone has gone from my generation and future generations to come. In addition this relates to rock lobster commercial fishermen as well. They again should not be allowed to fish within 2 kilometres of the shoreline along the west coast. Fishing in small inlets in the coastal areas with pots again sees decline in numbers and lucky to get anything due to commercial boats seeing strings of pots in close area. Also strong consideration should be given to the banning of Hookah bases for recreational fishers as this also depletes stock in abalone and rock lobster on the west coast due to excessive over fishing.
#606	Individual	Recreational	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	Leave as is		Do not support			Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#607	Individual	Recreational	the shore	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving/If need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10	I think that there needs to be a better system. I know that your recommendations once again smash the recreational fishers and actually relax on the Commercial fishers. They will never change but a suggestion is that we introduce something similar to a tag system - you buy a recreational licence and you get X number of the and X number of Cray tags. YOU can then however you want - it isn't worth putting the boat or getting in the water for 1 cray (or whatever that one is however is on E1 also). Otherwise what you will create is what already happens. People make multiple trips in a day and take 2 crays each time. Leave the maximum possession at home at 20 for abs and 5 for crays so that limits people smashing them in one area but say from 20 cray tags and 40	Neutral/Not sure			Do not support	20		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Do not support	Make it the same for everyone.	Support		Support		Support		Support		
#608	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	good	Do not support			Do not support	as above		Do not support	as above		Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#609	Individual	Recreational																																				Hi guys of the submit a suggestion for the coach of Abalone & crayfish. May be the time has come where a tag system is looked at for rec fisherman. People aren't viewing the value in getting a rec licence and going fishing for crays or abalone, especially when you the Government still charge the same amount if not more for the licence!
#610	Individual	Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel/hookah	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	10 per person I dive with TAG dive club we normally only do one or 2 dives at the area which allow abalone taking per year because most of the times we dive the marine parks. So in the rare occasions we are in a boat of 10 divers, will boat limit of 30 each diver would only take 3.		Do not support	5		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure	Rules are for everyone, a recreational licence holder has to measure every abalone, why not commercial licence holders?	Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Do not support		If the fisheries are concerned that much about abalone numbers, shouldn't they took more into forming abalone for commercial license holders rather than taking it from the ocean stock! Why punish recreational fishing when majority of recreational fishermen would go and get there bag limit 4-5 times a year (if even that much) yet scores of abalone are seen out of Tasmania every year.
#611	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	fair	Satisfy	5		Satisfy	10		Satisfy	I would welcome to reduce the personal limit further from 5		Satisfy	2		Neutral/Not sure		Satisfy		Satisfy		Neutral/Not sure	This may create a grey area as I am not 100% sure if it is necessary to allow that.	Satisfy		Satisfy		Satisfy		Satisfy		Satisfy		
#612	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving/If need to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Do not support	5		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Support		Support	Should have some procedure in place. However, should give the divers flexibility to use their bias tools for the sub.	Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#613	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	remained the same	good	Do not support	No change, it is not the recreational divers that are depleting the fish stocks.		Support	10		Do not support	leave this as the limit of the people on board. If there are 5 licenced people on board then they can each have 10 abalone. They have all paid for their licence. This is ridiculous for people that take charters out		Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support	agree		Support		Do not support	Why make it easier for commercial fishers and harder for the recreational people. Measuring at the time of detachment gives the abalone better chance of survival if underwater than being measured once on the boat or at the boat ramp.	Do not support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#614	Individual	not indicated	a boat	snorkel	I current abalone where diving	same	mixed	Do not support			Neutral/Not sure			Do not support			Neutral/Not sure			Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		
#615	Individual	not indicated						Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#616	Individual	not indicated						Support	5		Support	10		Support			Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#617	Individual	Recreational	a boat/the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Do not support	The abalone fishery is under duress because of the quotas given to the professional fisherman have been so great for so long, more so than the recreational catch impact. The fishery should be for the greater population of tasmania, not just for a few commercial fisherman to sell all our abalone to the chinese. It should be as that get to enjoy them first and if the fishery can sustain some exported product, then well and good. The delay for Tasmanians to be able to collect abalone especially the recreational fishermen/women should be the first and top of the priority. This should include preserving any harm to the abalone habitat including salmon farm effluent as illegal. The health of the waters around tasmania is paramount for the abalone to flourish. My guess is recreational fisherman contribute to the state economy far greater than the commercial catch does, with much of the money	Do not support		Reduce the commercial catch buy great buy back of licenses. Promote spies within Tasmania by regulated spin outlets. Promote come to tasmania to enjoy abalone. I believe the current amount is fair - it would seem that the time constriction have been put forward by the commercial quota holders and have more to do with the commercial fishermen/women not adapting to the fishery caused by commercial greed and poor management by the departments when the recreational sector size under 5 % of TAC. compliance is a separate issue and appropriate policing should be put in place and perhaps funded by the commercial sector due to the huge financial gain they have had in the past and into the future from a resource that should be shared equally by all	Do not support			Neutral/Not sure		If people cost buy abalone from a registered out let an invoice or sales document should suffice.	Neutral/Not sure	You are saying that juveniles are the cause of the decline of the fishery. Wrong. You are completely missing the point. Kinsch back the commercial catch by 50%, reduce the pollution from fish farms, stop the transfer of abs from west to east coast to prevent disease transfer. Clean up the out flow of water from east coast land based abalone farms.	Do not support	Licence for all	Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	Includes commercial divers.		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support		Reduce the commercial catch. Give the tasmanian peoples a fair and equitable right to enjoy the fish that the tasmanian coast did and should provide.
#618	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah/HSC LBA	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	I believe the current bag and boat limit is fair at this time. I believe that the fishery is being depleted by the commercial sector and I do not give any abs, I propose that the diver should be able to take abalone for your dedicated, if they have a full licence this would make it for all Tasmanian's and not just some. On the boat limit I think 20 would be fairer most recreational divers take 2 divers & 2 deckhands, then I could agree with a limit of 5, there is approx 2.5 abs per kg(just over), so 5 abs of most approx weight only 400 gram an adult would eat 200gm of meat a meal & a measuer would eat 100 ml of meat a a meal so, one can see	Do not support	20	Shared equally by all	Do not support	Each licence holder should be able to take their bag limit	Support	2		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#619	Individual	Recreational	the shore	hookah	I current abalone where diving	declined	mixed	Do not support	I can not of space above, I think more work should be done on how abs are being caught by amateurs, you may be surprised how many are not being caught. May be a macro-tech year a size doc signed by a justice of the peace???		Neutral/Not sure			Do not support	Just make the bag limit your total simple	Do not support	No license no abalone	All shelfs to remain with license holder no limit on what he shucks	Support		Support		Support		Support	I am a ex abalone diver , I dived for 12 years & had problem measuring on the bottom & this is the way it should step not size been it about???? Only capes the rockers to corner	Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Support		
#620	Individual	not indicated						Support	5		Support	10		Do not support	I think it should be like the white bass 14 have no licence have no abs	Do not support			Support		Support		Support		Support	although commercial should still have to measure abs underwater	Do not support		Support		Support		Do not support		Do not support		See submission. Discusses long term relationship with land, shellfish and the importance of cultural food resource. Requests for Designated Aboriginal zone or buffer around any Aboriginal land that borders the sea.
#621	Individual	not indicated	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	7					Do not support																								
#622	Individual	Recreational	a boat	hookah	I current abalone where diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	It would not be viable to go fishing if the limit goes cut		Do not support	20		Do not support	due t the increasing cost		Satisfy	2		Satisfy		Satisfy		Satisfy		Satisfy		Satisfy		Satisfy		Satisfy		Neutral/Not sure		Satisfy		• It is unfortunate that the scope of these amendments is so limited as they do nothing to address the wider causes of depletion (including climate change, devines of the kelp forests, spread of long-spined sea urchins and lack of no-catch areas - which give species a chance to rebuild their numbers). • It is also hard to see how rules that waste refs to recreational fishers could have any satisfaction remain on abalone numbers. ☐
#623	Individual	not indicated						Satisfy	5	To restore abuse resource on the East Coast - 5 per day at least on the East Coast. Restrict Commercial abalone fishing to West and South Coast.																								
#624	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	remained the same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Too long a response form. I don't believe that anything said on it will after the fact that you have already decided to reduce the take of abalone for next season. HOWEVER I HILEF PROTEST I rarely go out due to time restrains/weather conditions. When I do, I would like to be able to reach enjoyment with the cost of fishing. It is already cheaper to buy in most fish I catch! I live in the far NW, a long way from this ovaguas of over fishing by the hordes that live in the bigger cities. Our weather allows for less opportunity to go fishing than say the East coast. These new regulations as always will not alter the activities of the vital villains who take everything they catch with no regard to your regulations. ☐
#625	Individual	Recreational	a boat	SCUBA	I target abalone when diving	same	good	Do not support	As is.		Do not support	As is.		Do not support	As is.		Do not support	As is.		Support		Support		Support		Support	An accepted abalone knife.	Do not support		Support		Support		Support		Do not support		
#626	Individual	not indicated								go out and get five abalone in one trip!!! You idiots have got to be joking. It's like the crayfish if you could get more in one trip but have a overall personal limit, once you caught it that's it...then you wouldn't have to have so many trips. I also feel this amendment was thought up by the professional abalone divers. Same old story just penalise the amateurs from getting a feed																																				Two long a response form. I don't believe that anything said on it will after the fact that you have already decided to reduce the take of abalone for next season. HOWEVER I HILEF PROTEST I rarely go out due to time restrains/weather conditions. When I do, I would like to be able to reach enjoyment with the cost of fishing. It is already cheaper to buy in most fish I catch! I live in the far NW, a long way from this ovaguas of over fishing by the hordes that live in the bigger cities. Our weather allows for less opportunity to go fishing than say the East coast. These new regulations as always will not alter the activities of the vital villains who take everything they catch with no regard to your regulations. ☐
#627	Individual	not indicated						Do not support			Do not support																											
#628	Individual	not indicated	the shore	snorkel	I tend to only take abalone when diving for other reasons	declined	poor	Support	5	Fantastic idea to reduce the bag limit, ten per day is far too many. The proposed 20% reduction in the Recreational and Aboriginal daily catch limit and a subsequent reduction in the possession limits falls over at the first hurdle of the intent of the Act to conservation and protect the inter-tidal marine values, abalone for less than 3% of the overall catch. The maximum can be rationalised to two sizes, 145mm for Greenlip abalone and 138mm for Blacklip abalone, applied to all zones and areas. abalone, applied to all zones and areas.	Support	10		Neutral/Not sure	Many times I go out, there is 4 people on board, 20 would 20 enable the 4 people to get their 5 fish.	Support	2	Support	Support		Support		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure	I take the majority of my fish by hand.	Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support	
#629	Individual	not indicated																			the method of removal be standardised across all licence holders to a method that causes the least amount of stress and enables an abalone to be immediately placed back in where it was removed, if so required.	Support	the method of removal be standardised across all licence holders to a method that causes the least amount of stress and enables an abalone to be immediately placed back in where it was removed, if so required.	do not support		Support	the taking of abalone be restricted to the daylight hours for all licence holders	Support						1. to comply with the Act at 7 (1)(c) and (d) that requires a review to consider the community's needs and interests, I propose that the requirements of a minority (commercial) fishing licence holder be more closely aligned to those of the vastly greater majority of recreational fishers in so far as: a. the number of allowable cuts be reduced to two sizes, 145mm for Greenlip abalone and 138mm for Blacklip abalone, applied to all zones and areas. b. all fishers be required to comply with the same requirements of checking size at the time of harvesting. c. if a change in allowable catch is determined to be required to maintain a sustainable catch, then the same percentage change will be equally applied across the non-commercial fishery (recreational fishery and an Aboriginal engaged in an Aboriginal activity) and the commercial fishery. As the departments paper makes clear, recreational fishers take 2% of the current catch. It is ludicrous to make significant changes to recreational fishing limits as a means of cauterising the industry. By all means change the limits to commercial operations to ensure that Tasmanians as a whole gain a better deal for the State from this industry - but even better, implement conditions for the industry and improve the ecology of the fisheries so that abalone numbers increase. Let-lose-zero-sections spread over shore/zone commercial profits.
#630	Individual	not indicated						Do not support	10	It is an absolute disgrace that the recreational catch is being changed for abalone. The Tasmanian Abalone Council are destroying a resource that is revered by all Tasmanian people. The motherhoods are decreasing abalone populations.	Do not support			Do not support	Retain current limit	Do not support	5	Support		Support		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Do not support	
#631	Individual	not indicated						Do not support	I attended one of the feedback session where this feedback was given. DPIPWE are obviously not listening to the people. DPIPWE should be ashamed of the fact that they are being manipulated by a greedy group		Do not support																												I have not been able to use the feedback form in return to your information email to me as it will not accept my email address for some reason. I wish to comment on Abalone quota change and Redfood poor catches. I believe that to restore abalone resource on the East Coast all commercial catching should be restricted to the West and South Coast and amateur limited to 5 per day in the East Coast. This should be at least trialled for 5 years to survey results. With Redfood stocks seriously depleted on the East Coast such that a day trip out of St Helens often results in only 3 or 4 fish with two people fishing makes the expense of a trip an viable. I recently spoke with the Skipper of a large commercial boat at Lady Barron (of Flinders is who is based from Port Welshpool. He said he had a gummy shark licence but was targeting Redfood as his by catch because they paid a much higher price. He is using 4,000 hook longlines. I believe that the practice around Flinders and down the East Coast is the real reason for depleted stock. I think it's time DPIPWE address the real reason for losing this resource before it's too late for fish stocks to recover. There are many commercial boats doing this as I've seen them unloaded by the tonnes into semi trailers at St Helens and Triabunna and told they are heading for the Melbourne fish Market. I feel this is a legitimate concern and am interested in your considered response.
#633	Individual	not indicated	the shore	snorkel	I target abalone when diving	same	fair	Do not support	10		Do not support	20		Do not support	30		Support	2		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Neutral/Not sure		Support		Do not support		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		Neutral/Not sure		